

```

import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
from sklearn.preprocessing import normalize
import scipy.cluster.hierarchy as shc

# write csv into datafile
medical_clean_df = pd.read_csv('medical_clean.csv')
print(medical_clean_df.head())

```

	CaseOrder	Customer_id	...	Item7	Item8
0	1	C412403	...	3	4
1	2	Z919181	...	3	3
2	3	F995323	...	3	3
3	4	A879973	...	5	5
4	5	C544523	...	4	3

[5 rows x 50 columns]

```

#creating new datafile with variables to measure
newdf = medical_clean_df[['Income', 'VitD_levels', 'Doc_visits', 'Initial_days', 'TotalCharge', 'Additional_charges']]
print(newdf.head())

```

	Income	VitD_levels	...	TotalCharge	Additional_charges
0	86575.93	19.141466	...	3726.702860	17939.403420
1	46805.99	18.940352	...	4193.190458	17612.998120
2	14370.14	18.057507	...	2434.234222	17505.192460
3	39741.49	16.576858	...	2127.830423	12993.437350
4	1209.56	17.439069	...	2113.073274	3716.525786

[5 rows x 6 columns]

```

# checking for duplicated and null values
print(newdf.loc[newdf.duplicated()])
print(newdf.isnull().sum())

```

```

Empty DataFrame
Columns: [Income, VitD_levels, Doc_visits, Initial_days, TotalCharge, Additional_charges]
Index: []
Income          0
VitD_levels      0
Doc_visits       0
Initial_days     0
TotalCharge      0
Additional_charges 0
dtype: int64

```

```
# since null values were found, here we are deleting them and writing the new clean data to c
newdf = newdf.dropna()
newdf.to_csv('newdf.csv')
```

```
# scaling the data for analysis (hierarchical)
# normalizing will allow for our analysis to not be overly bias towards one varia
scaled_newdf = normalize(newdf)
scaled_newdf = pd.DataFrame(scaled_newdf, columns = newdf.columns)
print(scaled_newdf.head())
```

	Income	VitD_levels	...	TotalCharge	Additional_charges
0	0.978331	0.000216	...	0.042113	0.202720
1	0.932656	0.000377	...	0.083554	0.350957
2	0.630865	0.000793	...	0.106866	0.768497
3	0.949260	0.000396	...	0.050825	0.310359
4	0.272234	0.003925	...	0.475587	0.836474

```
[5 rows x 6 columns]
```

```
# creating a dendrogram to see the clusters that are formed from the normalized c
plt.figure(figsize=(15,12))
plt.title('Hierarchy table')
dend = shc.dendrogram(shc.linkage(scaled_newdf, method='ward'))
plt.axhline(y=10, color= 'r', linestyle='--')
plt.savefig('dendrogram.jpg')
plt.close()
```

```
# importing agglomerative clustering to allow us to create our clusters for easie
from sklearn.cluster import AgglomerativeClustering
cluster = AgglomerativeClustering(n_clusters=6, affinity='euclidean', linkage='wa
cluster.fit_predict(scaled_newdf)
```

```
array([3, 1, 4, ..., 3, 1, 3])
```

```
plt.figure(figsize=(15,12))
plt.scatter(scaled_newdf.iloc[:,0], scaled_newdf.iloc[:,1], c=cluster.labels_, cn
plt.savefig('all_factors.jpg')
plt.close()
```

```
ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(12,12))
ax = sns.heatmap(scaled_newdf.corr(), annot=True)
plt.savefig('heatmap.jpg')
plt.close()
```

```
# importing agglomerative clustering to allow us to create our clusters for easier visualizat
from sklearn.cluster import AgglomerativeClustering
cluster = AgglomerativeClustering(n_clusters=2, affinity='euclidean', linkage='ward')
cluster.fit_predict(scaled_newdf)
```

```
array([1, 1, 0, ..., 1, 1, 1])
```

Note: closer to 0 in normalization shows that the data is closer related, instead of being closer to 1, which means less related.

```
plt.figure(figsize=(15,12))
plt.title('Income v VitD_Levels') #income is x, vitd levels are y
plt.xlabel('Income')
plt.ylabel('VitD_Levels')
plt.scatter(scaled_newdf['Income'], scaled_newdf['VitD_levels'], c=cluster.labels_)
plt.savefig('income_v_vitd.jpg')
plt.close()
```

```
plt.figure(figsize=(15,12))
plt.title('Income v Additional_charges') #income is x, addn charges are y
plt.xlabel('Income')
plt.ylabel('Additional Charges')
plt.scatter(scaled_newdf['Income'], scaled_newdf['Additional_charges'], c=cluster.labels_)
plt.savefig('income_v_addn.jpg')
plt.close()
```

```
plt.figure(figsize=(15,12))
plt.title('Doc visits v Initial days') #doc visits are x, initial days are y
plt.xlabel('Doc Visits')
plt.ylabel('Initial Days')
plt.scatter(scaled_newdf['Doc_visits'], scaled_newdf['Initial_days'], c=cluster.labels_)
plt.savefig('doc_v_days.jpg')
plt.close()
```

```
'''
```

```
plt.figure(figsize=(15,12))
plt.title('Income v HighBlood') #income are x, high blood are y
plt.xlabel('Income')
plt.ylabel('High Blood')
plt.scatter(scaled_newdf['Income'], scaled_newdf['HighBlood'], c=cluster.labels_)
plt.savefig('Income_v_HighBlood.jpg')
plt.close()
'''
```

```
'\nplt.figure(figsize=(15,12))\nplt.title('Income v HighBlood') #income are x, high bl
ood are y\nplt.xlabel('Income')\nplt.ylabel('High Blood')\nplt.scatter(scaled_newdf['I
ncome'], scaled_newdf['HighBlood'], c=cluster.labels_)\nplt.savefig('Income_v_HighBlo
od.jpg')\nplt.close()\n'
```

Can we say, that since income is closely clustered around 1.0 in this analysis, that it doesn't have much relation to each other datapoint?

✓ 0s completed at 8:30 AM

