Helen Chen March 9, 2019 Professor Levitt Data Construction

#### **Problem Set 3**

# **Data Cleaning**

Before being able to fit models for OLS or Random Forest, it is important to ensure that the data being worked with is properly preprocessed, such that it makes sense in the context of the problem that we are looking to solve. I first did this through the following steps:

# 1. Determining which variables to keep

The original dataset given with the pset contains 88 columns of data. After looking through the column names and glancing at data values, I cut down the number of columns to 57 by dropping columns such as listing\_url which are unique to each listing without adding any new or valuable information as well as columns like country which are the same for every listing in the data.

id summary host\_id host\_name host\_since host\_location host\_response\_time host\_response\_rate host\_acceptance\_rate sex race age host\_neighbourhood host\_listings\_count square\_feet host\_total\_listings\_count host\_verifications host\_has\_profile\_pic neighbourhood city state is\_location\_exact property\_type room\_type accommodates bathrooms bedrooms beds bed\_type amenities price weekly\_price monthly\_price security\_deposit cleaning\_fee guests\_included extra\_people minimum\_nights maximum\_nights calendar\_updated number\_of\_reviews first\_review last\_review review\_scores\_rating cancellation\_policy house\_rules reviews\_per\_month host\_is\_superhost host\_identity\_verified require\_guest\_profile\_picture require\_guest\_phone\_verification instant\_bookable group\_cancellation\_policy first\_review\_day first\_review\_month first\_review\_year indicator

# 2. Cleaning Data

Among other things, I cleaned summary, house\_rules, and amenities by counting number of words in each; I created indicator variables for categorical variables like sex, age, and race; I fixed capitalization errors for states and destrung several other variables.

# 3. Generating dummy variables for missing categorical data

For each of the categorical variables with missing data that I cleaned, I also created dummy variables for each to include in regression.

## **Cleaning Data Code**

```
replace summary = "" if summary == "N/A"
gen summary_words = wordcount(summary)
replace host_response_time = "" if host_response_time == "N/A"
replace host response time = "NA" if host response time == ""
tab host_response_time, generate(host_response_time)
gen miss_host_response_time = 0
replace miss_host_response_time = 1 if host_response_time=="NA"
replace sex = "" if sex == "Unknown"
replace sex = "NA" if sex == ""
tab sex, gen(sex)
gen miss_sex = 0
replace miss_sex = 1 if sex=="NA"
replace age = "" if age == "Unknown"
replace age = "NA" if age == ""
tab age, gen(age)
gen miss_age = 0
replace miss_age = 1 if age=="NA"
replace race = "" if race == "Unknown"
replace race = "NA" if race == ""
tab race, gen(race)
gen miss_race = 0
replace miss_race = 1 if race=="NA"
replace host_total_listings_count = "" if host_total_listings_count == "NA"
destring host_total_listings_count, replace force
egen mean_host_total_listings = mean(host_total_listings_count)
replace host_total_listings_count = mean_host_total_listings if missing(host_total_listings)
drop mean_host_total_listings
tab cancellation_policy, gen(cancellation_policy)
replace house_rules = "" if house_rules == "N/A"
replace house_rules = "" if house_rules == "NA"
gen house_rules_words = wordcount(house_rules)
generate cat_host_has_profile_pic = 0
replace cat_host_has_profile_pic = 1 if host_has_profile_pic == "t"
label define cat_host_has_profile_pic_label 0 "f" 1 "t"
label values cat_host_has_profile_pic cat_host_has_profile_pic_label
drop host_has_profile_pic
rename cat_host_has_profile_pic host_has_profile_pic
replace state = proper(state)
replace state = "Ny" if state == "New York"
tab state, generate(state)
generate cat_is_location_exact = 0
replace cat_is_location_exact = 1 if is_location_exact == "t"
label define cat_is_location_exact_label 0 "f" 1 "t"
label values cat_is_location_exact cat_is_location_exact_label
drop is_location_exact
rename cat_is_location_exact is_location_exact
tab property_type, gen(property_type)
tab room_type, gen(room_type)
```

```
replace bedrooms = . if bedrooms < 0
egen mean_bedrooms = mean(bedrooms)
replace bedrooms = mean_bedrooms if missing(bedrooms)
drop mean_bedrooms
tab bed_type, gen(bed_type)
replace square_feet = "" if square_feet == "NA"
destring square_feet, replace force
egen mean_sq_ft = mean(square_feet)
replace square_feet = mean_sq_ft if missing(square_feet)
drop mean_sq_ft
egen mean_weekly_price = mean(weekly_price)
replace weekly_price = mean_weekly_price if missing(weekly_price)
drop mean_weekly_price
egen mean_monthly_price = mean(monthly_price)
replace monthly_price = mean_monthly_price if missing(monthly_price)
drop mean_monthly_price
egen mean_security_deposit = mean(security_deposit)
replace security_deposit = mean_security_deposit if missing(security_deposit)
drop mean_security_deposit
replace cleaning_fee = . if cleaning_fee < 0
egen mean_cleaning_fee = mean(cleaning_fee)
replace cleaning_fee = mean_cleaning_fee if missing(cleaning_fee)
drop mean_cleaning_fee
gen host_verifications_words = wordcount(host_verifications)
replace host_verifications_words = 0 if host_verifications == "[]"
drop host_verifications
rename host_verifications_words host_verifications
gen no_amenities = length(amenities) - length(subinstr(amenities, ",", "", .))
egen mean_first_review_year = mean(first_review_year)
replace first_review_year = mean_first_review_year if missing(first_review_year)
drop mean_first_review_year
```

# 1.1 OLS Regression

1. After cleaning all of my data, I first ran a cross validated regression including all 107 predictors many of which were indicator variables for things like property\_type and other categorical variables. I used crossfold in stata with 8 folds.

crossfold regress review\_scores\_rating host\_response\_rate host\_acceptance\_rate host\_listings\_count host\_total\_listings\_count accommodates bathrooms bedrooms beds price weekly\_price monthly\_price security\_deposit cleaning\_fee guests\_included extra\_people number\_of\_reviews reviews\_per\_month host\_is\_superhost host\_identity\_verified require\_guest\_profile\_picture require\_guest\_phone\_verification instant\_bookable group\_cancellation\_policy indicator summary\_words host\_response\_time1

host\_response\_time2 host\_response\_time3 host\_response\_time4 miss\_host\_response\_time sex1 sex2 sex3 sex4 sex5 miss\_sex age1 age2 age3 age4 miss\_age race1 race2 race3 race4 race5 miss\_race cancellation\_policy1 cancellation\_policy2 cancellation\_policy3 cancellation\_policy4 cancellation\_policy5 cancellation\_policy6 cancellation\_policy7 house\_rules\_words host\_has\_profile\_pic state1 state2 state3 state4 state5 state6 state7 state8 is\_location\_exact property\_type1 property\_type2 property\_type3 property\_type4 property\_type5 property\_type6 property\_type7 property\_type8 property\_type9 property\_type10 property\_type11 property\_type12 property\_type13 property\_type14 property\_type15 property\_type16 property\_type17 property\_type18 property\_type19 property\_type20 property\_type21 property\_type22 property\_type23 property\_type24 property\_type25 property\_type26 property\_type27 property\_type28 property\_type29 property\_type30 property\_type31 room\_type1 room\_type2 room\_type3 bed\_type1 bed\_type2 bed\_type3 bed\_type4 bed\_type5 host\_verifications no\_amenities first\_review\_year if indicator==1 & review\_scores\_rating != ., k(8) loud r2

Doing this gave me 8 R<sup>2</sup> values which averaged to: **0.10384** 

(.1008378 + .1090492 + .1041337 + .1158984 + .0904227 + .116003 + .1036043 + .0907772 ) / 8 = **0.1038407875.** 

However, since not all of the predictors were significant, I then went back to the regression and looked the p-values of the possible predictors for each of the partitions. From there, I looked for predictors that were statistically across the most partitions, using my judgement to remove predictors that did not make sense logically and add others back when I believed they were important to the model. I used crossfold in stata with 8 folds to see how the predictors I was playing with affected R^2. I found that host\_is\_superhost, price, accommodates, and strict cancellation\_policy were four of the most significant predictors, which makes sense given that these are all important factors that affect which airbnbs people book, and thus their overall experience. After keeping just those that were the most significant, I ended up with a regression with 42 predictors as my final model.

regress review\_scores\_rating accommodates age1 age3 bed\_type4 bed\_type5 cancellation\_policy5 cleaning\_fee first\_review\_year host\_is\_superhost host\_listings\_count host\_response\_rate host\_response\_time1 host\_response\_time2 host\_response\_time3 host\_verifications house\_rules\_words instant\_bookable no\_amenities number\_of\_reviews price property\_type1 property\_type2 property\_type11 property\_type16 property\_type20 property\_type21 race1 race2 race3 race5 reviews\_per\_month require\_guest\_phone\_verification room\_type3 sex2 sex3 state1 state3 state4 state6 state7 state8 summary\_words if indicator==1 & review\_scores\_rating != .

2. The R<sup>2</sup> value I guess my performance will be is **0.10354**.

This comes from cross validated data using crossfold in stata with 8 folds.

crossfold regress review\_scores\_rating accommodates age1 age3 bed\_type4 bed\_type5 cancellation\_policy5 cleaning\_fee first\_review\_year host\_is\_superhost host\_listings\_count host\_response\_rate host\_response\_time1 host\_response\_time2 host\_response\_time3 host\_verifications house\_rules\_words instant\_bookable no\_amenities number\_of\_reviews price property\_type1 property\_type2 property\_type11 property\_type16 property\_type20 property\_type21 race1 race2 race3 race5 reviews\_per\_month require\_guest\_phone\_verification room\_type3 sex2 sex3 state1 state3 state4 state6 state7 state8 summary\_words if indicator==1 & review\_scores\_rating!= ., k(8) loud r2

Running the above code gave me 8 R<sup>2</sup> values which averaged to: **0.10354** which is a good estimate of how well my model will do out of sample.

( .1088822 + .1051417 + .1025733 + .1024969 + .1108554 + .1130715 + .0988244 + .0865081 ) / 8 = **0.1035441875** 

#### 1.2 Random Forest

1. For random forest, I included most of the variables that I cleaned in my original regression; manually excluding some of the ones I felt like did not make sense after looking through the data in previous parts of the problem. In order to cross validate for random forest, I partitioned the training data into 4 partitions. For each partition, I excluded it from the random forest model, and then used the random forest model generated to predict values for the partition. I then regressed these predicted values with the true values for the training set to predict a reasonable R^2 for out of sample predictions. I also tried several different iterations, depths, and Isizes to come up with the model:

randomforest review\_scores\_rating host\_response\_rate host\_acceptance\_rate host\_listings\_count accommodates bathrooms bedrooms beds price weekly\_price monthly\_price security\_deposit cleaning\_fee guests\_included extra\_people number\_of\_reviews reviews\_per\_month host\_is\_superhost host\_identity\_verified require\_guest\_profile\_picture require\_guest\_phone\_verification instant\_bookable group\_cancellation\_policy summary\_words host\_response\_time1 host\_response\_time2 host\_response\_time3 host\_response\_time4 miss\_host\_response\_time sex1 sex2 sex3 sex4 sex5 miss\_sex age1 age2 age3 age4 miss\_age race1 race2 race3 race4 race5 miss\_race cancellation\_policy1 cancellation\_policy2 cancellation\_policy3 cancellation\_policy5 cancellation\_policy6

cancellation\_policy7 house\_rules\_words host\_has\_profile\_pic state1 state2 state3 state4 state5 state6 state7 state8 is\_location\_exact property\_type1 property\_type2 property\_type11 property\_type16 property\_type20 property\_type21 property\_type27 room\_type1 room\_type2 room\_type3 bed\_type1 bed\_type2 bed\_type3 bed\_type4 bed\_type5 host\_verifications no\_amenities first\_review\_year if indicator==1 & partitions != 1 & review\_scores\_rating != ., type(reg) iter(11) depth(11) lsize(20)

2. The R<sup>2</sup> value I guess my performance will be is **0.1190**.

This value was found through regressing values predicted for each partition in the training data on their actual values through the method mentioned in the previous part of this problem.

. regress review scores rating pred if review scores rating !=.

Source	SS	df	MS		Number of obs F(1, 39717)		39,719 5365.01
Model	319675.426	1	319675.426			=	0.0000
Residual	2366546.28	39,717	59.5852225	5 R-sq	uared	=	0.1190
SECONDECEMBER.	Section Control Section	See otherwise	Charles of the San San San	- Adj	R-square	d =	0.1190
Total	2686221.71	39,718	67.6323508	B Root	Root MSE		7.7191
review_sco~g	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95%	Conf.	Interval]
pred	1.182267	.016141	73.25	0.000	1.15	063	1.213903
_cons	-17.01575	1.507513	-11.29	0.000	-19.97051		-14.06098

3. Since the R^2 value for random forest is higher that the R^2 obtained from OLS, I would predict that random forest would do better in out of sample predictions.

#### **2.1 OLS**

1. The thought process in what makes a good OLS model is very similar to question 1, thus I used my model from the previous regression as a starting point since I already know that this model does fairly well out of sample. I dropped bed\_type5 and room\_type3 which correspond to pull out bed and shared room, since these traits are less applicable to higher priced airbnbs. Another reason why I dropped some variables is because I did not want to overfit the model with data

from lower prices. Because we also know that the out of sample predictions we are trying to make have higher prices than any of the ones in our training set, I also limited the regression of the training data to values with prices higher than the median price. Since the prices of these airbnbs are closer to the out of sample data we are trying to it, it may do a better job with prediction.

My resulting model is as follows:

regress review\_scores\_rating accommodates age1 age3 bed\_type5 cancellation\_policy5 cleaning\_fee first\_review\_year host\_is\_superhost host\_listings\_count host\_response\_rate host\_response\_time1 host\_response\_time2 host\_response\_time3 host\_verifications house\_rules\_words instant\_bookable no\_amenities number\_of\_reviews price property\_type1 property\_type2 property\_type11 property\_type16 property\_type20 property\_type21 race1 race2 race3 race5 reviews\_per\_month require\_guest\_phone\_verification room\_type1 sex2 sex3 state1 state3 state4 state6 state7 state8 summary\_words if indicator==1 & review\_scores\_rating!=. & price > med\_price

#### 2.2 Random Forest

1. One of the possible falliabilities of random forest is that it can be overfit to the training data. Thus in order to minimize this effect, I decreased the depth of the model, such that we are less likely to overfit to lower values than what we are trying to predict out of sample. I also removed bed\_type and room\_type because they seem less relevant to higher prices, also as a precaution to not overfitting.

randomforest review\_scores\_rating host\_response\_rate host\_acceptance\_rate host\_listings\_count accommodates bathrooms bedrooms beds price weekly\_price monthly\_price security\_deposit cleaning\_fee guests\_included extra\_people number\_of\_reviews reviews\_per\_month host\_is\_superhost host\_identity\_verified require\_guest\_profile\_picture require\_guest\_phone\_verification instant\_bookable group\_cancellation\_policy summary\_words host\_response\_time1 host\_response\_time2 host\_response\_time3 host\_response\_time4 miss\_host\_response\_time sex1 sex2 sex3 sex4 sex5 miss\_sex age1 age2 age3 age4 miss\_age race1 race2 race3 race4 race5 miss\_race cancellation\_policy1 cancellation\_policy2 cancellation\_policy3 cancellation\_policy4 cancellation\_policy5 cancellation\_policy6 cancellation\_policy7 house\_rules\_words host\_has\_profile\_pic state1 state2 state3 state4 state5 state6 state7 state8 is\_location\_exact property\_type1 property\_type2 property\_type11 property\_type16 property\_type20 property\_type21 property\_type27 room\_type1 host\_verifications no\_amenities first\_review\_year if

indicator==1 & partitions != 1 & price > med\_price & review\_scores\_rating != ., type(reg) iter(10) depth(7) lsize(20)

- 2. I think that OLS will do better than RF on this question for out of sample predictions, due to the way the models are structured. OLS minimizes residuals, and since we know that ratings are clustered in the high 80s and 90s and is bounded by 100, a lot of the ratings for higher priced airbnbs will be similar to rating in the current data.
- 3. This question is harder to answer using random forest because of differences between random forest and OLS. In this case in which we must extrapolate ratings for higher prices, none of the training data that we feed to the random forest contains high prices, so it becomes difficult to train a random forest model to look at interactions between other variables and high prices.