

BUT WAIT...THAT'S NOT IN MY
PREMISES? +OTHER WEIRD STUFF

WEEK 2 . DEEPER DIVE

Consider $\models (p \vee \neg p)$. There aren't any premises!

Consider $\models (p \vee \neg p)$. There aren't any premises!

p	$\models (p \vee \neg p)$
T	T T F T
F	F T T F

Consider $\models (p \vee \neg p)$. There aren't any premises!

p	$\models (p \vee \neg p)$
T	T T F T
F	F T T F

There are no premises. What row do we check?

Consider $\models (p \vee \neg p)$. There aren't any premises!

p	$\models (p \vee \neg p)$
T	✓ T T F T
F	✓ F T T F

There are no premises. What row do we check?

Consider $\models (p \vee \neg p)$. There aren't any premises!

p	$\models (p \vee \neg p)$
T	✓ T T F T
F	✓ F T T F

There are no premises. What row do we check?

Any argument with a tautology as a conclusion is valid.

Consider $\models (p \vee \neg p)$. There aren't any premises!

p	$\models (p \vee \neg p)$
T	✓ T T F T
F	✓ F T T F

There are no premises. What row do we check?

Any argument with a tautology as a conclusion is valid.

Think about it as saying: " $(p \vee \neg p)$ will be valid no matter what the premises are."

Consider $(p \wedge \neg p) \models p$

Consider $(p \wedge \neg p) \models p$

p	$(p \wedge \neg p) \models p$				
T	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	F	T	F	F

Consider $(p \wedge \neg p) \models p$

p	$(p \wedge \neg p) \models p$				
T	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	F	T	F	F

Consider $(p \wedge \neg p) \models p$

p	$(p \wedge \neg p) \models p$				
T	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	F	T	F	F

Our premise is a contradiction. What row do we check?

Consider $(p \wedge \neg p) \models p$

p	$(p \wedge \neg p) \models p$					
T	T	F	F	T	✓	T
F	F	F	T	F	✓	F

Our premise is a contradiction. What row do we check?

Consider $(p \wedge \neg p) \models p$

p	$(p \wedge \neg p) \models p$					
T	T	F	F	T	✓	T
F	F	F	T	F	✓	F

Our premise is a contradiction. What row do we check?

Any argument with a contradiction as a premise is valid.

TRUTH TABLE SHORTCUT

p	q	$(p \rightarrow q), (p \rightarrow \neg q) \models \neg p$			
T	T	T	F	F	F
T	F	F	T	T	F
F	T	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T

TRUTH TABLE SHORTCUT

p	q	$(p \rightarrow q), (p \rightarrow \neg q)$		\models	$\neg p$
T	T	T	F F		F
T	F	F	T T		F
F	T	T	T F	✓	T
F	F	T	T T	✓	T

TRUTH TABLE SHORTCUT

p	q	$(p \rightarrow q), (p \rightarrow \neg q)$		\models	$\neg p$
T	T	T	F F	✓	F
T	F	F	T T	✓	F
F	T	T	T F	✓	T
F	F	T	T T	✓	T

TRUTH TABLE SHORTCOMINGS...

Suppose we're considering the argument:

$$P, Q, R, S, T \models P$$

We'd have to check all the rows on which the premises are true.

So we'd need to write out the whole truth table. That's $2^5 = 32$ rows!

Surely there's an easier way?

The argument is so simple after all!