University of Michigan
Fall 2024 Instructor Report
STATS 250-115: Intr Stat&Data Anlys
Heleyna Tucker

20 out of 34 students responded to this evaluation.

Responses to University-wide questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	School/College Median	Univ-Wide Median
This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter. (Q1631)	9	7	2	0	1	1	4.4	4.5	4.5
My interest in the subject has increased because of this course. (Q1632)	6	5	4	2	2	1	3.8	4.1	4.2
I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)	11	8	1	0	0	0	4.6	4.6	4.6
I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)	5	1	8	3	2	1	3.1	3.9	4.0
As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier). (Q891)	1	1	8	8	1	1	2.6	3.1	3.0

Responses to University-wide questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	School/College Median	Univ-Wide Median
Heleyna Tucker seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)	14	4	0	0	0	1	4.9	4.8	4.8
Heleyna Tucker explained material clearly.(Q199)	14	4	0	0	0	1	4.9	4.7	4.7
Heleyna Tucker treated students with respect.(Q217)	15	3	0	0	0	1	4.9	4.8	4.8

Responses to questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Students felt comfortable asking questions. (Q892)	14	5	0	0	0	0	4.8

Responses to questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Heleyna Tucker handled questions well. (Q200)	15	3	0	0	0	1	4.9
Heleyna Tucker appeared to have a thorough knowledge of the subject. (Q207)	15	3	0	0	0	1	4.9
Heleyna Tucker gave clear and understandable explanations. (Q433)	15	3	0	0	0	1	4.9
Heleyna Tucker graded papers (exams, homework) fairly. (Q435)	12	6	0	1	0	0	4.7
Heleyna Tucker motivated me to do my best work. (Q196)	13	3	1	1	0	1	4.8

The medians are calculated from Fall 2024 data. University-wide medians are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college medians in this report are based on classes that are lower division with enrollment of 16 to 74 in Division of Natural Sciences in the College of LS&A.

Written Comments

Please comment on the effectiveness of Heleyna Tucker. (Q912)

Comments
awesome and very helpful
very good
I like how open she was to helping all the students and how friendly she was. I never felt uncomfortable asking a question or having her feedback.
Heleyna was an amazing GSI!
Although I didn't go to lab frequently, the lab section is good, well–prepared, and help us practice what we learn in that week.
Heleyna was one of the best GSIs that I have had. Very explanatory and kind with all of our labs.
She was very helpful in explaining things that were maybe glossed over in lecture or not understood from lecture in a simple but effective way.
I loved Heleyna. She was very patient even after having to explain the same questions to me in multiple ways. She was always supportive and in a good mood as well.
Heleyna was a great GSI! I enjoyed going to lab and never felt like the lab section wasn't worth it. I learned a lot in lab and Heleyna facilitated my learning by answering as many questions as I needed, clarifying and simplifying directions, explaining confusing topics, and helping me work through problems both in our lab section and in office hours. I also felt that office hours with all the GSIs, including Heleyna, really helped me succeed in this course and understand the material thoroughly.
I do not think that grades were provided quickly enough, and the first exam was much too difficult. If grades cannot be provided within the week for homework, then they should be the type that is graded automatically and repeat attempts are allowed, because I need to be told if I am doing a problem wrong quickly if I am going to correct that in the future and do the problem correctly. It really sucks when I find out 3 weeks after that I didn't understand a concept and then everything that has built off of that is also wrong because this material compounds so quickly.