University of Michigan
Fall 2024 Instructor Report
STATS 250-111: Intr Stat&Data Anlys
Heleyna Tucker

12 out of 34 students responded to this evaluation.

Responses to University-wide questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	School/College Median	Univ-Wide Median
This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter. (Q1631)	5	4	2	1	0	0	4.3	4.5	4.5
My interest in the subject has increased because of this course. (Q1632)	3	5	1	1	1	0	4.0	4.1	4.2
I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)	7	4	1	0	0	0	4.6	4.6	4.6
I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)	3	3	2	2	2	0	3.5	3.9	4.0
As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier). (Q891)	1	1	6	4	0	0	2.8	3.1	3.0

Responses to University-wide questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	School/College Median	Univ-Wide Median
Heleyna Tucker seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)	10	2	0	0	0	0	4.9	4.8	4.8
Heleyna Tucker explained material clearly.(Q199)	10	2	0	0	0	0	4.9	4.7	4.7
Heleyna Tucker treated students with respect.(Q217)	9	3	0	0	0	0	4.8	4.8	4.8

Responses to questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Students felt comfortable asking questions. (Q892)	8	4	0	0	0	0	4.8

Responses to questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Heleyna Tucker handled questions well. (Q200)	8	4	0	0	0	0	4.8
Heleyna Tucker appeared to have a thorough knowledge of the subject. (Q207)	8	3	1	0	0	0	4.8
Heleyna Tucker gave clear and understandable explanations. (Q433)	8	3	1	0	0	0	4.8
Heleyna Tucker graded papers (exams, homework) fairly. (Q435)	5	4	1	1	0	1	4.4
Heleyna Tucker motivated me to do my best work. (Q196)	5	6	0	1	0	0	4.3

The medians are calculated from Fall 2024 data. University-wide medians are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college medians in this report are based on classes that are lower division with enrollment of 16 to 74 in Division of Natural Sciences in the College of LS&A.

Written Comments

Please comment on the effectiveness of Heleyna Tucker. (Q912)

Comments
Heleyna Tucker was a great GSI, she always had the right connections and explanations for various Lab assignment questions and it felt as if she always set us up on the right path and always explained to us the material that we needed to know in order to be successful on both the case studies and the labs. Heleyna always had a better explanation for our questions than what could have been explained in the book.
The grading was unfair at times, as in some explanations for why we got points off on Case Studies, we wrote exactly what was expected of us and what was explained in the grading. Besides that, Heleyna Tucker was a great lab instructor and answered all questions asked and cared about students.
Heleyna was very informative, providing us with important information and tips. She answered questions thoroughly and helped us to thrive within the class.
I really liked her as a GSI. She was fun and always explained the material well whenever I was confused on an aspect of the LAB. She was really good at teaching the material.
Heleyna was super supportive of all students and always explained concepts/answered questions in a very helpful, clear way.
Very responsible and friendly
Heleyena was very helpful during lab and would respond to emails quickly.