Einsteins theory of relativity

Helga Holmestad

University of Oslo

26/10-2018

Special relativity

- The ether and Maxwells equations
- Two postulates
  - Laws of physics are the same in all inertial reference frames
  - Speed of light is constant
- Ground-breaking new ideas
  - Space and time is not independent (spacetime)
  - Simulationous depends upon reference frames

Special relativity

- The ether and Maxwells equations
- Two postulates
  - Laws of physics are the same in all inertial reference frames
  - Speed of light is constant

- Ground-breaking new ideas
  - Space and time is not independent (spacetime)
  - Simulationous depends upon reference frames

Special relativity

- The ether and Maxwells equations
- Two postulates
  - Laws of physics are the same in all inertial reference frames
  - Speed of light is constant
- Ground-breaking new ideas
  - Space and time is not independent (spacetime)
  - Simulationous depends upon reference frames

Special relativity

- The ether and Maxwells equations
- Two postulates
  - Laws of physics are the same in all inertial reference frames
  - Speed of light is constant
- Ground-breaking new ideas
  - Space and time is not independent (spacetime)
  - Simulationous depends upon reference frames



Special relativity

- The ether and Maxwells equations
- Two postulates
  - Laws of physics are the same in all inertial reference frames
  - Speed of light is constant
- Ground-breaking new ideas
  - Space and time is not independent (spacetime)
  - Simulationous depends upon reference frames



Special relativity

- The ether and Maxwells equations
- Two postulates
  - Laws of physics are the same in all inertial reference frames
  - Speed of light is constant
- Ground-breaking new ideas
  - Space and time is not independent (spacetime)
  - Simulationous depends upon reference frames



Lorentz transformation

The transformations between two inertial reference frames moving with a velocity v relative to eachoter is given by the Lorentz transformations. At t'=t=0 the origins of the two cordinates systems are the same.

$$t' = \gamma(t - vx/c^2)$$
$$x' = \gamma(x - vt)$$
$$y' = y$$
$$z' = z$$

where :

$$c = \text{Speed of light}$$
$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 - v^2/c^2)}}$$

Consequences of special relativity

$v = 0.87c$

$\gamma = 2$

$x_1 =$ Back of the carpet

$x_2 =$ Front of the carpet



Flying on the magic carpet is the primed reference frame

Consequences of special relativity

$$v = 0.87c$$
$$\gamma = 2$$
$$x_1 = \text{Back of the carpet}$$
$$x_2 = \text{Front of the carpet}$$



Flying on the magic carpet is the primed reference frame

Consequences of special relativity

$v = 0.87c$

$\gamma = 2$

$x_1 =$ Back of the carpet

$x_2 =$ Front of the carpet



Flying on the magic carpet is the primed reference frame

Consequences of special relativity

$$v = 0.87c$$
$$\gamma = 2$$
x_1 = Back of the carpet

x_2 = Front of the carpet

$$x_2' - x_1' = \gamma(x_2 - vt_2) - \gamma(x_1 - vt_1)$$
$$t_1 = t_2$$
$$\Delta x' = \gamma \Delta x$$
$$\Delta x = \frac{\Delta x'}{\gamma}$$



Flying on the magic carpet is the primed reference frame

Consequences of special relativity

$$\begin{aligned} v &= 0.87c \\ \gamma &= 2 \\ x_1 &= \text{Back of the carpet} \\ x_2 &= \text{Front of the carpet} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_2' - x_1' &= \gamma(x_2 - vt_2) - \gamma(x_1 - vt_1) \\ t_1 &= t_2 \\ \Delta x' &= \gamma \Delta x \\ \Delta x &= \frac{\Delta x'}{\gamma} \end{aligned}$$



Flying on the magic carpet is the primed reference frame

Consequences of special relativity

$$\begin{aligned} v &= 0.87c \\ \gamma &= 2 \\ x_1 &= \text{Back of the carpet} \\ x_2 &= \text{Front of the carpet} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_2' - x_1' &= \gamma(x_2 - vt_2) - \gamma(x_1 - vt_1) \\ t_1 &= t_2 \\ \Delta x' &= \gamma \Delta x \\ \Delta x &= \frac{\Delta x'}{\gamma} \end{aligned}$$



Flying on the magic carpet is the primed reference frame

Consequences of special relativity

$$
\begin{aligned}
v &= 0.87c \\
\gamma &= 2 \\
x_1 &= \text{Back of the carpet} \\
x_2 &= \text{Front of the carpet} \\
t_1 &= \text{Start of flight} \\
t_2 &= \text{End of flight}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_2' - x_1' &= \gamma(x_2 - vt_2) - \gamma(x_1 - vt_1) \\
t_1 &= t_2 \\
\Delta x' &= \gamma \Delta x \\
\Delta x &= \frac{\Delta x'}{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$



Flying on the magic carpet is the primed reference frame

Consequences of special relativity

$$
\begin{aligned}
v &= 0.87c \\
\gamma &= 2 \\
x_1 &= \text{Back of the carpet} \\
x_2 &= \text{Front of the carpet} \\
t_1 &= \text{Start of flight} \\
t_2 &= \text{End of flight}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_2' - x_1' &= \gamma(x_2 - vt_2) - \gamma(x_1 - vt_1) \\
t_1 &= t_2 \\
\Delta x' &= \gamma \Delta x \\
\Delta x &= \frac{\Delta x'}{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_2' - t_1' &= \gamma(t_2 - v\frac{x_2}{c^2}) - \gamma(t_1 - v\frac{x_1}{c^2}) \\
x_1 &= vt_1, \quad x_2 = vt_2 \\
t_2' - t_1' &= \gamma t_2(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}) - \gamma t_1(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}) \\
\Delta t' &= \frac{1}{\gamma}\Delta t
\end{aligned}
$$



Flying on the magic carpet is the primed reference frame

Consequences of special relativity

$$v = 0.87c$$
$$\gamma = 2$$
x_1 = Back of the carpet
x_2 = Front of the carpet
t_1 = Start of flight
t_2 = End of flight

$$x_2' - x_1' = \gamma(x_2 - vt_2) - \gamma(x_1 - vt_1)$$
$$t_1 = t_2$$
$$\Delta x' = \gamma \Delta x$$
$$\Delta x = \frac{\Delta x'}{\gamma}$$

$$t_2' - t_1' = \gamma(t_2 - v\frac{x_2}{c^2}) - \gamma(t_1 - v\frac{x_1}{c^2})$$
$$x_1 = vt_1, \quad x_2 = vt_2$$
$$t_2' - t_1' = \gamma t_2(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}) - \gamma t_1(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2})$$
$$\Delta t' = \frac{1}{\gamma}\Delta t$$



My two hour ride was only one hour(:

Flying on the magic carpet is the primed reference frame

In the real world

- Atomic clocks in flights
- Muons actually reach the earth
- Particle accelerators

Picture by Dave L. Jones

In the real world

- Atomic clocks in flights
- Muons actually reach the earth
- Particle accelerators

Measuring cosmic-ray and gamma-ray air showers



Figure by K.Bernkör

In the real world

- Atomic clocks in flights
- Muons actually reach the earth
- Particle accelerators



Photo by K.Sjøbæk

General relativity

Is gravity acceleration

- In 1907 Einstein had the "happiest thought of his life"
- There is no difference between being in an accelerated elevator in space or being in a gravitational field
- This was a continuation of the the universality of free fall

Is gravity acceleration

- In 1907 Einstein had the "happiest thought of his life"
- There is no difference between being in an accelerated elevator in space or being in a gravitational field
- This was a continuation of the the universality of free fall



Is gravity acceleration

- In 1907 Einstein had the "happiest thought of his life"
- There is no difference between being in an accelerated elevator in space or being in a gravitational field
- This was a continuation of the the universality of free fall



Is gravity acceleration

- In 1907 Einstein had the "happiest thought of his life"
- There is no difference between being in an accelerated elevator in space or being in a gravitational field
- This was a continuation of the the universality of free fall



Is gravity a geometrical effect

- The equivalence principle is only valid locally
- Globally there is tidal forces
- Can gravity be a geometrical effect

Is gravity a geometrical effect

- The equivalence principle is only valid locally
- Globally there is tidal forces
- Can gravity be a geometrical effect



Is gravity a geometrical effect

- The equivalence principle is only valid locally
- Globally there is tidal forces
- Can gravity be a geometrical effect



Is gravity a geometrical effect

- The equivalence principle is only valid locally
- Globally there is tidal forces
- Can gravity be a geometrical effect



A geometrical model for gravity

- General relativity describes spacetime as a manifold
- A manifold can describe a space that locally is flat, but is curved on larger scale
- The surface of a sphere:
  - Curved on larger scale
  - Locally flat
- Spacetime according to general relativity
  - Locally it a free falling coordinate system, the weak equivalence principle holds
  - Curved spacetime on larger scale
- Describing spacetime as a manifold gave the theory a mathematical framework (tensor analysis)

A geometrical model for gravity

- General relativity describes spacetime as a manifold
- A manifold can describe a space that locally is flat, but is curved on larger scale
- The surface of a sphere:
  - Curved on larger scale
  - Locally flat
- Spacetime according to general relativity
  - Locally it a free falling coordinate system, the weak equivalence principle holds
  - Curved spacetime on larger scale
- Describing spacetime as a manifold gave the theory a mathematical framework (tensor analysis)

A geometrical model for gravity

- General relativity describes spacetime as a manifold
- A manifold can describe a space that locally is flat, but is curved on larger scale
- The surface of a sphere:
  - Curved on larger scale
  - Locally flat
- Spacetime according to general relativity
  - Locally it a free falling coordinate system, the weak equivalence principle holds
  - Curved spacetime on larger scale
- Describing spacetime as a manifold gave the theory a mathematical framework (tensor analysis)

A geometrical model for gravity

- General relativity describes spacetime as a manifold
- A manifold can describe a space that locally is flat, but is curved on larger scale
- The surface of a sphere:
  - Curved on larger scale
  - Locally flat
- Spacetime according to general relativity
  - Locally it a free falling coordinate system, the weak equivalence principle holds
  - Curved spacetime on larger scale
- Describing spacetime as a manifold gave the theory a mathematical framework (tensor analysis)

Einsteins field equations

- Left side describes the curvature of space
- Right side describes the content of space
- The metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$, is what we want to solve for
- The metric is the input to the geodesic equation
- Only solvable in special cases, for instance the Schwarzshild solution

Field equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R + g_{\mu\nu}\Lambda = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} &= \text{Ricci curvature tensor}(f(g_{\mu\nu})) \\ g_{\mu\nu} &= \text{The metric} \\ \Lambda &= \text{Cosmological constant} \\ T_{\mu\nu} &= \text{Stress-energy tensor} \end{aligned}$$

Einsteins field equations

- Left side describes the curvature of space
- Right side describes the content of space
- The metric, g_{μ_ν}, is what we want to solve for
- The metric is the input to the geodesic equation
- Only solvable in special cases, for instance the Schwarzshild solution

Field equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R + g_{\mu\nu}\Lambda = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu_\nu} &= \text{Ricci curvature tensor}(f(g_{\mu_\nu})) \\ g_{\mu_\nu} &= \text{The metric} \\ \Lambda &= \text{Cosmological constant} \\ T_{\mu\nu} &= \text{Stress-energy tensor} \end{aligned}$$

Einsteins field equations

- Left side describes the curvature of space
- Right side describes the content of space
- The metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$, is what we want to solve for
- The metric is the input to the geodesic equation
- Only solvable in special cases, for instance the Schwarzshild solution

Field equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R + g_{\mu\nu}\Lambda = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} &= \text{Ricci curvature tensor}(f(g_{\mu\nu})) \\ g_{\mu\nu} &= \text{The metric} \\ \Lambda &= \text{Cosmological constant} \\ T_{\mu\nu} &= \text{Stress-energy tensor} \end{aligned}$$

The geodesic equation

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{ds^2} + \Gamma^\mu{}_{\beta\alpha} \frac{d^2 x^\alpha}{ds^2} \frac{d^2 x^\beta}{ds^2} = 0$$

$$\Gamma^\mu{}_{\beta\alpha} = \text{Christoffel sybol}(f(g_{\mu\nu}))$$

Einsteins field equations

- Left side describes the curvature of space
- Right side describes the content of space
- The metric, g_{μ_ν}, is what we want to solve for
- The metric is the input to the geodesic equation
- Only solvable in special cases, for instance the Schwarzshild solution

Field equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R + g_{\mu\nu}\Lambda = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu_\nu} &= \text{Ricci curvature tensor}(f(g_{\mu_\nu})) \\ g_{\mu_\nu} &= \text{The metric} \\ \Lambda &= \text{Cosmological constant} \\ T_{\mu\nu} &= \text{Stress-energy tensor} \end{aligned}$$

The geodesic equation

$$\frac{d^2x^\mu}{ds^2} + \Gamma^\mu{}_{\beta\alpha} \frac{d^2x^\alpha}{ds^2} \frac{d^2x^\beta}{ds^2} = 0$$

$$\Gamma^\mu{}_{\beta\alpha} = \text{Christoffel sybol}(f(g_{\mu_\nu}))$$

Gravitational redshift



Gravitational redshift



Gravitational redshift



Gravitational redshift



Gravity and light

- Light is being bent by gravitational fields



Gravity and light

- Light is being bent by gravitational fields



Testing the theory

- Bending of light by the sun might alter the position of stars
- Solar eclipse 1919 was the ultimate test
- Three possible outcome
  - No bending
  - Newton bending
  - Bending of light predicted by General relativity
- Turned out that GR was right