## A note on Huffman's algorithm

Christian Wulff-Nilsen \*

## 1 Optimal substructure

Here is the alternative proof of optimal substructure for Huffman's algorithm presented during the Greedy Algorithms lecture. At the oral exam, you are free to choose between this proof and that in the course book CLRS.

We start with a lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Let T be a parse tree for an alphabet C (with associated frequencies  $f_x$  for each  $x \in C$ ) and let W be the set of vertices of T except the root. Then  $B(T) = \sum_{x \in W} f_x$ .

*Proof.* Let e = (u, v) be an edge of T where v is a child of u. The bit associated with e (0 if v is the left child of u and 1 otherwise) occurs  $f_v$  times in the compressed string since  $f_v$  is the sum of frequencies of leaves of the subtree of T rooted at v. Summing  $f_v$  over all edges (u, v) of T gives  $\sum_{x \in W} f_x$  which is the total length B(T) of the compressed string.  $\square$ 

Showing optimal substructure for a greedy algorithm amounts to showing that, if the greedy choice x belongs to an optimal solution for a problem, this optimal solution consists of x and an optimal solution to the subproblem generated.

To show optimal substructure for Huffman, let  $T_1$  be an optimal tree for alphabet C and assume it contains the greedy choice, i.e., assume that symbols x and y of minimal frequencies are siblings in  $T_1$ . Let z be their parent (whose frequency  $f_z$  equals  $f_x + f_y$ ) and let  $C' = (C \setminus \{x, y\}) \cup \{z\}$ . Let  $T'_1$  be  $T_1$  with leaves x and y removed;  $T'_1$  is a tree for alphabet C'. Furthermore, let  $T'_2$  be an optimal tree for C' and let  $T_2$  be a tree for C obtained from  $T'_2$  by adding x and y as children of z.

Showing optimal substructure amounts to proving that  $T'_1$  is an optimal tree for C', i.e., that  $B(T'_1) = B(T'_2)$ . By the lemma above,

$$B(T_1') = B(T_1) - f_x - f_y,$$
  

$$B(T_2') = B(T_2) - f_x - f_y.$$

Since  $T_1'$  is some tree for C', we have  $B(T_1') \geq B(T_2')$ . It remains to show  $B(T_1') \leq B(T_2')$ . Since  $T_2$  is some tree for C, we have  $B(T_1) \leq B(T_2)$ . Hence,

$$B(T_1') = B(T_1) - f_x - f_y \le B(T_2) - f_x - f_y = B(T_2'),$$

as desired.

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Computer Science, University of Copenhagen, koolooz@di.ku.dk, http://www.diku.dk/~koolooz/.