DMA: Logic

Laura Mančinska

delivered by Jurij Volčič

Institut for Matematiske Fag

Warm-up: Which of the following are true propositions?

- 1. Every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ can be expressed as a product of primes and $n \log_2(n) \in O(n^2)$.
- 2. If 1 + 4 = 7 then 4 is a prime.
- 3. Please, take a seat.
- 4. If someone in this class has a birthday today, then LCM(12,8) = 24.

Plan for this class

- Properties of logical operations
- Predicates
- Logical statements with quantifiers
- Negation of statements with quantifiers

Properties of logical operations

Recall: $p \equiv q$ means that p, q always have the same truth value.

Example: $(r \Rightarrow s) \equiv ((\sim s) \Rightarrow (\sim r))$

Properties of AND, OR, NOT [Thm 2.2.1]

- (1) $p \lor q \equiv q \lor p$ (2) $p \land q \equiv q \land p$
- (3) $p \lor (q \lor r) \equiv (p \lor q) \lor r$
- (4) $p \land (q \land r) \equiv (p \land q) \land r$
- (7) $p \lor p \equiv p$
- (8) $p \wedge p \equiv p$
- $(9) \sim (\sim p) \equiv p$
- (10) $\sim (p \vee q) \equiv (\sim p) \wedge (\sim q)$
- $(11) \sim (p \land q) \equiv (\sim p) \lor (\sim q)$

We can prove the above by comparing the truth tables of LHS and RHS.

Properties invloving \Rightarrow and \Leftrightarrow [Thm. 2.2.2]

```
(a) (p \Rightarrow q) \equiv (\sim p \lor q)

(b) (p \Rightarrow q) \equiv ((\sim q) \Rightarrow (\sim p))

(c) (p \Leftrightarrow q) \equiv (p \Rightarrow q) \land (q \Rightarrow p)

(d) \sim (p \Rightarrow q) \equiv (p \land (\sim q))

(e) \sim (p \Leftrightarrow q) \equiv (p \land (\sim q)) \lor (q \land (\sim p))
```

We proved (a) and (b) on Monday. Items (d) and (e) can be proven the previous properties.

Thm 2.2.4 gives more properties...

Predicates

Predicates

Consider the sentence: " $x^2 + y^2 = 4$ " It has a truth value only after we fix x and y.

Def. A predicate is a declarative sentence whose truth value depends on one or more (free) variables.

Notation: P(x), Q(x), P(x,y) etc.

Examples of predicates

- $Q(x,y): x^2+y^2=4$
- ▶ P(n): $3^n + 6n 1$ is divisible by 4

Defining sets using predicates

 $S' = \{x \in S \mid P(x)\}$ denotes the collection of all $x \in S$ for which the statement P(x) is true.

▶ Let $S = \mathbb{Z}$ and P(x) : x > 0. We have

$$\{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x > 0\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots\} = \mathbb{Z}^+$$

- We can define the positive reals, \mathbb{R}^+ , as $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > 0\}$
- Let Q(x,y): $x^2 + y^2 = 4$. What is the set

$$\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid Q(x,y)\}?$$

Quantifiers

Quantifiers

Given a predicate P(x) where $x \in S$, we can form two propositions:

1. Proposition

$$\forall x \in S \ P(x)$$

is true if P(x) is true for all $x \in S$.

Pronounced: " $\underline{\text{for all}}$ elements x of S, P(x) is true"

2. Proposition

$$\exists x \in S \ P(x)$$

is true if P(x) is true for some $x \in S$.

Pronounced: "there exists $x \in S$ such that P(x) is true"

Quantifiers: examples

1. Let P(n): $n^2 + 1 \ge 2$. We can write the statement "For all positive integers n, we have $n^2 + 1 \ge 2$ " as

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ P(n)$$

or as

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ n^2 + 1 \geqslant 2$$

2. We can also specify sets using inequalities and write

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \ x^2 + 1 \geqslant 2$$

as

$$\forall \text{real } x > 0 \ x^2 + 1 \geqslant 2$$

Note: The statements in (1) are true, but the ones in (2) are false.

Order of quantifiers

Example: Let

 $S = \{Living and deceased people\}$

Consider the following statements:

- 1. $\forall p \in S \exists m \in S \text{ m is the mother of } p$
- 2. $\exists m \in S \ \forall p \in S \ m$ is the mother of p

Are these different? Yes!

- Every p has a mother
- 2. There is an $\mathfrak m$ that is the mother for all $\mathfrak p$

The order matters! In general $\forall x \exists y \ P(x,y)$ is different from $\exists y \forall x \ P(x,y)$

Negation of statements with quantifiers

How can we rewrite $\sim (\forall x \in S \ P(x))$ mean?

Example.

q : **All** students in this class have read KBR Chapter 2.

What is $\sim q$?

 \sim q: There is a student in this class who has not read KBR Chapter 2.

In general, we have

$$\sim (\forall x \in S \ P(x)) \equiv (\exists x \in S \ \sim P(x))$$
 Thm 2.2.3 (a)

$$\sim (\exists x \in S \ P(x)) \equiv (\forall x \in S \ \sim P(x))$$
 Thm 2.2.3 (b)

Negation of Big-⊕ using quantifiers

Big- Θ : Let $f,g:\mathbb{R}^+\to\mathbb{R}$ be asymptotically positive. Then f is $\Theta(g)$ if there exists $c_1,c_2,x_0>0$ such that $c_1g(x)\leqslant f(x)\leqslant c_2g(x)$ for all $x\geqslant x_0$.

With quantifiers:

$$\exists c_1>0 \; \exists c_2>0 \; \exists x_0>0 \; \forall x\geqslant x_0 \; c_1g(x)\leqslant f(x)\leqslant c_2g(x).$$

The negation:

$$\sim \left[\exists c_1 > 0 \; \left(\exists c_2 > 0 \; \exists x_0 > 0 \; \forall x \geqslant x_0 \; c_1 g(x) \leqslant f(x) \leqslant c_2 g(x) \right) \right]$$

$$\equiv \forall c_1 > 0 \; \sim \left[\exists c_2 > 0 \; \left(\exists x_0 > 0 \; \forall x \geqslant x_0 \; c_1 g(x) \leqslant f(x) \leqslant c_2 g(x) \right) \right]$$

$$\equiv \forall c_1 > 0 \; \forall c_2 > 0 \; \sim \left[\exists x_0 > 0 \; \left(\forall x \geqslant x_0 \; c_1 g(x) \leqslant f(x) \leqslant c_2 g(x) \right) \right]$$

$$\equiv \forall c_1 > 0 \; \forall c_2 > 0 \; \forall x_0 > 0 \; \sim \left[\forall x \geqslant x_0 \; \left(c_1 g(x) \leqslant f(x) \leqslant c_2 g(x) \right) \right]$$

$$\equiv \forall c_1 > 0 \; \forall c_2 > 0 \; \forall x_0 > 0 \; \exists x \geqslant x_0 \; \sim \left[c_1 g(x) \leqslant f(x) \leqslant c_2 g(x) \right]$$

$$\equiv \forall c_1 > 0 \; \forall c_2 > 0 \; \forall x_0 > 0 \; \exists x \geqslant x_0 \; \left[c_1 g(x) \leqslant f(x) \leqslant c_2 g(x) \right]$$

$$\equiv \forall c_1 > 0 \; \forall c_2 > 0 \; \forall x_0 > 0 \; \exists x \geqslant x_0 \; \left[c_1 g(x) \leqslant f(x) \leqslant c_2 g(x) \right]$$

What is going on here?

Three mathematicians walk into a bar.

The bartender asks: "Do all three of you want a beer?"

The first mathematician says: "I don't know."

The second one says: "I don't know."

The third one says: "Yes!"

Let S be the set of three mathematicians.

Consider the predicate P(x): x wants to have a beer.

The bartender asks if the following is true

$$\forall x \in S \ \mathsf{P}(x) \tag{1}$$

Why don't the first two mathematicians know the truth value of (1) but the last one does?

Implications involving predicates

Let P(x) and Q(x) be predicates concerning $x \in S$.

It is a convention that with $P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)$ we mean the statement "For all $x \in S$ we have that $P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)$."

Example. Statement

$$x > 1 \Rightarrow x^2 > 1$$

means

"For all x we have that if x > 1 then $x^2 > 1$."

You should be able to:

- Rewrite sentences using logical connectives and quantifiers.
- Translate logical statements into everyday language.
- Evaluate whether a given proposition is true or false.
- Rewrite and simplify logical statements using properties of logical operations and quantifiers.

Puzzle: The blue-eyed islanders

The islanders: 60 with blue eyes and 70 with brown eyes.

They know: everyone on the island is an excellent logician.

They don't know: their own eye color, the total number of blue-eyed people. Also they cannot talk to each other.

The pirate captain: visits every night and will free any blue-eyed person who can tell him their own eye color. (He will "dispose of" anyone who guesses incorrectly.)

The guru: proclaims on Day 1 that someone on the island has blue eyes.

The question: Who gets off the island and when?