IPS, Assignment 1

Helga Rykov Ibsen <
mcv462> Hold 1 $2.~\mathrm{maj}~2023$

Task 1

- 1. I am a DIKU Computer Science BSc student (general profile).
- 2. My self-perceived level of proficiency in functional programming with F# is intermediate. I do remember the course PoP, but could use some more update than what we've got now.
- 3. I am familiar with assembly programming from CompSys, but do need some brushing up. We had to use RISC-V architecture in CompSys.
- 4. My expectation to the course is to get a better understanding of how the compiler works, brush up functional programming with F# and get an idea of the grammar behind high-level programming languages.

Task 2

1

As far as the completness of the program is concerned, rec eval() is implemented completely (cf. <calculator.fsx>) and includes the following cases: CONSTANT, VARIABLE, OPERATE, LET_IN and OVER. The operator op comprises three arithmetical operations: addition, subtraction and multiplication. The operator rop involves the range operations of summing up the values in an interval RSUM, multiplying up the values in the interval RPROD, finding the maximum value in the interval for a specific expression RMAX and finding the maximum value and returning its index RARGMAX.

However, at the moment the program does not handle negative integers as input: it throws the "parse error" exception for the inputs like "1 * -30". We are not expected to optimize the parser, but a quick fix to this is to write zero before the minus and put the expression into the paranthesis: as "2 * (0 - 30)". If the negative term is not put into the paranthesis as in "2 * 0 - 30", the program will return an incorrect result -30, because multiplication operation has a higher priority than subtraction: 2 * 0 = 0 in 0 - 30 = -30.

2

Speaking about the correctlness of the functionality, the program works correctly. I have tested it on the handed-in program0 - program5 and a couple of other inputs:

Input	Output
0. 1 - 2 - 3	INT -4
1. let $x = 4$ in $x + 3$	INT 7
2. let $x0 = 2$ in let $x1 = x0 * x0$ in let $x2 = x1 * x1$ in $x2 * x2$	INT 256
3. sum $x = 1$ to 4 of x^*x	INT 30
4. $\max x = 0 \text{ to } 10 \text{ of } 5 * x - x * x$	INT 6
5. $\operatorname{argmax} x = 0 \text{ to } 10 \text{ of } 5 * x - x * x$	INT 2
2 * (0 - 30)	INT -60
30 * 56 *(0-2)	INT -3360
let $x0 = 10$ in let $x1 = x0 + x0$ in let $x2 = x1 * x1$ in $x2 * x2$	INT 160000
let $x0 = 10$ in let $x1 = x0 + x0$ in let $x2 = x1 * x1$ in $x2$ - $x0$	INT 390

3

I evaluate my eval() to have linear worst-case running time, O(n). I analyze the functions in accord with each branch/case and choose the one with the worst time complexity:

- CASE 1: CONSTANT $n \rightarrow O(1)$: it takes constant time to evaluate ans return a constant.
- CASE 2: VARIABLE v -> O(n): it takes linear time for eval() to evaluate a variable v because it calls lookup() that runs in O(n), where n is a number of entries in a symbol table.
- CASE 3: OPERATE -> O(1): it takes constant time for each of the arithmetic operations BPLUS, BMINUS and BTIMES.
- CASE 4: LET_IN -> O(n). In principle, this branch should run in O(1), because bind() runs in constant time. But if e1 is evaluated to a variable, then the worst-case for this branch would be linear.

• CASE 5: OVER -> O(n). The case comprises four subcases RSUM, RPROD, RMAX and RARGMAX. All of them include a recursive range() function that will determine the overall complexity of CASE 5. The recursive funs worst-case running time corresponds to the number of elements in the array or n = e2 = value2 = endValue. In other words, the total worst-case running time for CASE 5 is O(n).

Summing up, the overall time complexity of eval() is O(n). The space eval() uses corresponds to the length of the array it takes as input and is maximum as large as the number of elements in the array n, i.e. O(n).

4

At the moment eval() contains repeated code as OVER includes a recursive renage function for each subcase: RSUM, RPROD, etc. to to perform the iteration and accumulation within each of the subcases.

To improve the program so that it does not contain repeated code lines, one should add helper-functions for each of the subcases and define them outside of eval(). That would be in accord with good coding principles, namely that about avoiding redundancy and repetition. And that would make eval() considerably shorter and more elegant, with the four subcases of OVER calling a respective recursive range function defined below.

Task 3

Non-obvious implementation choices in the Fasto program:

- 1. The implementation of mul(): one of the ways to handle multiplication with non-positive integers is to increment the second parameter and to substract the first parameter (cf. assign1.fo & mul.fo)
 - if y < 0 then mul(x, y+1) x . Another way of handling negative integers is to flip the sign of both
 - Another way of handling negative integers is to hip the sign of both terms: if y < 0 then mul(-x, -y)
- 2. The implementation of map(): in order to implement the diff operation on the elements in a list, we need to define the anonymous fun that has a base case for the first element if i == 0 then arr[0] and the

rest: calculates the difference between two neighbouring elements else arr[i] - arr[i-1].

3. The implementation of potensPlus: reduce() returns a scalar, which is what the program should do. But it is defined as a plus operation reduce(plus, 0, 1,..., n-1). In our case, the program should compute the accumulated value of each element in array raised to the power of 2.

We define an additional function potensPlus() outside of main, which we pass in as the argument to reduce().

First, I defined fun int potensPlus(int a, int b) = mul(a,b) + mul(b, b) and got a very large number as output, because reduce() would add the product of two elements mul(a,b) to the next element in the array per each element. To fix this, we need to redefine potensPlus so that only the second element is raised to the power of 2 as in fun int potensPlus(int a, int b) = a + mul(b, b). That fixes the bug and returns the expected output.

Tests of mul(): The mul() has been tests on various input: two positive ints, positive & negative int, negative & positive int, two negative ints, a zero & an int, a zero & a zero. See <mul.fo>, <mul.in>, <mul.out>. The program returns as expected.

map() is defined within main and would require more effort to test multiple inputs that mul() does. However, it returns as expected for the input defined in the assignment {4, 3, 7, 2, 4} and returns 54. See the files <assign1.fo>, <assign1.in>, <assign1.out>.