

[Course](#) > [5. Data Modeling](#) > [Lecture: Clustering](#) > Clustering

## Clustering

🔖 Bookmark this page

My nephew wants me to program a video game for him called 'Monster Family', and even provided a description of its characters:

The image shows a hand-drawn table on a piece of paper, organized into two main sections. Each section has a header row with columns for 'monster', 'name', 'power', and 'likes (weak)'. The left section lists six monsters: Lava monster, Sea monster, earth monster, Sea snake, Fire snake, and Shadow monster. The right section lists five monsters: Jelly fish, Jungle monster, Food monster, King of monsters, and a partially visible 'Food monster baby'. Each entry includes a small drawing of the monster, its power level (e.g., 20 damage, 5 damage), and its preferences (e.g., water balloons, ice cubes, rainballs, hail power, pet water snake, water balloons, anything, rocks, lava balls, food). The drawings are simple and childlike, consistent with a child's description.

monster	name	power	likes (weak)
	Lava monster	20 damage	water balloons
	Sea monster	5 damage (Attacks very fast)	Ice cubes
	earth monster	10 damage	rainballs
	Sea snake	30 damage	Hail power
	Fire snake	20 damage	pet water snake
	Shadow monster	10 damage	water balloons

  

monster	name	power	likes (weak)
	Jelly fish	1 (really common) damage	Anything
	Jungle monster	20 damage	Rocks
	Food monster	30 damage	lava balls
	King of monsters	60 damage	Food monster baby

Although he's young, you can tell he's particular about details. To make the game to his likings, I needed to know which monsters were real family members, and which monsters weren't. If he had added just one more column that held that detail, FamilyA, FamilyB, etc., I would have been set:

	Name	Health	Attack	Weakness	Family
0	'Lava Monster'	10	20	'Water Balloons'	NaN
1	'Sea Monster'	10	5	'Ice Cubes'	NaN
2	'Earth Monster'	20	10	'Rainballs'	NaN
3	'Sea Snake'	30	30	'Hail Power'	NaN
4	'Fire Snake'	40	20	'Pet Water Snake'	NaN
5	'Shadow Monster'	20	10	'Water Balloons'	NaN
6	'Jelley Fish'	1	1	'Anything'	NaN
7	'Jungle Monster'	10	20	'Rocks'	NaN
8	'Food Monster'	20	30	'Lava Balls'	NaN
9	'King of Monsters'	100	60	'Food Monster Baby'	NaN

This monster 'dataset' is similar to real-world data in that it comes loaded with observational features, but isn't *labeled*. The one question I want a direct answer to isn't included as a feature. If there were way to automatically group similar samples based solely on their features, we then could use that knowledge to guide us towards actionable intelligence. That way exist, and its called unsupervised clustering.

## Similarity

Since the goal of clustering is the grouping of similar records, you have to first define what similarity means. How would you go define monster similarity?

	Name	Attack	Group
9	'King of Monsters'	60	0
3	'Sea Snake'	30	0
8	'Food Monster'	30	0
0	'Lava Monster'	20	1
4	'Fire Snake'	20	1
7	'Jungle Monster'	20	1
2	'Earth Monster'	10	2
5	'Shadow Monster'	10	2
1	'Sea Monster'	5	2
6	'Jelley Fish'	1	2

One way you could group them is by attack power. Perhaps the monsters who deal the most damage to the player belong to a family, the weaker monsters belong to a family, and then the rest grouped as a family too, as shown above.

	Name	Weakness	Group
0	'Lava Monster'	'Water Balloons'	0
1	'Sea Monster'	'Ice Cubes'	0
2	'Earth Monster'	'Rainballs'	0
3	'Sea Snake'	'Hail Power'	0
4	'Fire Snake'	'Pet Water Snake'	0
5	'Shadow Monster'	'Water Balloons'	0
6	'Jelley Fish'	'Anything'	1
7	'Jungle Monster'	'Rocks'	2
8	'Food Monster'	'Lava Balls'	3
9	'King of Monsters'	'Food Monster Baby'	4

Another grouping would be by weakness. It seems a lot of monsters that share a water-based weakness. All of these might belong to the same family. The remaining monsters might each belong to a separate family, or might actually be members of a sporadic family. There are many other ways you could group them as well, such as by size, by name (e.g. monster vs snake), etc.

Without a generalizable way to group the samples, deterministic computers can't cluster your data. What's needed is a systematic means of measuring the **overall** similarity between your samples. Let's discuss how that's accomplished in the next section.

© All Rights Reserved



🌐 English ▼

© 2012-2017 edX Inc. All rights reserved except where noted. EdX, Open edX and the edX and Open EdX logos are registered trademarks or trademarks of edX Inc. | 粵ICP备17044299号-2

POWERED BY  
OPENedX®

