

Microsoft: DAT210x Programming with Python for Data Science

Help

Course > 5. Data Modeling > Lecture: Splitting Data > SciKit-Learn Implementation

## SciKit-Learn Implementation

☐ Bookmark this page

## SciKit-Learn Implementation

SciKit-Learn helps you split your data:

```
>>> from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
>>> data = [0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9] # input dataframe samples
>>> labels = [0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,1] # the function we're training is ">4 "
>>> data_train, data_test, label_train, label_test = train_test_split(data, labels, test_size=0.5, random_state=7)
>>> data_train
[9, 7, 3, 6, 4]
>>> label_train
[1, 1, 0, 1, 0]
>>> data_test
[8, 5, 0, 2, 1]
>>> label_test
[1, 1, 0, 0, 0]
```

Notice how your data is held separately from your labels. This is important! It's difficult enough for your machines to figure out how to mathematically get from your raw data to your labels without the two being inter-jumbled. We've used df as a shorthand for dataframe but in the future we will start using a more conventional X for our data, and y for our answer labels.

Unless you specify otherwise, it'll hold back 25% of your data in the validation or testing set, and 75% will stay in the original training set. Each time you run <code>train\_test\_splot()</code> it holds back a randomly shuffled amount of data, so one thing you'll start noticing is that successive trials of your algorithm may actually produce slightly different accuracy levels. This is normal, so do not be alarmed. If you absolutely need the results to come back identically, such as if you're doing a demo, then you can pass in an optional random\_state variable to make the centroid selection reproducible.

After you've trained your model against the **training** data (data\_train, label\_train), the next step is testing it. You'll use the .predict() method of your model, passing in the **testing** data (data\_test) to create an array of predictions. And then you'll gauge its accuracy against the *true* label\_test answers. SciKit-Learn also has a method to help you do that:

```
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score

# Returns an array of predictions:
>>> predictions = my_model.predict(data_test)
>>> predictions
[0, 0, 0, 1, 0]

# The actual answers:
>>> label_test
[1, 1, 0, 0, 0]
>>> accuracy_score(label_test, predictions)
0.4000000000000000
>>> accuracy_score(label_test, predictions, normalize=False)
2
```

We covered a lot of important material here that you'll want to make sure gets added to your Evaluating phase on the **course map**. You'll only know if your models are performing well after evaluating them, so being able to reliably grade your models is a precursor to driving intelligence from your raw data.

© All Rights Reserved





© 2012-2017 edX Inc. All rights reserved except where noted. EdX, Open edX and the edX and Open EdX logos are registered trademarks or trademarks of edX Inc. | 粵ICP备17044299号-2













