ASSIGNMENT - 4 advanced algorithms HARSHITA SHARMA

(20171099)

1) Prefix sum in EREW PRAM Model.

Upward Traversal can be carried out as in but for EREW, since no two processors are allowed to read/weite simultaneously to same shared memory cell - we can carry out the odd/even indices &eparately eike 80-

prefix (a[i:n], n) {

upward $\{i\} (n=1) \{s[o]=q[o]; \rightarrow 1, 1 \}$ return s; $\{i\} (n=1) \{s[o]=q[o]; \rightarrow 1, 1 \}$ $\{i\} (n=1) \{s[o]=q[o]; \rightarrow 1, 1 \}$ $y = \text{prefix}(x, n|2); \rightarrow T(n|2), W(n|2)$

downward for even j in {0: N-13 s[j] = y[j|2] || powent $\rightarrow 1$, n|2 $\Rightarrow 1$ || $\Rightarrow 1$ ||

Time Complexity: T(n)=1+1+ T(n/2)+1+1 T(n) = D (log n)

Work Complexity: W(n) = 1+ 1/2 + W(n/2)+ n/2+ n/2

W(n) = O(n)

CREW PRAM model. a. If we take subsections of size & from away A and B k ≤ [log log n] such that Hence, A = A1, A2 --- An B= B . . B Bm b. Then. we murge $\vec{A} = (a_1, a_2, a_3 - a_n)$ and B = (b1, b2 - - . bm) where ai is first element of Ai and bi is first element of Bi This can be done using the following algorithm: - Rank the a set of 1m elements of B into parts of almost equal lengths in sorted sequence 4. (by applying parallel search) - Hence, the computed ranks of the chosen elements will create a partition on A into & sections s.t. each section of A has to fit between two chosen elements of B. - Now, the overall problem is reduced to ranking elements of each part of B into a coeresponding section of A. - O (loglogn) time, O(n) operations c. Since each ai now can be located in a part Bc: hence its rank in B can be found since Similarly Ranks of bi in A are also found O(n) op concurrently. - O (log log n) time, O(n) op. d. Finally, For each i, ranks of elements (remaining) in each Ai and Bj. (for each j) are found. As the merging problem is now reduced to a set of non-overlapping merging subproblems - and each collesponding pair has O(loglogn) elements. Hence, these subproblems can be solved concurrently in O (log log n) time. O(n) operations

0

Optimal O(log logn) time merging algorithm in

2

0

For a parallel search algorithm. Time complexity = O(logpn) " given time complexity = 0 (log log n) $O(\log pn) = O(\log \log n)$ logpn = log logn no. of processors = n tookgr Work Complexity: for a parallel search algorithm is O(plogpn) Work Complexity = 0 (nwglogn log nwglogn (4t for EXEM. Lines med troumps Upwell Traversal Compan

(1) FACTOR ALLEN IN EREW PRATIN PROPERTY X MMW)

N inputs, each of 'k' bits

Ro, for each of k bits if each input is checked—

for (i=1 to k) {

for (j=1 to N) { — O(1) in parallel = N processors

if (ith bit of jth input is set)

write | # Set ith bit of ans to 1.

Ì

to a server at involved

So, if we use N processors, each to find ith bit of answer, our time in parallel

Time Complexity: O(# bito) = O(1)Work Complexity: O(N) * D(= O(N)Work Complexity: O(N) * O(# bito) = O(N)

We have n elements but only p processors. to perform the prefix-sum algorithm

9, 92 93 94 95 96 97 98

- 1) Then each processor can sum an nip section of the array to generate sum (assume nip is integer).
- 2 Then, using the last prefix eum on each processor a p-element parallel prefix algo is sur
- 3 On each processor, the results from step 1 & local prefix sum is combined.

Work / Time Complexity:

Step 1: Local prefix sum of
$$-0(n/p)$$

 $n/2$ elements $-0(n/p*P)=0(n)$

Step 3: updating
$$n/p$$
 - $O(n/p)$

prefix sum - $O(n)$

Time Complexity = $T(n_1 P) = O(n/p + \log P)$ Work Complexity = $W(n_1 P) = O(n + P)$

Example: N=11, P=4

	2	3	5	7	ı	9	3	4	6	8	2	< array	1
	[2]			[n]			[27]			[計]			
STEP 1:	2	5	10	7	8	17	3	7	13	8	10	- step1	L
STEP2:			10		-4	17			13		10	70000	

