

# Algebra Notes

Nikhil R.

## Contents

<b>1 Groups</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1 Laws of Composition . . . . .	2
1.2 Groups and Subgroups . . . . .	2
1.3 Subgroups of Additive Group of Integers . . . . .	3
1.4 Cyclic Groups . . . . .	3
1.5 Isomorphisms . . . . .	4
1.6 Homomorphisms . . . . .	4
1.7 Cosets . . . . .	6
1.8 Modular Arithmetic . . . . .	7
1.9 Correspondence Theorem . . . . .	8
1.10 Product Groups . . . . .	9
1.11 Quotient Groups . . . . .	10
1.12 The Commutator Subgroup . . . . .	11
<b>2 Symmetries and Applications</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Finite and Discrete Groups of Motions in $\mathbb{R}^2$ . . . . .	13
2.2 Group Actions . . . . .	14
2.3 Cayley's Theorem . . . . .	16
2.4 Conjugacy Classes . . . . .	16
2.5 Conjugation on $S_n$ . . . . .	17
2.6 $p$ -Groups . . . . .	19
2.7 The Sylow Theorems . . . . .	21
2.8 Further Results on $p$ -Groups . . . . .	22
<b>3 Group Representations</b>	<b>25</b>
3.1 Definitions . . . . .	25
3.2 Characters . . . . .	25
3.3 Sums of Representations . . . . .	26
3.4 Unitary Representations and Maschke's Theorem . . . . .	27
3.5 The Main Theorem . . . . .	29
3.6 Linear Characters . . . . .	30
3.7 Algebraic Integers and the Center of a Character . . . . .	31
3.8 Burnside's Theorem . . . . .	33

# 1 Groups

## 1.1 Laws of Composition

**Definition.** A **law of composition** on a set  $S$  is a function  $S \times S \rightarrow S$ . We usually denote the element obtained using multiplication, addition, or no symbol:  $p = a \circ b = a + b = ab$ .

- The law is **commutative** if  $\forall a, b \in S, ab = ba$ .
- The law is **associative** if  $\forall a, b, c \in S, (ab)c = a(bc)$ .
- If  $\exists e$  s.t.  $ea = ae = a$  ( $\forall a \in S$ ) then  $e$  is called an **identity** (unique).
- An element  $a$  of  $S$  is **invertible** if  $\exists b \in S$  s.t.  $ab = ba = e$  (identity).

**Example.** Composing two functions is associative but not commutative.

**Proposition.** Given associativity, ( $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) there is a unique way to write  $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i$  given by  $a_1 = a_1$ ,  $a_1 a_2$  is given by the law, and  $a_1 \dots a_n = (a_1 \dots a_i)(a_{i+1} \dots a_n)$ .

*Proof.* By induction. □

## 1.2 Groups and Subgroups

**Definition.** A **group**  $G$  is a set equipped with a law of composition such that:

1. The law is associative.
2. There is an identity  $e$  s.t.  $ae = ea = a \forall a \in G$ .
3.  $\forall a \in G, \exists a^{-1}$  s.t.  $a^{-1}a = aa^{-1} = e$ .

We immediately inherit cancellation laws. The group is called **abelian** if the law of composition is commutative. The **order** of a group  $G$  is the number of elements it contains, denoted by  $|G|$ .

**Example.**  $(\mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}, \times)$  for multiplication and  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  for addition.

**Example.**  $GL_n$  is the group of  $n \times n$  invertible matrices with matrix multiplication.

**Example.** Let  $M$  be the set of permutations on a set  $T$  with composition of functions.

**Example.**  $S_n$  is the permutation on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $|S_n| = n!$ .

**Example.**  $S_2$  is a group of order 2. This is completely determined since 1 must be in the group and by closure since  $g \neq 1$ ,  $g^2 \neq g$  so  $g^2 = 1$ .

**Example.**  $S_3$  has order 6 and is the smallest group for which composition is not commutative. Namely, Let  $x$  be the cyclic permutation of  $(1, 2, 3)$  and  $y$  the transposition of  $(1, 2)$ . Then,  $S_3 = \{1, x, x^2, y, xy, x^2y\}$ . This is because  $x^3 = 1$ ,  $y^2 = 1$ ,  $yx = x^2y$  and  $xy \neq yx$ .

**Example.** Given an arbitrary set,  $T$ , the set of all bijections (automorphisms) on  $T$  denoted  $\text{Sym}(T) = \text{Aut}(T)$  is a group.

**Definition.** A subset  $H \subseteq G$  is a **subgroup** if:

1. It is closed:  $a, b \in H \implies ab \in H$ .
2. The identity is in  $H$ .
3. If  $a \in H$  then  $a^{-1} \in H$ .

**Example.**  $GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \leq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the subgroup linear maps only of the larger group of all bijections.

### 1.3 Subgroups of Additive Group of Integers

Let's consider the group of integers under addition, noted  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ .

**Proposition.** Every subgroup is of the form  $a\mathbb{Z} := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n = ka \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{R}\}$  for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $a\mathbb{Z}$  is a group  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $H \neq \{0\}$  is a subgroup. Let  $b$  be the smallest positive integer and we conclude  $H = b\mathbb{Z}$ , since if we take arbitrary  $h \in H$  and write this as  $h = mb + r$ ,  $h + (-mb) \in H \implies r \in H \implies r = 0$  meaning  $h = mb$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists d \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} = d\mathbb{Z}$ . We call  $d$  the  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$ . If  $d = 1$  then we say  $a$  and  $b$  are **relatively prime**. We may also denote  $m = \text{lcm}(a, b)$  given by  $a\mathbb{Z} \cap b\mathbb{Z} = m\mathbb{Z}$  since the intersection of two groups is also a group.

### 1.4 Cyclic Groups

**Definition.** Given  $x \in G$  the smallest subgroup containing  $x$  is the **cyclic subgroup**  $\langle x \rangle := \{\dots, x^{-2}, x^{-1}, 1, x, x^2, \dots\}$ . This may or may not be an infinite set.

**Proposition.** Let  $S$  denote the set of integers  $k$  s.t.  $x^k = 1$ . Then  $S$  is a subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ . Namely,  $x^r = x^s \iff r - s$  must be in  $S$  and  $S = n\mathbb{Z}$  for some integer  $n$ .

- If  $\langle x \rangle$  has infinite order, it is said to be infinitely cyclic.
- If  $\langle x \rangle$  has order  $n$ , where  $|\langle x \rangle| = n$  then we can write  $\langle x \rangle = \{1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}\}$ .
- The identity is the only element of order 1.
- If  $k = nq + r$  where  $n$  is the order of  $x$  and  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $x^k = x^r$ .

- Given  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $|\langle x^k \rangle| = n/d$  where  $d = \gcd(k, n)$ .

**Definition.** Subgroups of  $G$  generated by a subset  $U \subseteq G$  are the smallest groups containing  $U$ .  $U$  is said to generate  $G$  if  $\langle U \rangle = G$ .

## 1.5 Isomorphisms

**Example.**  $G_1 := \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$  under complex multiplication and  $G_2 \leq S_4$  generated by  $\langle \rho \rangle = \{e, \rho, \rho^2, \rho^3\}$  since  $\rho^4 = 1$ . Note that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  have the same multiplication structure with a relabeling.

**Definition.** An **isomorphism**  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a bijection where  $f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \cdot f(y)$  ( $\forall x, y \in G_1$ ). In this case, we write  $G_1 \cong G_2$ .

**Proposition.** Every two cyclic groups of order  $n$  are isomorphic.

*Proof.* Let  $G_1 = \langle x_1 \rangle$  and  $G_2 = \langle x_2 \rangle$  both be of order  $n$ . Then  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  s.t.  $f(x_1^k) = x_2^k$  is a bijection. Also,  $f(x_1^n \cdot x_1^m) = f(x_1^{n+m}) = x_2^{n+m} = x_2^n x_2^m$ .  $\square$

**Example ( $\infty$ , noncyclic).** Let  $G_1 = (\mathbb{R}, +)$  and  $G_2 := (\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \times)$  and  $f$  s.t.  $x \mapsto e^x$ . Since  $(\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}) f(x+y) = e^{x+y} = e^x e^y = f(x)f(y)$  and  $e^x$  is invertible on  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

**Example (finite, noncyclic).** Klein-4 Group  $G_1 \subseteq S_4 := \{e, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_1 \tau_2\}$ . Note that  $\tau_1 \tau_2 = \tau_2 \tau_1$  and  $\tau_1^2 = \tau_2^2 = e$  so  $(\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2)^2 = e$ . Thus we may also write  $GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \geq G_2 := \{I, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, -I\}$ . Thus  $G_1 \cong G_2$ .

**Example.** Is Klein-4 isomorphic to  $\{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ ? No, because Klein-4 has no elements of order 4.

**Proposition.** If two groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are isomorphic, then:

- $|G_1| = |G_2|$
- $G_1$  abelian  $\iff G_2$  abelian
- $G_1$  and  $G_2$  have the same number of elements of every order

**Definition.** Given  $G$  we may construct  $\text{Aut}(G)$  which is the set of isomorphisms on  $G$ . This is a group under composition of functions. In particular, it is a subset of  $\text{Sym}(G)$  which contains every bijection on  $G$ , including those that do not preserve the group structure.

## 1.6 Homomorphisms

**Example.** Note that  $\det : GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}, \times)$  even though  $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$ , the determinant is not a bijection.

**Definition.** A **homomorphism** is a map  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  s.t.  $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ .

- The **trivial homomorphism** is a map  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  s.t.  $x \mapsto e$ .
- A composition of two homomorphisms is a third.

**Example.**  $f : S_3 \rightarrow S_n$  given on a permutation on  $S_3$  s.t.  $f(\sigma)$  matches the permutation for 1,2,3 and leaves everything else untouched. This is injective.

**Example.** Let  $f : (\mathbb{Z}, +) \rightarrow S_2$ . Let even  $\mapsto e$  and odd  $\mapsto \sigma$ . This is a homomorphism since  $f(\text{even} + \text{odd}) = f(\text{odd}) = \sigma = e \cdot \sigma$  and similarly for the other possibilities.

**Proposition.** Given a homomorphism  $f : G \rightarrow G'$ :

- $f(e) = e'$
- $f(a^{-1}) = (f(a))^{-1}$

**Definition.** We define the **image**  $\text{Im}(f) := \{g' = f(g) \text{ for } g \in G\} \leq G'$  and the **kernel**  $\text{kernel}(f) := \{g : f(g) = e'\} \leq G$ .

- If  $\text{Im}(f) = G'$  and  $\text{kernel}(f) = \{e\}$  then  $f$  is an isomorphism.
- The kernel is a **normal subgroup** of  $G$ , denoted  $\text{kernel}(f) \trianglelefteq G$ , because  $(\forall g \in G) (\forall h \in \text{kernel}(f)), ghg^{-1} \in \text{kernel}(f)$ .

*Proof.*  $f(ghg^{-1}) = f(g)f(h)f(g^{-1}) = f(g)e'f(g)^{-1} = e' = f(e)$ . □

**Example.**  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G' = GL_1(\mathbb{R})$  where  $f(A) = \det(A)$  is a homomorphism. Then  $\text{kernel}(f) = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$  (the group of invertible matrices with determinant 1) is normal.

**Example.**  $f : S_n \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\sigma \mapsto A_\sigma$  where  $A$  is the permutation matrix corresponding to  $\sigma$ . (This is an isomorphism.)

**Example.** If we combine examples 3 and 4 we get  $S_n \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow GL_1(\mathbb{R})$ . The image of this composition is  $\{\pm 1\} \subseteq GL_1(\mathbb{R})$  and kernel is the set of all even permutations ( $A_n$ ).

**Definition.** We define the **center** subgroup of  $G$  as  $Z(G) := \{z \in G : zg = gz \ (\forall g \in G)\}$ .

- This is a normal abelian subgroup of  $G$ .
- $Z(G) = G \iff G$  is abelian.
- $Z(S_n) = \{e\}$  for  $n \geq 3$ .
- $Z(GL_n(\mathbb{R})) = \{\lambda I \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}\}$ .

**Definition.** The **natural homomorphism** is a map  $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$  defined by

$$(\forall g, h \in G), f(g)(h) := ghg^{-1}$$

*Proof.*  $(\forall h, h' \in G), f(g)(hh') = ghh'g^{-1} = ghg^{-1}gh'g^{-1} = f(g)(h) \cdot f(g)(h')$ . So our proposed function indeed is in  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . Then,  $f(gg')(h) = gg'h(gg')^{-1} = g(g'h(g')^{-1})g^{-1} = f(g) \circ f(g')(h)$ .  $\square$

Note that the kernel of the natural homomorphism is the set s.t.  $ghg^{-1} = h$ . But this is simply  $gh = hg$ . The kernel is  $Z(G)$ . The image of this function is called the **inner automorphism group** of  $G$ .

## 1.7 Cosets

Suppose  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  is a group homomorphism and let  $H \trianglelefteq G$  be the kernel of  $f$ . We know that  $f$  partitions the group into equivalence classes, given by the fibers of  $\text{Im}(f)$ .

**Proposition.** One of the equivalence classes is  $H$  and all equivalence classes are of the form  $aH := \{ah : h \in H\}$  for  $a \in G$ .

*Proof.* If  $f(a) = f(b)$  then  $f(a^{-1}b) = f(a^{-1})f(b) = (f(a))^{-1}f(b) = e'$ . Thus  $a^{-1}b \in H$ . Thus  $b = ah$  for some  $h \in H$ . The other direction is trivial.  $\square$

**Definition.** More generally,  $\forall a \in G$  and any subgroup  $H \leq G$  we define the **left cosets** of  $H$  in  $G$  as  $aH := \{ah : h \in H\}$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . The left cosets  $aH := \{ah : h \in H\}$ , given some  $a \in G$ , partition  $G$ . Moreover, for each  $a \in G$ , the map  $\phi_a : H \rightarrow aH$  defined by  $\phi_a(h) = ah$  is a bijection, so every left coset has the same number of elements as  $H$ .

*Proof.* • Each element of  $G$  lies in some coset. If  $g \in G$ , then  $g = g \cdot e$  with  $e \in H$ , so  $g \in gH$ . Hence, the union of all left cosets equals  $G$ .

- Two cosets are either identical or disjoint. Let  $aH$  and  $bH$  be two left cosets. Suppose they intersect: choose  $x \in aH \cap bH$ . Then  $x = ah_1 = bh_2$  for some  $h_1, h_2 \in H$ . Multiplying on the left by  $a^{-1}$  gives  $a^{-1}b = h_1h_2^{-1} \in H$ , since  $H$  is a subgroup. Therefore  $b = a(a^{-1}b) \in aH$ , so  $bH \subseteq aH$ . By symmetry,  $aH \subseteq bH$ , and hence  $aH = bH$ . Thus, any two left cosets are either equal or disjoint.
- The map  $\phi_a$  is a bijection  $H \rightarrow aH$ .  $\phi_a$  is surjective by definition: for every  $y \in aH$ , there exists  $h \in H$  with  $y = ah = \phi_a(h)$ .  $\phi_a$  is injective: if  $\phi_a(h_1) = \phi_a(h_2)$ , then  $ah_1 = ah_2$ . Multiplying on the left by  $a^{-1}$  gives  $h_1 = h_2$ . Hence  $\phi_a$  is a bijection, and  $|aH| = |H|$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** If  $G$  is finite and  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  is a homomorphism, then  $|G| = |\text{kernel}(f)| \cdot |\text{Im}(f)|$ .

*Proof.* The cosets are precisely the fibers of  $f$  and each has order  $|\text{kernel}(f)|$ .  $\square$

**Theorem (Lagrange).** Given a finite group  $G$  and  $g \in G$ , the order of  $g$  divides the order of  $G$ . More generally, the order of any subgroup  $H \leq G$  divides  $G$ .

*Proof.* We showed the cosets of  $H$  form a partition of  $G$  and each coset has the same size.  $\square$

**Definition.** We define the **index** of any subgroup  $H$  in  $G$  as the number of equivalence classes in the partition, denoted  $[G : H]$ . Then, if  $G$  is finite,  $|G| = |H| \cdot [G : H]$ .

**Example.**  $|A_n| = \frac{n!}{2}$  where  $A_n$  is the group of even permutations since we have  $f : S_n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  a homomorphism.

**Corollary.** If  $G$  is a finite group of order  $p$  where  $p$  is prime, then  $G$  is generated by any  $g \neq e \in G$ . Namely,  $G$  is cyclic.

*Proof.* The only two subgroups are  $\{e\}$  and  $G$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** We call a group **simple** if its only normal subgroups are  $\{e\}$  and  $G$ . That is, every nontrivial homomorphism from  $G$  is injective.

**Example.** Abelian groups are simple if and only if they are cyclic of prime order. If not, abelian groups are never simple as every subgroup is normal.

**Example.**  $A_n$  is simple for  $n \geq 5$ . In fact,  $A_5$  is the smallest nonabelian simple group.

## 1.8 Modular Arithmetic

**Definition.** Let's fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and define a **relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$**  s.t.  $a \sim b$  if  $n \mid (a - b)$ . This is clearly an equivalence relation. We denote this by  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ .

What is the structure of the equivalence classes? These are simply the cosets of the subgroup  $n\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Namely, given  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\bar{a} = a + n\mathbb{Z}$  (recall that composition is addition here). We will denote the set of equivalence classes  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, there are  $n$  of them:  $\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \dots, \bar{n-1}$ .

We may define addition and multiplication operations on  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , given by  $\bar{a} + \bar{b} := \overline{a+b}$  and  $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} := \overline{ab}$ .

Note that  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, +)$  is a group because  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  was associative, the identity is  $\bar{0}$  and inverses are given by  $-\bar{a} = \bar{n-a}$ . In fact,  $f : (\mathbb{Z}, +) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, +)$  given by  $a \mapsto \bar{a}$  is a group homomorphism that is surjective and has kernel  $n\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular,  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, +)$  is cyclic of order  $n$ , generated by  $\langle \bar{1} \rangle$ .

But is  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \times)$  a group? No, because  $\bar{0}$  does not have an inverse. However there is indeed a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  that does form a group under multiplication.

**Proposition.**  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times := \{ \bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} : \gcd(a, n) = 1 \}$  is a group under multiplication.

*Proof.* • Well-definedness. If  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ , then  $n \mid (a - b)$ , so  $\gcd(a, n) = \gcd(b, n)$ .

Thus, the condition  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$  depends only on the residue class  $\bar{a}$ , and  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$  is well defined.

- Closure. If  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$  and  $\gcd(b, n) = 1$ , then  $\gcd(ab, n) = 1$ . Hence,  $\bar{a}\bar{b} = \overline{ab}$  also lies in  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ .
- Identity. Since  $\gcd(1, n) = 1$ ,  $\bar{1}$  is in  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , and  $\bar{1}\bar{a} = \bar{a}$  for all  $\bar{a}$ .
- Inverses. If  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ , then by Bézout's identity there exist  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ar + ns = 1$ . Thus  $ar \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , so  $\bar{r}$  is the inverse of  $\bar{a}$ .

□

**Example.** What are the last two digits of  $2^{1000}$ ? We want  $2^{1000} \pmod{100}$ . Note that  $2^{10} = 1024 \equiv 24 \pmod{100}$ . Then  $2^{20} = (2^{10})^2 \equiv 24^2 = 576 \equiv 76 \pmod{100}$ . Then  $76^2 = 5776 \equiv 76$ , meaning we are stuck in a loop. So  $2^{1000} = (2^{20})^{50} \equiv 76^{50} \equiv 76 \pmod{100}$ .

## 1.9 Correspondence Theorem

**Proposition.** Let  $H \leq G$ . Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $H \trianglelefteq G$
2.  $gHg^{-1} = H \quad \forall g \in G$
3.  $gH = Hg \quad \forall g \in G$
4.  $\forall g \in G \quad \exists g' \in G$  such that  $gH = Hg'$

*Proof.* (1.  $\Rightarrow$  2.) normality gives that the LHS is a subgroup of the RHS, but the action of conjugation is a bijection meaning the orders are the same. Thus we get the equality. (2.  $\Rightarrow$  3.) right multiplication is also a bijection so  $gH = (gHg^{-1})g = Hg$ . (3.  $\Rightarrow$  4.) take  $g' = g$ . (4.  $\Rightarrow$  1.) by contradiction. Assume  $H$  is not normal, meaning  $\exists g \in G, h \in H$  such that  $ghg^{-1} \notin H$ . Suppose that for this  $g$ ,  $\exists k \in G$  such that  $gH = Hk$ .  $g = ge \in gH \implies g \in Hk$ . Then  $\exists g = h'k$  for some  $h' \in H$ . Then  $k = (h')^{-1}g$ , meaning  $gH = H((h')^{-1}g)$ . But  $H(h')^{-1} = H$ , so we get  $gH = Hg$ . But then  $gh \in Hg \implies gh = h''g$  for some  $h'' \in H$  meaning  $ghg^{-1} = h'' \in H$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\forall g \in G, gH \neq Hg$ . □

**Theorem (Correspondence).** Let  $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$  be a homomorphism, then:

- $H \leq G \implies \phi(H) \leq G'$
- $H' \leq G' \implies \phi^{-1}(H') \leq G$
- $H \trianglelefteq G \implies \phi(H) \trianglelefteq \text{Im}(\phi)$  (the rest is not seen by  $\phi$ , so normality may fail)
- $H' \trianglelefteq \text{Im}(\phi) \implies \phi^{-1}(H') \trianglelefteq G$  (the rest is not seen by  $\phi$  so this is sufficient)

In particular,  $\text{kernel}(\phi) \mapsto e'$  and  $G \mapsto \text{Im}(\phi)$ . Further, there is a bijective correspondence between  $\{H \leq G : H \geq \text{kernel}(\phi)\} \longleftrightarrow \{H' \leq \text{Im}(\phi)\}$ . Namely, let  $A := \{H \leq G : H \geq \text{kernel}(\phi)\}$ ,  $B := \{H' \leq G' : H' \leq \text{Im}(\phi)\}$ . Then,

$$\Phi : A \rightarrow B \text{ where } H \mapsto \phi(H)$$

$$\Psi^{-1} : B \rightarrow A \text{ where } H' \mapsto \phi^{-1}(H')$$

These are bijections and inverses of each other. The idea for containing  $\text{kernel}(\phi)$  is that:  $\phi^{-1}(\phi(H)) = H \cdot \text{kernel}(\phi) = H$  if  $H \geq \text{kernel}(\phi)$ . The other direction,  $\phi(\phi^{-1}(H')) = H' \cap \text{Im}(\phi) = H'$  since  $H' \leq \text{Im}(\phi)$ .

## 1.10 Product Groups

**Definition.** Let  $H, K \leq G$ . Then we define the **set product**  $HK := \{hk : h \in H, k \in K\}$ . In general, this is not a subgroup of  $G$ .

**Proposition.**  $HK \leq G$  if  $K \leq H$ , if  $(\forall h \in H)(\forall k \in K) hk = kh$ , or if either  $H$ , or  $K$  is normal. (There are other conditions to make  $HK \leq G$ , but these are some of them.)

*Proof.*  $H \trianglelefteq G \Rightarrow (h_1k_1)(h_2k_2) = (h_1)(k_1h_2k_1^{-1})(k_1k_2) = (h_1h_3)k_3 \in HK$ . □

**Proposition.** If  $H, K$  are finite, then  $|HK| = \frac{|H||K|}{|H \cap K|}$ .

*Proof.* Consider the map  $\Phi : \{\text{left cosets of } H \cap K \text{ in } H\} \longrightarrow \{hK : h \in H\}$  given by  $\Phi(h(H \cap K)) = hK$ . We will show that  $\Phi$  is well-defined and bijective. Each coset  $hK$  has exactly  $|K|$  elements, and are pairwise disjoint partitioning  $HK$ . Then since  $\Phi$  is bijective, the order of the RHS is the same as the order of the LHS, which is  $[H : H \cap K]$ . Thus,  $|HK| = [H : H \cap K] \cdot |K|$ . But for finite groups,  $[H : H \cap K] = \frac{|H|}{|H \cap K|}$  since  $H \cap K \leq H$ .

- Well-defined: if  $h_1(H \cap K) = h_2(H \cap K)$  then  $h_2^{-1}h_1 \in H \cap K \subseteq K$ , so  $h_1K = h_2K$ .
  - Injective: since  $h_1, h_2 \in H$ ,  $h_1K = h_2K \implies h_2^{-1}h_1 \in H \cap K \implies h_1(H \cap K) = h_2(H \cap K)$ .
  - Surjective: every coset  $hK$  with  $h \in H$  is  $\Phi(h(H \cap K))$  by definition.
- 

**Definition.** Let  $H, K$  be two groups. we define the **external direct product**  $G := H \times_e K := \{(h, k) : h \in H, k \in K\}$ .

- This is a group when the product is defined as  $(h_1, k_1)(h_2, k_2) = (h_1h_2, k_1k_2)$ .
- Also,  $H \times \{e_K\} := \{(h, e_K) : h \in H\} \trianglelefteq G$  and  $\{e_H\} \times K := \{(e_H, k) : k \in K\} \trianglelefteq G$ .
- $G = (H \times \{e_K\}) \cdot (\{e_H\} \times K)$ .
- $(H \times \{e_K\}) \cap (\{e_H\} \times K) = \{(e_H, e_K)\} = e_G$ .

**Definition.** Let  $G$  be a group, with  $H, K \trianglelefteq G$ , s.t.  $G = HK$  and  $H \cap K = \{e\}$ . Then, we write the **internal direct product**  $G = H \times_i K$ .

- Under this condition,  $(\forall h \in H, \forall k \in K) hk = kh$ . This means  $G = H \times_i K = K \times_i H$ .

*Proof.* Take  $h \in H, k \in K$  and consider  $hkh^{-1}k^{-1}$ .  $hkh^{-1} \in K$  since  $K \trianglelefteq G$  and  $khk^{-1} \in H$  since  $H \trianglelefteq G$ . Then,  $hkh^{-1}k^{-1} = h(kh^{-1}k^{-1}) \in H$  and  $hkh^{-1}k^{-1} = (hkh^{-1})k^{-1} \in K$ . So  $hkh^{-1}k^{-1} \in H \cap K = \{e\}$ , which means  $hkh^{-1}k^{-1} = e \implies hk = kh$ .  $\square$

**Theorem.** Suppose  $G = H \times_i K$ . Let  $G' := H \times_e K$ . Then  $G' \cong G$  with isomorphism  $\phi(h, k) = hk$ .

*Proof.* • homomorphism:  $\phi((h_1, k_1)(h_2, k_2)) = \phi(h_1h_2, k_1k_2) = h_1h_2k_1k_2$  By commutativity, this is  $h_1k_1h_2k_2 = \phi(h_1, k_1)\phi(h_2, k_2)$ .

- surjective since  $G = HK$ .
- injective since  $hk = e \implies h = k^{-1}$  so  $h, k^{-1} \in H \cap K$  meaning  $h = k = e$ .

$\square$

## 1.11 Quotient Groups

From modular arithmetic, recall that the cosets of  $\mathbb{Z}$  under addition formed a group. We now seek to generalize this idea. Exactly when can we put a group structure on the set of cosets  $\{aH\}$  for  $H \leq G$ ?

Let's first suppose  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  is a surjective homomorphism and let  $H := \text{kernel}(f)$ . We can bijectively map  $\text{Im}(f)$  to  $\{aH\}$ . Let  $F : G \rightarrow G/H$  s.t.  $a \mapsto aH$ . This is a surjective homomorphism. Then,  $G/H$  inherits the same group structure as  $\text{Im}(f)$  meaning  $(aH)(bH) = (ab)H$ .  $G/H$  is called the **quotient group** of  $G$ .

Can we do this for an arbitrary subgroup  $H \leq G$ ? Not generally true. Suppose  $H$  is not normal in  $G$ . Then  $\exists a \in G$  s.t.  $aHa^{-1} \neq H \implies (\exists h \in H \text{ s.t. } aha^{-1} \notin H)$ . Then for this  $h$ , consider the product  $(aH)(a^{-1}H)$ . Since  $ah \in aH$  and  $a^{-1}e \in a^{-1}H$ , we should get our product  $(ah)(a^{-1}e) = aha^{-1}$  to be in  $(aa^{-1})H = H$ , which is a contradiction. Thus the multiplication is not well-defined.

So what if  $H \trianglelefteq G$ ? That is, suppose  $(\forall a \in G)$ ,  $aH = Ha$ . Then our product definition  $aH \cdot bH := (ab)H$  is indeed well-defined.

*Proof.* Let's take  $a, b \in G$  and calculate the set of all products of cosets.  $aH \cdot bH := \{ah \cdot bh' \in G : h, h' \in H\}$ . We may use normality to rewrite  $aH = Ha$ . Then  $aH \cdot bH = a(Hb)H = a(bH)H = (ab)HH$ . Since  $H$  is a closed as a subgroup,  $HH = H$ . So indeed  $aH \cdot bH = (ab)H$ .  $\square$

Given this quotient group, the identity coset is  $eH = H$  and the inverses are  $a^{-1}H$ .

**Proposition.** Every normal subgroup of  $G$  is the kernel of some homomorphism.

*Proof.* Suppose  $H \trianglelefteq G$ , and consider the group  $G/H$ . The homomorphism  $F : G \rightarrow G/H$  s.t.  $a \mapsto aH$  is surjective and satisfies  $F[H] = H = e_{G/H}$ . Thus,  $\text{kernel}(F) = H$ .  $\square$

**Theorem (First Isomorphism).** Let  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  be a group homomorphism, and let  $H := \text{kernel}(f) \trianglelefteq G$ . Then  $G/H \cong \text{Im}(f) \leq G'$ .

*Proof.* Define the map  $\varphi : G/H \rightarrow \text{Im}(f)$  by  $\varphi(aH) = f(a)$ . We show it is an isomorphism:

- Well-defined. If  $aH = bH$ , then  $b^{-1}a \in H = \text{ker}(f)$ , so  $f(a) = f(b \cdot b^{-1}a) = f(b)f(b^{-1}a) = f(b) \cdot e = f(b)$ .
- Homomorphism. For  $aH, bH \in G/H$ ,  $\varphi(aH \cdot bH) = \varphi(abH) = f(ab) = f(a)f(b) = \varphi(aH)\varphi(bH)$ .
- Injective. If  $\varphi(aH) = e' \in \text{Im}(f)$ , then  $f(a) = e'$ , so  $a \in H = \text{ker}(f)$ , and hence  $aH = H$ , the identity of  $G/H$ .
- Surjective. Every element of  $\text{Im}(f)$  has the form  $f(a)$  for some  $a \in G$ . For each such element,  $\varphi(aH) = f(a)$ . Therefore,  $\varphi$  is surjective onto  $\text{Im}(f)$ .

$\square$

Suppose  $G$  is a group and  $H \trianglelefteq G$ . Let  $K$  be a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $H \leq K \leq G$ . Then  $H \trianglelefteq K$ , so the quotient  $K/H$  is well-defined. Moreover,  $K/H \leq G/H$ . Conversely, the preimage of any subgroup of  $G/H$  under the natural projection  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$  where  $g \mapsto gH$  is a subgroup of  $G$  containing  $H$ . In particular, by the correspondence theorem, there is a bijective correspondence between subgroups of  $G$  containing  $H$  and subgroups of  $G/H$ .

## 1.12 The Commutator Subgroup

We want to find the condition under which a quotient group  $G/N$  is abelian. Namely, when do the cosets, commute?

For any  $x, y \in G$ , this means  $(xN)(yN) = (yN)(xN)$ . Using coset multiplication, this is  $(xy)N = (yx)N$ . This equality holds if and only if  $(yx)^{-1}(xy) \in N$ . Therefore,  $G/N$  is abelian if and only if  $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy \in N$  for all  $x, y \in G$ .

**Definition.** A **commutator** of  $x, y \in G$  is defined as  $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ . Then  $[x, y] = e \iff x$  and  $y$  commute.

**Definition.** The **commutator subgroup**, denoted  $[G, G]$ , is the subgroup generated by all the commutators in  $G$ .

$$[G, G] = \langle \{[x, y] : x, y \in G\} \rangle$$

**Proposition.**  $[G, G] \trianglelefteq G$ .

*Proof.* We show that the conjugate of a commutator is also a commutator. For any  $g \in G$ ,  $g[x, y]g^{-1} = g(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy)g^{-1} = (gx^{-1}g^{-1})(gy^{-1}g^{-1})(gxg^{-1})(gyg^{-1}) = [gxg^{-1}, gyg^{-1}]$ . Let  $a$  be any element in  $[G, G]$ . Then  $a$  is a product of commutators; namely,  $a = \prod x_i$ , where each  $x_i$  is a commutator. Its conjugate is  $gag^{-1} = g(\prod x_i)g^{-1} = \prod(gx_i g^{-1})$ . Since each  $gx_i g^{-1}$  is a commutator,  $gag^{-1}$  is also a product of commutators, so it is in  $[G, G]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem.** Suppose  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then the quotient group  $G/N$  is abelian if and only if the commutator subgroup  $[G, G] \leq N$ . In particular,  $[G, G]$  is the smallest subgroup that makes the quotient abelian.

*Proof.* • ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $G/N$  is abelian. This implies  $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy \in N$  for all  $x, y \in G$ . So every commutator is in  $N$ . The commutator subgroup  $[G, G]$  is generated by these commutators. By definition,  $[G, G]$  is the smallest group containing all the commutators of  $G$ , so  $[G, G] \leq N$ .

- ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $[G, G] \leq N$ . We need to show  $(\forall x, y \in G) x^{-1}y^{-1}xy \in N$ . Consider a commutator  $a = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ . By definition,  $a \in [G, G]$ . Since  $[G, G] \leq N$ , we have  $a \in N$ .

$\square$

**Corollary.** Let  $\phi : G \rightarrow A$  be a group homomorphism, where  $A$  is an abelian group. Then  $[G, G] \leq \ker(\phi)$ .

*Proof.* By FIT,  $G/\ker(\phi) \cong \text{Im}(\phi)$ . But  $\text{Im}(\phi)$  is abelian since  $\text{Im}(\phi) \leq A$ , which is abelian. Therefore,  $G/\ker(\phi)$  is abelian. By the theorem, we must have  $[G, G] \leq \ker(\phi)$ .  $\square$

## 2 Symmetries and Applications

### 2.1 Finite and Discrete Groups of Motions in $\mathbb{R}^2$

**Definition.** A **motion** is a transformation that preserves distance and angles. They are compositions of translations, rotations, and reflections.

Let  $G_0 := O(2) = SO(2) \cup SO(2)r_l$ , where  $SO(2)$  are the rotations and  $SO(2)r_l$  are the reflections about a line  $I$ . This group is not commutative.

**Example.**  $r_l \cdot \text{rot}(\theta) \cdot r_l^{-1} = \text{rot}(-\theta) = \text{rot}(\theta)^{-1}$ .

Generally, the group of motions is  $G := \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes O(2)$ , which includes translations. Its elements take 4 forms:

- **Translations:**  $\det(g) = +1$ , fixes no points
- **Rotations:**  $\det(g) = +1$ , fixes one point
- **Reflections:**  $\det(g) = -1$ , fixes a line
- **Glide Reflections:**  $\det(g) = -1$ , fixes no points.

Let's consider the finite subgroups of  $G$ . We must exclude translations, as they do not have finite order (you can keep going out in any direction and never come back).

**Proposition.** Any finite subgroup  $\Gamma \subset G$  fixes a point  $P$ . That is,  $(\exists P)$  s.t.  $(\forall \gamma \in \Gamma)$ ,  $\gamma(P) = P$ .

*Proof.* Choose any  $s \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . The set  $\{\gamma(s) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  is finite. Let  $P := \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma(s)$ . Applying any element from  $\Gamma$  to  $P$  simply reorders the sum, leaving  $P$  fixed.  $\square$

Thus, any finite subgroup of motions is isomorphic to a finite subgroup of  $O(2)$  (the group of motions fixing the origin). The finite subgroups of  $O(2)$  are:

- **Cyclic groups,**  $C_n$ : These consist only of rotations. For each  $n$ , there is a group of order  $n$  generated by a rotation of  $2\pi/n$ .
- **Dihedral groups,**  $D_n$ : These contain rotations and reflections. For each  $n$ , there is a group of order  $2n$  generated by a rotation of  $\theta = 2\pi/n$  (order  $n$ ) and any reflection  $r$  (order 2). Its elements are  $\{e, \dots, \text{rot}(\theta)^{n-1}, r, \dots, r \cdot \text{rot}(\theta)^{n-1}\}$ .

We now consider discrete groups, which do not allow for arbitrarily small translations or rotations. It is possible to have infinite discrete groups, such as translations by an integer multiple of a given vector.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete subgroup of  $G$ . We consider its translational part,  $L := \Gamma \cap \mathbb{R}^2 = \{t_b \in \Gamma\}$ , and its rotational/reflectional part,  $\bar{\Gamma} := \Gamma/L \subset O(2)$ .

There are 3 possibilities for the lattice structure of  $L$ :

- $L = \{0\}$  (trivial lattice, corresponds to finite groups).
- $L = \mathbb{Z}b$  for some  $b \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  (a "frieze" group).
- $L = \mathbb{Z}a + \mathbb{Z}b$  for linearly independent  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$  (a "crystallographic" or "wallpaper" group).

The rotational part  $\bar{\Gamma}$  must preserve the lattice  $L$ .

**Theorem (Crystallographic Restriction).** Because of this constraint, if  $L$  is a 2D lattice ( $L = \mathbb{Z}a + \mathbb{Z}b$ ), then  $\bar{\Gamma}$  can only be  $C_n$  or  $D_n$  for  $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ .

## 2.2 Group Actions

**Definition.** Let  $G$  be a group and  $S$  a set. We say  $G$  **acts on**  $S$ , denoted  $G \curvearrowright S$ , if there is a map  $G \times S \rightarrow S$ , denoted  $(g, s) \mapsto g \star s$ , that satisfies

- $(\forall s \in S) e_G \star s = s$
- $(\forall s \in S) (\forall g, g' \in G) g' \star (g \star s) = (g'g) \star s$

Note that if we fix  $g \in G$ , the map  $M_g : S \rightarrow S$  where  $s \mapsto g \star s$  is a bijection with inverse  $M_{g^{-1}}$ . Thus, having a group action is equivalent to having a group homomorphism  $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(S)$ , given by  $g \mapsto M_g$ .

**Definition.** The **kernel of an action** is the set  $k := \{g \in G : (\forall s \in S) g \star s = s\} \leq G$ .

- In particular,  $k \trianglelefteq G$  because it is precisely the kernel of the homomorphism  $\phi$ . Namely,  $k = \{g \in G \mid M_g = \text{Identity map}\}$ .
- We call an action **faithful** if the kernel is the identity (equivalently if  $\phi$  is injective).

**Definition.** The **orbit of an element**  $s \in S$  is the subset  $O_s := \{g \star s : g \in G\} \subseteq S$ .

- The orbits partition  $S$ . Namely, the relation  $s_1 \sim s_2 \iff (\exists g \in G) \text{ s.t. } g \star s_1 = s_2$  is an equivalence relation.
- We call an action **transitive** if there is only one orbit (in particular, if  $O_s = S$ ).

**Definition.** The **stabilizer of an element**  $s \in S$  is the subgroup  $G_s := \{g \in G \mid g \star s = s\} \leq G$ .

- In particular, the kernel of the action is the intersection of all the stabilizers:  $k = \bigcap_{s \in S} G_s$ .
- If  $H := G_s$ , then  $g_1 \star s = g_2 \star s \iff g_1^{-1}g_2 \in H \iff g_1H = g_2H$ .
- If  $g \star s_1 = s_2$ , then their stabilizers are conjugate:  $G_{s_2} = gG_{s_1}g^{-1}$ .

*Proof.*  $h \in G_{s_2} \Rightarrow (g^{-1}hg) \star s_1 = (g^{-1}h) \star (g \star s_1) = g \star h \star (s_2) = g^{-1} \star s_2 = s_1$ . Thus  $h \in G_{s_1}$  so  $G_{s_2} \subseteq G_{s_1}$ . Similarly,  $gG_{s_1}g^{-1} \subseteq G_{s_2}$  since if  $k = ghg^{-1} \in gG_{s_1}g^{-1}$ , then  $k \star s_2 = s_2$ .  $\square$

**Example.**  $G \curvearrowright G$  by left multiplication. The orbit of any element is  $G$  itself, so this is a transitive action. The stabilizer of any element is the identity, so this is a faithful action.

**Example.**  $GL_n(V) \curvearrowright V \setminus \{0\}$  by matrix multiplication. This is transitive and faithful (certain matrices may fix eigenvectors, but taking the intersection and asking for a matrix that fixes every vector is only the identity matrix).

**Example.**  $S_n \curvearrowright \{1, \dots, n\}$  by permuting the letters. Once again, this is transitive, but not faithful since the stabilizer of an element is isomorphic to  $S_{n-1}$ , which is not the identity unless  $n = 2$ .

**Actions on Cosets.** Suppose  $H \leq G$  (not necessarily normal). Consider the set of left cosets  $S = G/H$  (which is not a group unless  $H$  is normal in  $G$ ). The natural action of  $G$  on  $S$  is given by  $g \star (xH) = (gx)H$ . This action is always transitive, and the stabilizer of the coset  $H$  is the subgroup  $H$  itself.

**Theorem (Orbit–Stabilizer).** Suppose  $G \curvearrowright S$  via the action  $\star$ . Fix  $s \in S$  and let  $H := G_s$ . Define the map  $f : G/H \rightarrow O_s$  by  $f(gH) = g \star s$ . Consider the natural action  $G \curvearrowright G/H$  by  $*$ , where  $x * (gH) := (xg)H$ . Then  $f$  is a well-defined bijection that also preserves the action  $\star$ . Namely,  $(\forall x \in G) (\forall gH \in G/H) f(x * gH) = x \star f(gH)$ .

*Proof.* • Well defined: Suppose  $gH = g'H$ . Then there exists  $h \in H$  such that  $g' = gh$ . Hence  $f(g'H) = (gh) \star s = g \star (h \star s) = g \star s = f(gH)$  since  $h \in G_s = H$ .

- Injective: Suppose  $f(gH) = f(g'H)$ , meaning  $g \star s = g' \star s$ . Then  $g^{-1}g' \star s = s$ , so  $g^{-1}g' \in G_s = H$ . Thus  $g' = gh$  for some  $h \in H$ , and hence  $gH = g'H$ .
- Surjective: Let  $t \in O_s$ . Then there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $t = g \star s$ . Then  $f(gH) = g \star s = t$ , so  $f$  is surjective.
- Preserves actions  $\star, *$ : Suppose  $x \in G$  and  $gH \in G/H$ . Then,  $f(x * gH) = f((xg)H) = (xg) \star s = x \star (g \star s) = x \star f(gH)$ .

$\square$

**Corollary.** Consequently,  $|G : G_s| = |O_s|$ . In particular if  $G$  is finite then we may write  $|G| = |G_s||O_s|$ .

*Proof.*  $f$  from above is a bijection meaning  $|G/H| = |O_s|$  as sets. But  $H = G_s$  meaning  $[G : G_s] = |O_s|$ .  $\square$

## 2.3 Cayley's Theorem

**Theorem.** Let  $G$  be a finite group of order  $n$ . Then  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $S_n$ .

*Proof.* Namely we use the fact that  $G \curvearrowright G$  by left multiplication is a faithful action. Let this action be represented by the injective homomorphism  $M : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(G)$ . Since  $M$  is injective we have that  $\text{Kernel}(M) = \{e\}$ . Also, we have that  $\text{Sym}(G) \cong S_n$ . Then, by the first isomorphism theorem  $G \cong G/\text{kernel}(M) \cong \text{Im}(M) \leq \text{Sym}(G) \cong S_n$ .  $\square$

## 2.4 Conjugacy Classes

**Definition.** The **normalizer** of a set (not necessarily subgroup)  $S \subseteq G$ , denoted  $N_G(S)$ , is the set of all elements in  $G$  that, when conjugating elements of  $S$ , leave the set  $S$  invariant. Namely,  $N_G(S) = \{g \in G \mid gSg^{-1} = S\}$ . (Here we may write subgroup, but we note that conjugation is a bijective map so the orders are preserved.)

**Definition.** The **centralizer** of  $S \subseteq G$ , denoted  $C_G(S)$ , is the set of all elements in  $G$  that commute with every element of  $S$ . Formally,  $C_G(S) = \{g \in G \mid gx = xg, \forall x \in S\}$ . In particular, we have that

- (a)  $Z(G) = C_G(G)$
- (b)  $x \in C_G(\{x\})$
- (c)  $x \in Z(G) \rightarrow C_G(\{x\}) = G$
- (d)  $T \subseteq S \subseteq G \Rightarrow Z(G) \subseteq C_G(S) \subseteq C_G(T)$
- (e)  $C_G(C_G(S)) \supseteq S$  (Proof:  $S$  certainly commutes with all the elements it commutes with)
- (f)  $C_G(C_G(C_G(S))) = C_G(S)$

*Proof.* ( $\subseteq$ ) by (e),  $C_G(C_G(S)) \supseteq S$  meaning by (d)  $C_G(C_G(C_G(S))) \subseteq C_G(S)$ . ( $\supseteq$ ) let  $S' := C_G(S)$ , then by (e)  $S' \subseteq C_G(C_G(S')) \Leftrightarrow C_G(S) \subseteq C_G(C_G(C_G(S)))$ .  $\square$

- (g)  $C_G(C_G(S)) = S$  if and only if  $S = C_G(A)$  for some  $A \subseteq G$

*Proof.* We have demonstrated the if direction by (f). For the other direction, assume  $C_G(C_G(S)) = S$ . Then  $S$  is indeed a centralizer of something, meaning by definition there is some set  $A$  such that  $S = C_G(A)$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** Now let's consider **groups acting on themselves by conjugation**. Namely, let  $G \curvearrowright G$  by  $(g \star h = ghg^{-1})$ . Then,

- The kernel is  $k = \{g \in G \mid ghg^{-1} = h \ (\forall h \in G)\} = Z(G)$
- The orbits are the **conjugacy classes**; namely,  $(\forall x \in G) \text{Cl}(x) := \{gxg^{-1} \mid g \in G\}$ .

- The stabilizers are the centralizers; namely,  $(\forall x \in G) G_x = \{g \in G \mid gxg^{-1} = x\} = C_G(\langle x \rangle)$ .

**Definition (Class Equation).**  $G$  is the disjoint union of its conjugacy classes (given by the fact that the orbits partition the set, in this case  $G$ ). Then, if  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t$  are a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes we have that  $|G| = \sum_{i=1}^t |\text{Cl}_g(x_i)|$ . Note that if  $x \in Z(G)$ , then  $\text{Cl}_G(x) = \{x\}$ . Thus  $|G| = |Z(G)| + \sum |\text{Cl}_{>1}|$ .

**Proposition.** If  $H \leq G$  then the subgroup  $H_G := \cap_{g \in G} gHg^{-1}$  is normal in  $G$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $h \in G$ .  $g \mapsto gh$  is a bijection so we simply reorder the terms in the intersection but still go through all of  $G$ . Namely,  $hH_Gh^{-1} = h(\cap_{g \in G} gHg^{-1})h^{-1} = \cap_{g \in G} (hg)H(hg)^{-1}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem.** If  $H \leq G$  such that  $[G : H] = p$  where  $p$  is the smallest prime divisor of  $|G|$ , then  $H \trianglelefteq G$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $H_G \trianglelefteq G$ . It suffices to show that  $H = H_G \Leftrightarrow [H : H_G] = 1$ . Consider the set (not yet group)  $G/H$ , which has order  $p$ , meaning  $|\text{Sym}(G/H)| = p!$ . Let  $\sigma : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(G/H)$  by  $g \mapsto \sigma_g : G/H \rightarrow G/H$  by  $xH \mapsto gxH$ . We note that  $\text{kernel}(\sigma) = H_G$  since  $\{g \in G : (\forall x \in G) gxH = xH\}$  but  $gxH = xH \Leftrightarrow x^{-1}gxH = H \Leftrightarrow x^{-1}gx \in H$ . But this is equivalent to saying  $(\forall x \in G) g \in xHx^{-1} \Leftrightarrow g \in H_G$ . Then, by  $|G| = |\text{kernel}(\sigma)||\text{Im}(\sigma)|$ , we see that  $[G : H_G] \mid p!$ . Also  $H_G \leq H$ , so  $[G : H_G] = [G : H][H : H_G] = p[H : H_G]$ , hence  $p$  divides  $[G : H_G]$ . We have shown that any prime divisor of  $[G : H_G]$  is  $\leq p$  (because  $[G : H_G] \mid p!$ ), but by hypothesis any prime divisor of  $[G : H_G]$  must be  $\geq p$  (since it divides  $|G|$  and  $p$  is the smallest prime divisor of  $|G|$ ). Therefore the only possible prime divisor of  $[G : H_G]$  is  $p$  itself. But in particular, the exponent of  $p$  in  $p!$  is 1. Since  $[G : H_G]$  divides  $p!$ , we have  $[G : H_G] = p$ , meaning  $H = H_G$ .  $\square$

## 2.5 Conjugation on $S_n$

We represent elements of  $S_n$  as products of disjoint cyclic permutations. For example in  $S_3$ , let  $x = (123)$  ( $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$ ) and  $y = (12)(3)$  ( $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1, 3 \rightarrow 3$ ).

**Theorem.** Let  $\sigma \in S_n$  be a  $t$ -cycle,  $\sigma = (m_1, \dots, m_t)$ . For any  $\tau \in S_n$ , the conjugate  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$  is also a  $t$ -cycle; in particular,

$$\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = (\tau(m_1), \dots, \tau(m_t))$$

*Proof.* We need to show that the function  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$  maps  $\tau(m_i)$  to  $\tau(m_{i+1})$ .  $(\tau\sigma\tau^{-1})(\tau(m_i)) = \tau(\sigma(\tau^{-1}(\tau(m_i)))) = \tau(\sigma(m_i))$ . Since  $\sigma$  maps  $m_i \rightarrow m_{i+1}$  (and  $m_t \rightarrow m_1$ ), the result is as desired. Thus,  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$  permutes the elements  $\{\tau(m_1), \dots, \tau(m_t)\}$  in a cycle, just as  $\sigma$  permutes  $\{m_1, \dots, m_t\}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** Two permutations in cycle structure are conjugate if and only if they have the same **cycle structure** (i.e., the same number of cycles of each length). The conjugacy classes (orbits) are in 1-to-1 correspondence with the possible cycle structures.

**Corollary.** The center of  $S_n$  is trivial for  $n \geq 3$ . (For  $n = 2$ ,  $S_2$  is abelian, so  $Z(S_2) = S_2$ .)

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma \in Z(S_n)$ , so  $\sigma$  commutes with all permutations in  $S_n$ . Take any transposition  $\tau = (i\ j)$ . By the theorem, the conjugate  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$  has the same cycle structure as  $\sigma$ . Since  $\sigma$  is in the center,  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma$ . Conjugating  $\sigma$  by all transpositions implies that all elements in each cycle of  $\sigma$  must remain fixed under any transposition, otherwise the cycle structure would change. For  $n \geq 3$ , the only permutation satisfying this is the identity permutation.  $\square$

**Example.**  $|S_6| = 720$ . The classes of  $S_6$  are

1.  $e$ ; size: 1
2.  $(\cdot\cdot)$ ; size:  $\frac{6 \cdot 5}{2} = 15$
3.  $(\cdot\cdot\cdot)$ ; size:  $\frac{6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4}{3} = 40$
4.  $(\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot)$ ; size:  $\frac{6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3}{4} = 90$
5.  $(\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot)$ ; size:  $\frac{6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2}{5} = 144$
6.  $(\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot)$ ; size:  $\frac{6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{6} = 120$
7.  $(\cdot\cdot)(\cdot\cdot)$ ; size:  $\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{6 \cdot 5}{2} \frac{4 \cdot 3}{2} \right) = 45$
8.  $(\cdot\cdot)(\cdot\cdot\cdot)$ ; size:  $\frac{6 \cdot 5}{2} \frac{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2}{3} = 120$
9.  $(\cdot\cdot\cdot)(\cdot\cdot\cdot)$ ; size:  $\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4}{3} \frac{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{3} \right) = 40$
10.  $(\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot)(\cdot)$ ; size:  $\frac{6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3}{4} \frac{2 \cdot 1}{2} = 90$
11.  $(\cdot\cdot)(\cdot\cdot)(\cdot\cdot)$ ; size:  $\frac{1}{3!} \left( \frac{6 \cdot 5}{2} \frac{4 \cdot 3}{2} \frac{2 \cdot 1}{2} \right) = 15$

**Definition (Alternating Group).** Every permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$  is a product of (not necessarily disjoint) transpositions. The **parity** (even or odd number of transpositions) is an invariant property of the permutation. As a result, we may define the **sign homomorphism** by  $\text{sign} : S_n \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$  where  $\text{sign}(\sigma) = +1$  if  $\sigma$  is an even product and  $\text{sign}(\sigma) = -1$  if  $\sigma$  is an odd product. The kernel of this map is the set of all even permutations, which we will call  $A_n$ .  $A_n$  is a normal subgroup of  $S_n$  and by the First Isomorphism Theorem,  $S_n/A_n \cong \{+1, -1\}$ , so  $|A_n| = |S_n|/2 = n!/2$ . It turns out that  $A_n$  is a non-abelian simple group for  $n \geq 5$ .

## 2.6 $p$ -Groups

**Theorem (Cauchy).** Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $p$  be a prime dividing the order of  $G$ . Then there exists an element  $x \in G$  such that  $\text{Order}(x) = p$ .

*Proof.* • Lemma: Suppose  $G$  is abelian. We prove Cauchy's theorem using induction on  $|G|$ .

- Base Case: If  $|G| = p$ , then  $G$  is cyclic and any non-identity element has order  $p$
- Hypothesis: Assume the result holds for any abelian group of order less than  $|G|$
- Induction: If  $G$  is cyclic with  $G = \langle g \rangle$ , since  $p \mid |G|$ , let  $x = g^{|G|/p}$ . This case is straightforward, so assume  $G$  is not cyclic. Then take any  $y \neq e \in G$  and let  $H := \langle y \rangle \leq G$ . If  $p \mid |H|$ , then by above, let  $x = y^{|H|/p}$  and we are done. If  $p \nmid |H|$ , consider the quotient group  $G/H$  (since  $H$  is cyclic, it is normal). By Lagrange's Theorem,  $|G| = |H| \cdot |G/H|$ . Since  $p \mid |G|$  but  $p \nmid |H|$ , it must be that  $p \mid |G/H|$ . Since  $G$  is abelian,  $G/H$  is abelian, and  $|G/H| < |G|$ , we may use the induction hypothesis to say that there exists a coset  $gH \in G/H$  with  $\text{Order}(gH) = p$ . The order of  $gH$  in  $G/H$ , which is  $p$ , must divide the order of  $g$  in  $G$ . Thus, let  $x = g^{\text{Order}(g)/p}$ .
- General Case: Suppose  $G$  is non-abelian. Again, we proceed by induction on  $|G|$ , using  $Z(G)$  and  $G \curvearrowright G$  by conjugation.
  - If  $p \mid |Z(G)|$ , since  $Z(G)$  is an abelian subgroup of  $G$ , by the lemma, there exists an  $x \in Z(G) \leq G$  with order  $p$ . We are done.
  - If  $p \nmid |Z(G)|$ , we use the class equation:  $|G| = |Z(G)| + \sum_{g_i \notin Z(G)} |\text{Class}(g_i)|$  where  $\{g_i\}$  are a set of representatives for the classes of  $G$ . (Here we use the fact that the class contains more than one element if and only if its elements are not in  $Z(G)$ .) Since  $p \mid |G|$ , there must exist at least one  $g_i$  such that  $p \nmid |\text{Class}(g_i)|$ . For this  $g_i$ , we know  $|\text{Class}(g_i)| = [G : C_G(g_i)] = |G|/|C_G(g_i)|$ , where the centralizer is stabilizer for  $g_i$ . Rearranging,  $|G| = |C_G(g_i)| \cdot |\text{Class}(g_i)|$ . Since  $p$  divides  $G$  but doesn't divide  $|\text{Class}(g_i)|$ ,  $p$  must divide  $|C_G(g_i)|$ . But since  $g_i \notin Z(G)$ , its centralizer  $C_G(g_i)$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$ , so  $|C_G(g_i)| < |G|$ . We have found a proper subgroup  $C_G(g_i)$  whose order is divisible by  $p$ . By the induction hypothesis, there exists  $x \in C_G(g_i) \leq G$  with order  $p$ .

□

**Definition.** There are two equivalent definitions for a  **$p$ -group** (though the second is more versatile as it holds for infinite groups as well):

1. A finite group  $G$  is a  **$p$ -group** if its order is a power of a prime  $p$ , i.e.,  $|G| = p^m$  for some  $m \geq 0$ .
2. A group  $G$  is a  **$p$ -group** if every element  $g \in G$  has an order that is a power of  $p$ , i.e.,  $\forall g \in G, |\langle g \rangle| = p^{m(g)}$  for some fixed prime  $p$ .

*Proof.* Definition 1  $\Rightarrow$  Definition 2 because by Lagrange's Theorem, every element  $g \in G$  has an order that is also a power of  $p$ , i.e.,  $|\langle g \rangle| = p^{m'}$  for some  $m' \leq m$ . To prove Definition 2  $\Rightarrow$  Definition 1 (in the finite case), we use Cauchy's theorem and assume  $G$  is finite such that every element of  $G$  has an order that is a power of  $p$ . If  $|G| \neq p^m$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}_\neq$ , then  $\exists q \in \{\text{Primes}\}$  such that  $q \mid |G|$  and by Cauchy,  $x \in G$  with order  $q$ . But by assumption,  $\text{Order}(x) = p^{m(x)} = q$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $|G| = p^m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}_\neq$ .  $\square$

**Lemma.** Let  $x, y \in G$  be elements of a group such that  $xy = yx$  and  $\gcd(\text{ord}(x), \text{ord}(y)) = 1$ . Then  $\text{ord}(xy) = \text{ord}(x) \cdot \text{ord}(y)$ . In particular,  $\langle x, y \rangle \cong \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle \cong \langle xy \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Let  $m = \text{ord}(x)$  and  $n = \text{ord}(y)$ . Since  $x$  and  $y$  commute,  $(xy)^k = x^k y^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose  $(xy)^r = e$ . Then  $x^r y^r = e$ , meaning  $x^r = (y^{-1})^r$ . But because  $\text{ord}(x)$  and  $\text{ord}(y) = \text{ord}(y^{-1})$  are coprime, the only way a power of  $x$  equals a power of  $y$  is if  $x^r = e$  and  $y^r = e$ . Therefore  $m \mid r$  and  $n \mid r$ . Since  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , we have  $mn \mid r$ . The smallest such  $r$  is  $mn$ . Hence  $\text{ord}(xy) = mn$ .  $\square$

**Proposition.** If  $G = C_p$ , where  $p$  is prime, then  $\text{Aut}(G) \cong C_{p-1}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G = \langle g \rangle$  be cyclic of prime order  $p$ . Any automorphism  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$  is determined by what it does to the generators, since from there, since  $\varphi(g^m) = \varphi(g)^m$ . For cyclic groups of prime order, every nonidentity element is a generator. In particular, let  $\varphi_k$  be such that  $g \mapsto g^k$  where  $k \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ . Thus, there are  $p-1$  choices for  $\varphi(g)$ , meaning  $|\text{Aut}(G)| = p-1$ .  $\text{Aut}(G)$  is abelian because  $\varphi_k \circ \varphi_\ell = \varphi_\ell \circ \varphi_k$ . By Cauchy's theorem, for each prime divisor  $q$  of  $p-1$ , there exists an element of order  $q$  in  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . Using the lemma, we can find an element of order  $p-1$  by writing  $p-1$  as its prime decomposition. If an abelian group has an element with the same order as the group, then the group is cyclic.  $\square$

**Proposition.** If  $P$  is a  $p$ -group and  $|P| > 1$ , then  $|Z(P)| > 1$ .

*Proof.* Using the class equation  $|P| = |Z(P)| + \sum [P : C_P(g_i)]$ . Each index  $[P : C_P(g_i)]$  for  $g_i \notin Z(P)$  is  $> 1$  and must thus be a power of  $p$  since it divides  $|P|$ . Thus  $p$  divides the sum. Since  $p \mid |P|$ , we must have  $p \mid |Z(P)|$ . Thus  $|Z(P)| > 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition.** If  $|P| = p^2$ , then  $P$  is abelian.

*Proof.* From above we know  $|Z(P)| > 1$ . By Lagrange's theorem,  $|Z(P)|$  is  $p$  or  $p^2$ . If  $|Z(P)| = p^2$ ,  $P = Z(P)$  and is abelian. If  $|Z(P)| = p$ , take  $g \in P \setminus Z(P)$ . The centralizer  $C_P(g)$  contains  $Z(P)$  and  $g$ , so  $|C_P(g)| \geq p+1$ . Since the centralizer is a subgroup of  $G$ ,  $|C_P(g)| \mid p^2$ , so  $|C_P(g)| = p^2$ , since there are no other options. This means  $C_P(g) = P$ , so  $g \in Z(P)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Proposition.** If  $|P| = p^2$ , then  $P \cong C_{p^2}$  or  $P \cong C_p \times C_p$ .

*Proof.* By Cauchy's Theorem, every element  $g \neq e$  has order  $p$  or  $p^2$ . If there exists an element  $x \in P$  with  $\text{Order}(x) = p^2$ , then  $P = \langle x \rangle$  so  $P \cong C_{p^2}$ . Otherwise suppose no element has order  $p^2$ . Then every non-identity element must have order  $p$ . Since  $P$  is

abelian (by the previous proposition), we can find  $h \neq e \in P$  and  $k \neq e \in P \setminus \langle g \rangle$ . Let  $H = \langle h \rangle$  and  $K = \langle k \rangle$ . Both  $H$  and  $K$  are subgroups of order  $p$ , and in particular, normal.  $H \cap K$  must be a subgroup  $H$ . By Lagrange,  $|H \cap K|$  divides  $|H| = p$ . So  $|H \cap K| = 1$  or  $p$ . But if  $|H \cap K| = p$ , then  $|H \cap K| = |H| \implies H = K$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $H \cap K = \{e\}$ . Then, it follows that  $|H||K| = p^2 = |P|$ . Thus  $P = \langle g \rangle \times \langle h \rangle \cong C_p \times C_p$ .  $\square$

**Proposition.** If  $G$  is a finite abelian group of order  $n = \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{a_i}$ , then  $G \cong G_{p_1} \times G_{p_2} \times \cdots \times G_{p_m}$ . In particular, each  $G_{p_i}$  is a  $p_i$ -group. Each  $G_{p_i}$  can further be uniquely decomposed as  $G_{p_i} \cong C_{p_i^{a_{i1}}} \times C_{p_i^{a_{i2}}} \times \cdots \times C_{p_i^{a_{ik_i}}}$  for some specific choice of  $a_{i1} + \cdots + a_{ik_i} = a_i$  (up to permutation).

## 2.7 The Sylow Theorems

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $p$  a prime. Let the order of  $G$  be  $|G| = p^m \cdot k$ , where  $\gcd(p, k) = 1$ . We say  $H \leq G$  is a **Sylow  $p$ -subgroup** if  $|H| = p^m$ .  $\text{Syl}_p(G)$  denotes the set of all Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ .

**Example.** If  $p \nmid |G|$ , then  $m = 0$ . The only subgroup of order  $p^0 = 1$  is  $\{e\}$ . So,  $\text{Syl}_p(G) = \{\{e\}\}$ .

**Example.** If  $|G| = p^m$ , then  $G$  itself is the only subgroup of order  $p^m$ . So,  $\text{Syl}_p(G) = \{G\}$ .

**Theorem (Sylow I).**  $\text{Syl}_p(G) \neq \emptyset$ . (A Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  exists.)

*Sketch.* We study  $G \curvearrowright \{U \subseteq G : |U| = p^m\}$  by left multiplication and show that there is an element of  $S$  (namely, a subset of  $G$ ) for which the stabilizer is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup.  $\square$

*Proof.* Let  $S = \{U \subseteq G : |U| = p^m\}$  ( $U$  is a subset, not necessarily a subgroup of  $G$ ). The size of this set is  $|S| = \binom{p^m k}{p^m}$ . Let  $G \curvearrowright S$  by left multiplication ( $g \cdot U = gU$ ). This is well-defined since  $|gU| = |U|$ . We claim  $p \nmid |S| = \frac{(p^m k)(p^m k - 1) \dots (p^m k - (p^m - 1))}{(p^m)(p^m - 1) \dots (p^m - (p^m - 1))}$  since  $\gcd(p, k) = 1$ . Each numerator term has the form  $p^m k - \ell$  for  $0 \leq \ell < p^m$ . Let  $p^{t_\ell}$  be the highest power of dividing  $p^m k - \ell$ , so that we may rewrite  $p^m k - \ell = p^{t_\ell}(p^{m-t_\ell} k - s)$  with  $\gcd(p, s) = 1$ . But then  $p^m - l = p_l^t(p^{m-t_l} - s)$  so  $\frac{p^m k - l}{p^m - l} = \frac{p^{m-t_l} k - s}{p^{m-t_l} - s}$ , where  $p$  is not a divisor since  $\gcd(p, s) = 1$ . Doing this for  $0 \leq \ell < p^m$ , we see that  $p \nmid |S|$ . Now, applying the class equation, there must be some orbit  $O_U$  whose size is not divisible by  $p$ . Let  $H$  be the stabilizer for  $U$ . By the Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem,  $|G| = |O_U| \cdot |H|$  so  $p^m \mid |H|$ . Now, let  $H$  act on the set  $U$  by left multiplication. (This is well defined since if  $x \in U$  and  $h \in H$ , then  $hx \in hU = U$ , because  $H$  is the stabilizer of  $U$ .) This action partitions  $U$  into orbits of the form  $Hu$ , which are right cosets and thus are of equal size. Thus  $|H| \mid |U| = p^m$ . But from above, we also had  $p^m \mid |H|$ , meaning  $|H| = p^m$ . Thus  $H = G_U$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup.  $\square$

**Theorem (Sylow II).** If  $Q$  is any  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then  $Q$  is contained in some Sylow  $p$ -subgroup. Equivalently,  $\exists g \in G$  such that  $Q \leq gPg^{-1}$  where  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$  is given from

the first theorem. As a result, all Sylow  $p$ -subgroups are conjugate. If  $Q \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ , then  $\exists g \in G$  such that  $Q = gPg^{-1}$ .

*Sketch.* We study  $Q \curvearrowright \{gP : g \in G\}$  by left multiplication and show that there is a coset for which the stabilizer contains  $Q$ , where the stabilizer is conjugate to  $P$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* Let  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ , which exists, by the first theorem, and let  $Q$  be any  $p$ -subgroup. Let  $S = \{gP : g \in G\}$  be the set of left cosets of  $P$ . The size of  $S$  is  $|S| = [G : P] = k$ . We know  $p \nmid |S| = k$ . Let  $Q$  act on  $S$  by left multiplication:  $x \cdot (gP) = (xg)P$ . This action partitions  $S$  meaning  $|S| = k = \sum |\text{Orbits}|$ . The size of any orbit must divide  $|Q|$ , so all orbit sizes are powers of  $p$ . But since  $p \nmid k$ , at least one orbit must have size  $1 = p^0$  (a fixed point). Let  $gP$  be this fixed point. Thus,  $(\forall x \in Q) x \cdot (gP) = (xg)P = gP$ .  $(xg)P = gP \implies (g^{-1}xg)P = P \implies g^{-1}xg \in P \implies x \in gPg^{-1}$ . Thus  $Q \leq gPg^{-1}$ . Now, if  $Q$  was also a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup, then  $|Q| = |P| = |gPg^{-1}|$ . Thus  $Q = gPg^{-1}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem (Sylow III).**  $|\text{Syl}_p(G)| \mid k$  and  $|\text{Syl}_p(G)| \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$

*Sketch.* We study  $G \curvearrowright \text{Syl}_p(G)$  by conjugation and show that only  $P$  is stabilized by itself.  $\square$

*Proof.* Let  $S = \text{Syl}_p(G)$ . Let  $G$  act on  $S$  by conjugation ( $g \cdot P = gPg^{-1}$ ). We know this is a transitive action so there is only one orbit. Since  $G_P = N_G(P)$ , by the orbit-stabilizer theorem,  $|S| = |O_P| = [G : N_G(P)]$ . By Lagrange's theorem,  $k = [G : P] = [G : N_G(P)] \cdot [N_G(P) : P]$ . Thus  $|S| \mid k$ . Now to prove the second statement, consider  $P \curvearrowright S$  by conjugation. This partitions  $S$  with  $|S| = \sum |\text{Orbits}|$ . The orbit of  $P$  itself is  $O_P = \{xPx^{-1} : x \in P\} = \{P\}$ , which has size 1. For any other  $P' \in S$  ( $P' \neq P$ ), its orbit  $O_{P'}$  must have size  $> 1$ . Suppose not; then  $xP'x^{-1} = P'$  for all  $x \in P$ , which means  $P \leq N_G(P')$ . But trivially  $P' \leq N_G(P')$  so  $P$  and  $P'$  are both Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $N_G(P')$ . By the second theorem, all Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $N_G(P')$  must be conjugate. Since  $P'$  is normal in its normalizer, conjugation should leave it invariant so  $P = yP'y^{-1} = P'$  gives us a contradiction. Thus, for  $P' \neq P$ , the orbit size  $|O_{P'}|$  is  $> 1$ . Since the orbit size must divide  $|P| = p^m$ , we have  $p \mid |O_{P'}|$  for all  $P' \neq P$ . Thus  $|S| = |O_P| + \sum |O_{P' \neq P}|$  so  $|S| \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

## 2.8 Further Results on $p$ -Groups

**Theorem.** Let  $|G| = pq$  where  $p > q$  are primes. Then  $G$  has a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup. Also, if  $G$  is nonabelian, then  $q \mid (p - 1)$  and  $G$  has exactly  $p$  Sylow  $q$ -subgroups.

*Proof.* • Let  $n_p(G)$  be the number of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups.  $n_p(G)$  must divide  $q$ , so  $n_p(G) = 1$  or  $q$ . By the Sylow counting theorem,  $n_p(G) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Since  $p > q$  and  $q > 1$ , it must be that  $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Therefore, the only possibility is  $n_p(G) = 1$ , so the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  is unique and normal in  $G$ .

- The quotient  $G/P$  has order  $q$ , which is prime, so  $G/P$  is abelian. Defining  $G' = [G, G]$ , we then have that  $G' \leq P$ . Now, if  $G$  also had a normal Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $Q$ , then  $G/Q$  would be abelian and  $G' \leq Q$ . If both were normal,  $G' \leq P \cap Q = 1$ , so  $G$  would

be abelian. Therefore, if  $G$  is nonabelian,  $Q$  cannot be normal, so  $n_q(G) > 1$ . Since  $n_q(G)$  divides  $p$ , we have  $n_q(G) = p$ . By Sylow counting,  $n_q(G) \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ , which implies  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ , so  $q \mid (p - 1)$ .

□

**Theorem.** Let  $|G| = p^2q$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are primes. Then  $G$  has a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup or a normal Sylow  $q$ -subgroup.

*Proof.* Let  $n_p(G)$  and  $n_q(G)$  be the number of Sylow  $p$ - and  $q$ -subgroups. If  $n_q(G) = 1$ , we are done. Otherwise,  $n_q(G) > 1$ , which implies  $p \neq q$ . By Sylow's third theorem  $n_q(G)$  divides  $p^2$ , so  $n_q(G) \in \{p, p^2\}$ .

- Case 1:  $n_q(G) = p$ . Sylow counting gives  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ , so  $p > q$ .  $n_p(G)$  divides  $q$ , so  $n_p(G) = 1$  or  $q$ . Since  $n_p(G) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $p > q$ , we cannot have  $n_p(G) = q$ . Therefore  $n_p(G) = 1$ , so the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup is normal.
- Case 2:  $n_q(G) = p^2$ . Let  $Q_1, Q_2$  be distinct Sylow  $q$ -subgroups. Their intersection is trivial. Each contains  $q - 1$  nonidentity elements, giving  $p^2(q - 1)$  elements of order  $q$ . Let  $X$  be the remaining elements including the identity:  $|X| = p^2q - p^2(q - 1) = p^2$ . Any Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $S$  of order  $p^2$  must lie in  $X$ , so  $S = X$ . Thus, the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup is unique and normal.

□

**Theorem.** Let  $|G| = p^3q$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are primes. Then  $G$  has a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup or a normal Sylow  $q$ -subgroup, or  $p = 2$ ,  $q = 3$  and  $|G| = 24$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $p \neq q$  and that  $G$  has no normal Sylow subgroups, so  $n_p(G) > 1$  and  $n_q(G) > 1$ . Since  $n_p(G)$  divides  $q$  and  $n_p(G) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , we must have  $n_p(G) = q$ , implying  $q > p$ .  $n_q(G)$  divides  $p^3$  and  $n_q(G) \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . Consider the possibilities:

- Case 1:  $n_q(G) = p$ . This would require  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ , impossible since  $q > p$ .
- Case 2:  $n_q(G) = p^3$ . The number of elements of order  $q$  is  $p^3(q - 1)$ . Let  $X$  be the remaining elements:  $|X| = |G| - p^3(q - 1) = p^3$ . Any Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $S$  of order  $p^3$  must lie in  $X$ , so  $S = X$ , making it normal. Contradiction.
- Case 3:  $n_q(G) = p^2$ . Then  $p^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ , so  $q \mid (p^2 - 1) = (p - 1)(p + 1)$ . Since  $q > p$ , we must have  $q \mid (p + 1)$ . The only consecutive primes satisfying this are  $p = 2$ ,  $q = 3$ , giving  $|G| = 24$ .

□

**Lemma.** Let a finite  $p$ -group  $P$  act on a finite set  $\Omega$ . Let  $\Omega_0 = \{\alpha \in \Omega : x*\alpha = \alpha \quad \forall x \in P\}$ . Then  $|\Omega| \equiv |\Omega_0| \pmod{p}$ .

*Proof.* The orbits partition  $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cup (\Omega - \Omega_0)$ .  $\Omega_0$  is the set of fixed points (orbits of only one element) and  $\Omega - \Omega_0$  is the union of nontrivial orbits. By the Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem, each orbit size divides  $|P|$ , so nontrivial orbit sizes are powers of  $p$ . Thus,  $|\Omega - \Omega_0|$  is divisible by  $p$ , giving  $|\Omega| \equiv |\Omega_0| \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem.** Suppose  $1 < N \triangleleft P$  where  $P$  is a finite  $p$ -group. Then  $N \cap Z(P) > 1$ . In particular, a nontrivial finite  $p$ -group has a nontrivial center.

*Proof.*  $P$  acts on  $N$  by conjugation. Let  $\Omega = N$ . The fixed points  $\Omega_0 = N \cap Z(P)$ . By the lemma,  $|N| \equiv |N \cap Z(P)| \pmod{p}$ . Since  $|N| > 1$  and divisible by  $p$ ,  $|N \cap Z(P)|$  is divisible by  $p$  and thus nontrivial. Taking  $N = P$  gives  $Z(P) > 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** If  $P$  is a finite simple  $p$ -group, then  $|P| = p$ .

*Proof.* By the theorem,  $Z(P) > 1$ . Since  $Z(P) \triangleleft P$  and  $P$  is simple,  $Z(P) = P$ , so  $P$  is abelian. A simple abelian group is cyclic with prime order, so  $|P| = p$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** Let  $P$  be a finite nontrivial  $p$ -group. Then  $P$  has a subgroup of index  $p$ , and every such subgroup is normal.

*Proof.* Choose a maximal normal subgroup  $N \triangleleft P$ . By the Correspondence Theorem,  $P/N$  is simple and a  $p$ -group, so  $|P/N| = p$  by the above corollary. Thus,  $P$  has a subgroup of index  $p$ . But every subgroup of index  $p$  is normal.  $\square$

**Corollary.** Let  $|G|$  be finite and  $p^e \mid |G|$ . Then  $G$  has a subgroup of order  $p^e$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of order  $p^a$  with  $e \leq a$ . By above,  $P$  has subgroups of every order  $p^k \leq p^a$ , giving a subgroup of order  $p^e$  in  $G$ .  $\square$

**Theorem.** Let  $H < P$  where  $P$  is a finite  $p$ -group. Then  $N_P(H) > H$ .

*Proof.* By induction on  $|P|$ , note  $Z(P) \leq N_P(H)$ .

- Case 1:  $Z(P) \not\leq H$ . There exists  $z \in Z(P)$  with  $z \notin H$ . Then  $N_P(H)$  contains  $H$  and  $z$ , so  $N_P(H) > H$ .
- Case 2:  $Z(P) \leq H$ .  $Z(P) > 1$ . Consider  $P/Z(P)$ , a smaller  $p$ -group. Let  $H/Z(P) < P/Z(P)$ . By induction,  $N_{P/Z(P)}(H/Z(P)) > H/Z(P)$ . Corresponding subgroup  $M$  in  $P$  satisfies  $H < M \leq N_P(H)$ , so  $N_P(H) > H$ .

$\square$

# 3 Group Representations

## 3.1 Definitions

**Definition.** A **representation** of a group  $G$  on a complex vector space  $V$  is a homomorphism  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ . We denote the set of representations of  $G$  on  $V$  as  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G) := \{\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)\}$ .

- The dimension of  $V$  is called the **degree** or **dimension** of the representation. A representation is called **linear** (or one-dimensional) if  $\deg(\rho) = \dim(V) = 1$ . In this case,  $GL(V) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$ .
- We say a representation is **faithful** if the homomorphism  $\rho$  is injective. The **kernel** of the representation is  $\{g \in G \mid \rho(g) = I_V\}$ . Thus, a representation is faithful if  $\ker(\rho) = \{e\}$ .
- It is straightforward to see that if a group  $G$  is generated by a set  $S$ , then we only need to define the representations on the elements of  $S$ , and this contains the necessary information for the entire representation.

If we choose a basis  $\beta$  for the vector space  $V$  with dimension  $n$ , we obtain an isomorphism  $GL(V) \cong GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . The representation  $\rho$  then yields a **matrix representation**  $R : G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  given by  $g \mapsto [\rho(g)]_B$ . The condition that  $\rho$  is a homomorphism translates to matrix multiplication:  $R_{gh} = R_g R_h$ .

**Example (Trivial Representation).** For any group  $G$ ,  $\rho(g) = 1$  (or the identity operator  $I$ ) for all  $g$ . This is a one-dimensional representation.

**Example ( $S_3$  Sign Representation).**  $\Sigma : S_3 \rightarrow GL_1(\mathbb{C})$ .  $\Sigma(g) = 1$  if  $g$  is even, and  $-1$  if  $g$  is odd.

**Example ( $S_3$  Standard Representation).**  $S_3$  is isomorphic to the dihedral group  $D_3$  (symmetries of a triangle). It has a 2-dimensional representation on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (or  $\mathbb{C}^2$ ) that translates to the physical rotation and reflection of the vertices given by:

- Rotation  $x$ :  $\rho(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2\pi/3) & -\sin(2\pi/3) \\ \sin(2\pi/3) & \cos(2\pi/3) \end{pmatrix}$
- Reflection  $y$ :  $\rho(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

## 3.2 Characters

**Definition.** The **character** of a representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$  is the complex-valued function  $\chi_\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by:  $\chi_\rho(g) = \text{trace}(\rho(g))$

**Proposition.** The character is independent of the choice of basis.

*Proof.* The trace of a linear operator is well-defined because similar matrices have the same trace ( $\text{trace}(P^{-1}AP) = \text{trace}(A)$ ). It is clear that a change of basis induces a similar matrix.  $\square$

**Proposition.** The character  $\chi_\rho$  is a class function. That is, it is constant on conjugacy classes.

*Proof.* Let  $g' = hgh^{-1}$ . Then  $\chi(g') = \text{trace}(\rho(hgh^{-1})) = \text{trace}(\rho(h)\rho(g)\rho(h)^{-1})$ . Since  $\text{trace}(AB) = \text{trace}(BA)$ ,  $\text{trace}(\rho(h)\rho(g)\rho(h)^{-1}) = \text{trace}(\rho(h)^{-1}\rho(h)\rho(g)) = \text{trace}(\rho(g)) = \chi(g)$ .  $\square$

**Example.** Characters of  $S_3$ . The conjugacy classes of  $S_3$  are  $\{1\}$ ,  $\{x, x^2\}$  (order 3 elements), and  $\{y, xy, x^2y\}$  (order 2 elements).

Character	1	x	y
trivial	1	1	1
sign	1	1	-1
standard	2	-1	0

**Remark.**  $\chi(e)$  is always the dimension of the representation.

### 3.3 Sums of Representations

**Definition.** Let  $\rho_V : G \rightarrow GL(V)$  and  $\rho_W : G \rightarrow GL(W)$  be two representations. A **homomorphism of representations** is a linear map  $T : V \rightarrow W$  such that for all  $g \in G$  and  $v \in V$ :

$$T(\rho_V(g)(v)) = \rho_W(g)(T(v))$$

In particular,  $\rho_V$  are the set of bijections on  $V$  and  $\rho_W$  on  $W$ .  $T$  maps from  $V$  to  $W$  in a way that the order of composition does not matter. If  $T$  is invertible, the representations are **isomorphic** to each other. (In particular, the dimensions of  $V$  and  $W$  are the same.)

**Definition (Direct Sum).** Recall that the direct sum of two vector spaces  $V \oplus W$  is a new vector space where every vector is represented as a unique sum of a vector from  $V$  plus a vector from  $W$ . Let  $\rho_V$  and  $\rho_W$  be representations on  $V$  and  $W$ . Then their **direct sum**  $\rho_V \oplus \rho_W$  is a representation on  $V \oplus W$  defined by:

$$(\rho_V \oplus \rho_W)(g)(v + u) = \rho_V(g)(v) + \rho_W(g)(w)$$

In terms of matrices, if we choose a basis  $\beta_V$  for  $V$  and  $\beta_W$  for  $W$ , then  $\beta := \beta_V \cup \beta_W$  is a basis for  $V \oplus W$ . Then the matrix of the direct sum has a block diagonal form:

$$[\rho_V \oplus \rho_W]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} [\rho_V(g)]_{\beta_V} & 0 \\ 0 & [\rho_W(g)]_{\beta_W} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then it is straightforward to see that the character of a direct sum is the sum of the characters.

$$\chi_{\rho_V \oplus \rho_W} = \chi_V + \chi_W$$

**Definition.** Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a representation. A subspace  $W \subseteq V$  is  **$G$ -invariant** if  $\rho(g)w \in W$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $w \in W$ . The restriction  $\rho|_W : G \rightarrow GL(W)$  is then a **subrepresentation**.

In particular if  $\rho$  has no nontrivial, proper  $G$ -invariant subspaces, then we say  $\rho$  is **reducible**.

**Lemma.** If  $W$  is invariant, extend a basis of  $W$  to a basis of  $V$ . In this basis, each  $\rho(g)$  has the form:

$$R_g = \begin{pmatrix} A_g & * \\ 0 & B_g \end{pmatrix}$$

- $A_g$  represents the subrepresentation on  $W$ .
- Since  $W$  is invariant, the map  $\bar{\rho} : V/W \rightarrow V/W$  defined by  $\bar{\rho}(g)(v+W) = \rho(g)(v)+W$  is well-defined; its matrices correspond to  $B_g$ .

Our goal is to make  $R_g$  block diagonal. For any subspace  $W \subseteq V$ , we can write  $V = W \oplus W^\perp$  relative to some inner product. The  $(*)$  block is zero if and only if  $W^\perp$  is invariant under  $G$ . By defining a  $G$ -invariant inner product, the corresponding  $W^\perp$  becomes  $G$ -invariant, giving a block-diagonal decomposition.

### 3.4 Unitary Representations and Maschke's Theorem

**Definition.** A **Hermitian form** on a complex vector space  $V$  is a map  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying (i), (ii), and (iii) below. If it also satisfies (iv), we call the map an **inner product**.

- (i) Conjugate linearity in the first argument:  $\langle c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2, w \rangle = c_1^* \langle u_1, w \rangle + c_2^* \langle u_2, w \rangle$
- (ii) Linearity in the first argument:  $\langle u, c_1 w_1 + c_2 w_2 \rangle = c_1 \langle u, w_1 \rangle + c_2 \langle u, w_2 \rangle$
- (iii) Conjugate symmetry:  $\langle u, v \rangle = \overline{\langle v, u \rangle}$ .
- (iv) Positive definiteness:  $\langle u, u \rangle > 0$  for  $u \neq 0$ .

**Definition.** A representation  $\rho$  is **unitary** if there exists an inner product on  $V$  that is invariant under  $G$ . That is, for all  $g \in G$  and  $u, v \in V$ :

$$\langle \rho_g(u), \rho_g(v) \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$$

**Proposition.** The following definitions are equivalent:

1.  $\rho$  is unitary (as defined above)
2.  $\rho_g^* = \rho_g^{-1}$  for all  $g \in G$ , where the adjoint satisfies  $\langle \rho_g(u), v \rangle = \langle u, \rho_g^*(v) \rangle$ .

*Proof.* (1  $\Rightarrow$  2) Assume  $\langle \rho_g(u), \rho_g(v) \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$ . Then  $\langle \rho_g(u), v \rangle = \langle \rho_g(u), \rho_g(\rho_g^{-1}v) \rangle = \langle u, \rho_g^{-1}(v) \rangle$ . By definition of the adjoint,  $\langle \rho_g(u), v \rangle = \langle u, \rho_g^*(v) \rangle$ , so  $\rho_g^*(v) = \rho_g^{-1}(v)$  for all  $v$ . Hence  $\rho_g^* = \rho_g^{-1}$ . (2  $\Leftarrow$  1) Assume  $\rho_g^* = \rho_g^{-1}$ . Then  $\langle \rho_g(u), \rho_g(v) \rangle = \langle u, \rho_g^*(\rho_g(v)) \rangle = \langle u, \rho_g^{-1}\rho_g(v) \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Proposition.** If  $\rho$  is a unitary representation and  $W$  is a  $G$ -invariant subspace, then the orthogonal complement  $W^\perp$  is also  $G$ -invariant.

*Proof.* If  $v \in W^\perp$ , we must show  $\rho_g(v) \in W^\perp$ . That is, for any  $w \in W$ ,  $\langle \rho_g(v), w \rangle = 0$ . Since  $\rho$  a representation  $\rho_g^{-1} = \rho_{g^{-1}}$ . Since  $\rho$  is unitary,  $\rho_g^* = \rho_{g^{-1}}$  so  $\langle \rho_g(v), w \rangle = \langle v, \rho_{g^{-1}}(w) \rangle$ . Since  $W$  is invariant,  $\rho_{g^{-1}}(w) \in W$ . Since  $v \in W^\perp$ , this inner product is 0. Thus  $\rho_g(v) \perp W$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** Every unitary representation is a direct sum of irreducible representations.

*Proof.* If  $V$  is irreducible, we are done. If  $V$  is reducible, pick a nontrivial invariant subspace  $W$ . By above,  $W^\perp$  is invariant, giving  $V = W \oplus W^\perp$ . Apply the same argument recursively to  $W$  and  $W^\perp$ . Since  $V$  is finite-dimensional, this terminates after finitely many steps, producing a decomposition.  $\square$

Our goal is thus to show that there is a  $G$ -invariant inner product that makes any representation unitary.

**Theorem (Construction of Invariant Form).** Let  $\rho$  be a representation of a finite group  $G$ . There exists a  $G$ -invariant inner product on  $V$ .

*Proof.* Start with any positive definite form  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  (we know the standard inner product on  $\mathbb{C}$  exists, so we may start with that). Define a new form by averaging over the group:

$$\langle u, v \rangle := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \{\rho_g(u), \rho_g(v)\}$$

This new form is clearly an inner product (since it is a sum of inner products).  $\rho$  is also unitary under  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . In particular,  $\langle \rho_h(u), \rho_h(v) \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \{\rho_g(\rho_h(u)), \rho_g(\rho_h(v))\}$ . But since  $\rho$  is a homomorphism this is  $= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \{\rho_{gh}(u), \rho_{gh}(v)\}$  and since  $g \mapsto gh$  is a bijection, we simply reindex to get  $= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{gh \in G} \{\rho_{gh}(u), \rho_{gh}(v)\} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \{\rho_g(u), \rho_g(v)\} =: \langle u, v \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Theorem (Maschke).** Every representation of a finite group  $G$  on a finite-dimensional complex vector space is isomorphic to a direct sum of irreducible representations.

*Proof.* Use the invariant form constructed above to make the representation unitary. Then by the corollary above, we have shown that we can write the decomposition as

$$\rho \cong \bigoplus_i n_i \rho_i$$

where  $\rho_i$  are the distinct irreducible representations and  $n_i$  are their multiplicities. (In particular, we may have to reorder the irreducible representations, but this doesn't change the isomorphism class of the representation.)  $\square$

**Example (Permutation Representation of  $S_3$ ).** Let  $S_3$  act on basis vectors  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  by permutation. The vector  $v = (1, 1, 1)^T$  is clearly invariant under all permutations.  $W =$

$\text{span}(v)$  is an invariant subspace (the trivial representation). By Maschke's Theorem, there is a complementary invariant subspace  $W^\perp$  (vectors whose components sum to 0, because any permutation does not change the sum of the components), which corresponds to the standard representation (which deals with the plane  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$ ). Thus  $\rho_{\text{perm}} \cong \rho_{\text{trivial}} \oplus \rho_{\text{standard}}$ .

### 3.5 The Main Theorem

**Proposition.**  $\text{Char}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ , the set of all characters on  $G$ , is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space.

*Proof.* A character is a class function and the set of all class functions forms a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space since this set is closed under addition and scalar multiplication by  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then, we show that the characters are a subspace of the set of class functions. In particular, if  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  are characters, then  $\chi_1 + \chi_2$  is the character of the direct sum representation. For any  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , the function  $c\chi_1$  lies in the  $\mathbb{C}$ -span of characters. Thus the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear span of all characters is closed under addition and scalar multiplication, so the set of all characters forms a  $\mathbb{C}$ -subspace.  $\square$

We may then define a Hermitian inner product on this space:

$$\langle \chi, \chi' \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi^*(g) \chi'(g)$$

Since characters are class functions, we can group terms by conjugacy classes. Let  $C_i$  be the classes with representatives  $g_i$ . Then,

$$\langle \chi, \chi' \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\text{classes } i} |C_i| \cdot (\chi^*(g_i) \chi'(g_i))$$

**Definition.** We say a character  $\chi$  is **irreducible** if it comes from an irreducible representation. Then, the following two sets are in bijection to each other:

- $\text{Irrep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G) := \{\rho \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G) : \rho \text{ irreducible}\} / \cong$
- $\text{IrrChar}_{\mathbb{C}}(G) := \{\chi \in \text{Char}_{\mathbb{C}}(G) : \chi \text{ irreducible}\}$

**Corollary.** Let  $\rho, \rho'$  be representations with characters  $\chi, \chi'$ . Then  $\rho = \rho' \Leftrightarrow \chi = \chi'$ .

*Proof.* We use Maschke's theorem to decompose both representations into their irreducible decomposition. Then since the characters form an orthonormal basis, any character  $\chi$  can be decomposed uniquely as  $\chi = \sum n_i \chi_i$ , where  $n_i = \langle \chi, \chi_i \rangle$  by orthonormal decomposition. The other direction is trivial.  $\square$

**Theorem (Main Theorem).**

- Row Orthonormality. The irreducible characters are orthonormal.  $\langle \chi_i, \chi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$

- Column Orthonormality: Let  $\text{Irr}(G)$  be the set of irreducible characters of  $G$ . If  $g$  and  $h$  are elements of  $G$ , then  $\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(g) \overline{\chi(h)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g, h \text{ are not conjugate} \\ |C_G(g)| & \text{if } g, h \text{ are conjugate} \end{cases}$ .
- Number of Irreducible Characters: The number of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations (which is in bijection to the irreducible characters) equals the number of conjugacy classes of  $G$ .
- Dimensional Sum: The sum of the squares of the degrees of the irreducible characters equals the order of the group.  $|G| = \sum_{\chi \in \text{IrrChar}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)} (\chi(e))^2$
- Divisibility: The degrees of the irreducible characters  $\chi(e)$  divide the order of the group  $|G|$ .

### 3.6 Linear Characters

**Proposition.** Let  $\rho$  be a representation of degree  $n$  (so  $\chi(e) = n$ ). If  $g$  is an element of order  $m$ , then  $\chi(g)$  is a sum of  $n$  roots of unity of order  $m$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the representation. Pick a basis  $\beta$  for the vector space such that we have the matrix  $M := [\rho(g)]_{\beta}$ . From linear algebra, we know the trace is the sum of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ . Since  $g$  has order  $m$ ,  $g^m = e$ . Since  $\rho$  is a homomorphism, this implies:  $M^m = \rho(g^m) = \rho(e) = I_n$ . If  $\lambda_i$  is an eigenvalue of  $M$ , then  $\lambda_i^m$  is an eigenvalue of  $M^m = I_n$ . Thus  $\lambda_i^m = 1$ . Therefore, each eigenvalue is an  $m$ -th root of unity, and  $\chi(g) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** A character  $\chi$  is called **linear** if its degree is 1, i.e.,  $\chi(e) = 1$ . In this case, the representation maps into  $GL_1(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$ , which is abelian.

**Proposition.** Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group. Then every irreducible character of  $G$  is linear.

*Proof.* Let  $k$  be the number of conjugacy classes. Since  $G$  is abelian, every element is its own conjugacy class, so  $k = |G|$ . We know that  $|G| = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i^2$ , where  $d_i$  are the degrees of the irreducible characters. Since we have  $|G|$  summands and they must sum to  $|G|$  with  $d_i \geq 1$ , the only solution is  $d_i = 1$  for all  $i$ . Thus  $\chi(e) = 1$  for all irreducible characters.  $\square$

**Definition.** The **Dual Group** (or Character Group) of  $G$ , denoted  $\hat{G}$ , is the set of all linear characters of  $G$ .

$$\hat{G} := \{\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times\}$$

**Proposition.**  $\hat{G}$  forms a group under function multiplication.

*Proof.* Operation: Define  $(\chi_1 \cdot \chi_2)(g) = \chi_1(g)\chi_2(g)$ . Since  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  is abelian, this remains a homomorphism. Identity: The trivial character ( $g \mapsto 1$ ) is the identity. Closure: The product of two degree 1 representations is degree 1. Inverse:  $\chi^{-1}(g) = 1/\chi(g) = \chi(g)^*$  (since  $\chi(g)$  is a root of unity, its inverse is simply the complex conjugate).  $\square$

**Lemma.**  $\widehat{C_n} \cong C_n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $C_n = \langle a \rangle$ . A character  $\chi$  is determined by  $\chi(a)$ . Since  $a^n = 1$ ,  $\chi(a)^n = 1$ . Thus  $\chi(a)$  must be an  $n$ -th root of unity ( $e^{2\pi i k/n}$ ). This gives  $n$  distinct characters, forming a cyclic group isomorphic to the roots of unity, and thus to  $C_n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma.**  $\widehat{H \times K} \cong \widehat{H} \times \widehat{K}$ .

*Proof.* Define a map  $\Phi : \widehat{H} \times \widehat{K} \rightarrow \widehat{H \times K}$  where  $(\chi_h, \chi_k) \mapsto \chi$  such that  $\chi(hk) = \chi_h(h)\chi_k(k)$ . This is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Theorem.** If  $G$  is a finite abelian group, then  $G \cong \widehat{G}$ .

*Proof.* We use the Fundamental Theorem of Finite Abelian Groups, which states  $G \cong C_{n_1} \times \cdots \times C_{n_k}$  (product of cyclic groups). Using the two above lemmas, we obtain that:

$$\widehat{G} \cong \widehat{\prod C_{n_i}} \cong \prod \widehat{C_{n_i}} \cong \prod C_{n_i} \cong G$$

$\square$

We now examine the relationship between linear characters and the commutator subgroup  $[G, G]$ . Since linear characters map to the abelian group  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ , the commutator subgroup must be in the kernel:  $[G, G] \leq \ker(\chi)$ .

**Theorem.** There is a bijection between the linear characters of  $G$  and the irreducible characters of the abelianization  $G/[G, G]$ .

$$\text{LinChar}_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \longleftrightarrow \text{IrrChar}_{\mathbb{C}}(G/[G, G])$$

*Proof.* Since  $[G, G]$  is in the kernel, any linear character  $\chi$  of  $G$  factors through the quotient  $G/[G, G]$ . Conversely, any character of the abelian quotient  $G/[G, G]$  can be lifted to a linear character of  $G$ .  $\square$

We say a group is **perfect** if  $G = [G, G]$ . For such groups, the abelianization is trivial. It is straightforward to see that if a group is nonabelian simple, then it is perfect. As an example,  $A_5$  is perfect.

### 3.7 Algebraic Integers and the Center of a Character

**Definition.** A number  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{C}$  is an **algebraic number** if it is a root of a polynomial  $P(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$  with coefficients  $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ . A number  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{C}$  is an **algebraic integer** if it is a root of a **monic** polynomial with integer coefficients:  $P(x) = x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$  where  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are algebraic numbers (or integers), then their sum and product are also algebraic numbers (or integers).

**Lemma.** If a rational number  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  is an algebraic integer, then  $q$  is a standard integer ( $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ).

*Proof.* Let  $q = r/s$  where  $\gcd(r, s) = 1$ . Since  $q$  is an algebraic integer, it satisfies a monic polynomial equation with integer coefficients:  $0 = \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^n + a_{n-1} \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$ . Multiplying by  $s^n$  gives  $r^n = -(a_{n-1}r^{n-1}s + \cdots + a_0s^n) = -s(a_{n-1}r^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0s^{n-1})$ . This implies  $s$  divides  $r^n$ . Since  $\gcd(r, s) = 1$ , it must be that  $s = 1$ . Therefore  $q = r \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition.** Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $\chi$  be a character of a representation of  $G$ . For any  $g \in G$ , the value  $\chi(g)$  is an algebraic integer.

*Proof.*  $\chi(g)$  is the trace of the representation matrix  $\rho(g)$ . The matrix  $\rho(g)$  has finite order, so its eigenvalues are roots of unity. Roots of unity are roots of  $x^n - 1 = 0$ , which is monic with integer coefficients, so they are algebraic integers. Since  $\chi(g)$  is the sum of these eigenvalues, and sums of algebraic integers are algebraic integers,  $\chi(g)$  is an algebraic integer.  $\square$

**Definition.** Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $G$ . The **center of the character** is defined as:

$$Z(\chi) := \{g \in G : |\chi(g)| = \chi(e)\}$$

**Proposition.**  $|\chi(g)| = \chi(e)$  if and only if  $\rho(g) = \lambda I$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $\rho(g) = \lambda I$ , then  $\chi(g) = \text{trace}(\lambda I) = \lambda \cdot \dim(V)$ . Thus  $|\chi(g)| = |\lambda| \cdot \chi(e) = \chi(e)$ . ( $\Rightarrow$ ) By the Spectral Theorem,  $\rho(g)$  is diagonalizable with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  on the unit circle, and the trace is the sum of the eigenvalues. By the triangle inequality,  $n = |\sum \lambda_i| \leq \sum |\lambda_i| = n$  implies that all  $\lambda_i$  are equal to some  $\lambda$ . Thus  $\rho(g)$  is similar to  $\lambda I$ , and since scalar matrices commute with everything,  $\rho(g) = \lambda I$ .  $\square$

**Theorem.**  $Z(\chi)$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

*Proof.* • Subgroup:  $e \in Z(\chi)$  since  $\chi(e) = \chi(e)$ . If  $g_1, g_2 \in Z(\chi)$ , then  $\rho(g_1) = \lambda_1 I$  and  $\rho(g_2) = \lambda_2 I$ . Then  $\rho(g_1g_2) = \lambda_1\lambda_2 I$ , so  $|\chi(g_1g_2)| = |\lambda_1\lambda_2| \chi(e) = \chi(e)$ . Inverses hold similarly.

• Normality: Since characters are class functions,  $|\chi(ghg^{-1})| = |\chi(h)|$ . Thus  $h \in Z(\chi) \iff ghg^{-1} \in Z(\chi)$ .  $\square$

**Remark.**  $Z(\chi)$  is not necessarily abelian (in particular, it contains the kernel of  $\chi$ , which may not be abelian), but if the representation is faithful,  $Z(\chi)$  maps to the center of the image, which is abelian.

**Proposition.** Let  $\chi$  be an irreducible character. If  $\gcd(|\text{Cl}_G(g)|, \chi(e)) = 1$  and  $\chi(g) \neq 0$ , then  $g \in Z(\chi)$ .

*Proof.* Requires Galois Theory.  $\square$

### 3.8 Burnside's Theorem

Using character theory and the properties of algebraic integers, Burnside proved that any group of order  $p^a q^b$  (where  $p, q$  are primes) is solvable. This is a famous result where representation theory solved a pure group theory problem. In particular, we can show the following.

**Theorem (Burnside).** If  $G$  is a finite group of order  $p^a q^b$  for primes  $p, q$ , then  $G$  is not non-abelian and simple. (Equivalently,  $G$  is abelian or not simple.)

*Proof.* • If  $G$  is abelian, we are done. Assume  $G$  is nonabelian. Consider  $[G, G] \trianglelefteq G$ . If this is proper in  $G$ , we have found a nontrivial (since  $G$  is nonabelian) proper subgroup in  $G$  so we are done. Thus we assume  $[G, G] = G$  and find a nontrivial proper normal subgroup to show that  $G$  is not simple.

- Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup ( $P \neq \{e\}$  since  $G$  is not trivial). Since  $P$  is a  $p$ -group,  $Z(P) \neq \{e\}$ . Choose  $g \in Z(P)$  with  $g \neq e$ . Since  $g$  commutes with everything in  $P$ ,  $P \leq C_G(g)$ . Thus  $|G : C_G(g)|$  is coprime to  $p$ . Therefore, the size of the conjugacy class  $|\text{Cl}_G(g)| = q^\beta$  for some  $\beta$ .
- We apply column orthogonality of the characters to the class containing  $e$  (which is just  $e$ ) and the class containing  $g \neq e$ .

$$0 = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(e)\chi(g) = 1 + \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)^*} \chi(e)\chi(g)$$

- We next claim that there exists a nontrivial irreducible character such that  $\chi(g) \neq 0$  and  $q \nmid \chi(e)$ . For the sake of contradiction, suppose for all nontrivial irreducible characters where  $\chi(g) \neq 0$ , we have  $q \mid \chi(e)$ . Then we can rearrange the orthogonality relationship as

$$\frac{-1}{q} = \sum_{\chi \neq 1} \frac{\chi(e)}{q} \chi(g)$$

The RHS consists of algebraic integers (since  $\chi(e)/q$  would be an integer by assumption, and  $\chi(g)$  is an algebraic integer). However,  $-1/q$  is a rational number, meaning both have to be an integer. But since  $q$  is prime,  $-1/q$  is not an integer, hence we get a contradiction. For this character  $\chi$ , we have  $\chi(g) \neq 0$  and  $\gcd(|\text{Cl}_G(g)|, \chi(e)) = 1$ . By the proposition,  $g \in Z(\chi)$ .

- Now we must show that this is nontrivial and proper. Since  $g \neq e$ ,  $Z(\chi) \neq \{e\}$ . Since  $G$  is simple, we must have  $Z(\chi) = G$ . If  $Z(\chi) = G$ , then  $\rho(g) = \lambda_g I$  for all  $g$ . Since  $\rho$  is irreducible, this implies  $\rho$  maps to an abelian group (scalars commute), or simply that  $\dim(\chi) = 1$ . But if  $\dim(\chi) = 1$ , then  $\chi$  is a linear character. Since  $G = [G, G]$ , the only linear character is the trivial one (since we showed the linear characters are in bijection with the irreducible characters of  $G/[G, G]$ ), which is a contradiction.

□