Networked Life: Homework 6

Guo Ziqi - 1000905 Zhao Juan - 1000918 Zhang Hao - 1000899

## 1. Answer:

Based on how the graph is connected, we can tell that node 6 is the destination. Therefore, the steps of the Bellman-Ford Algorithm are tabulated below:

t	d6	d5	d4	d3	d2	d1
t=0	0	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$
t=1	0	5	2	9	$\infty$	8
t=2	0	5	2	9	3	7
t=3	0	5	2	9	3	7

Table 1: Steps of the Bellman-Ford Algorithm

From t = 2 to t = 3, the shortest distances were not updated any more. Therefore we obtained the shortest path from all points to node 6.

## 2. Answer:

- (1)  $N_d = C/1 = C$ .
- (2)  $P(X > N_d) < \gamma$  is equivalent with  $P(X \le N_d) \ge 1 \gamma$ . So we just have to choose  $N_s$  such that:

$$P(X \le N_d) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_d} {N_s \choose i} p^i (1-p)^{N_s - i} \ge 1 - \gamma$$

Plugging in  $N_d = C$  and  $\gamma = 0.01$  and p = 0.1, we increment  $N_s$  from C to find the last number that still maintains  $P(X \le C) \ge 0.99$ . This number is the maximum number of users in the system. Following this method, we obtained:  $N_s = 50$  when C = 10;  $N_s = 122$  when C = 20;  $N_s = 200$  when C = 30. There appears to be a linear relationship between  $N_s$  and the value of C.  $\sum_{i=N_d+1}^{N_s} P(X=i)$  when  $N_d = 10$  is 0.00935.

(3) Let's take the case when C = 10 as an example.  $N_s = 50$  in this case.

In System A, an  $N_s$  equal to 122 can be achieved. In System B, the total  $N_s$  is simply 2 times the original  $N_s = 50$ , which is 100. Comparing these two values, System A can fit the largest amount of users. This is because when the resources are pooled together, the system is more flexible and tolerant. In System B, there might be a case where one link is crowded but the one link is empty. When resource pooling is used, such uneven distribution of demand can be eliminated, resulting in a more robust system.

## 3. Answer:

We can re-express  $1/E[n, \rho]$  using formula (1):

$$\frac{1}{E[n,\rho]} = \frac{e^{\rho} \int_{\rho}^{\infty} \frac{x^n e^{-x}}{n!} dx}{\rho^n / n!}$$

Cancelling n! and plugging in t, we get:

$$\frac{1}{E[tn,t\rho]} = \frac{e^{t\rho} \int_{t\rho}^{\infty} x^{tn} e^{-x} dx}{(t\rho)^{tn}} = \frac{\int_{t\rho}^{\infty} x^{tn} e^{t\rho - x} dx}{(t\rho)^{tn}}$$

Re-indexing the range of the summation, we get:

$$\frac{1}{E[tn,t\rho]} = \frac{\int_0^\infty (x+t\rho)^{tn} et\rho - x - t\rho dx}{(t\rho)^{tn}} = \int_0^\infty (\frac{x+t\rho}{t\rho})^{tn} e^{-x}$$

Only the middle term  $(\frac{x+t\rho}{t\rho})^{tn}$  contains t. So we only need to compare which term,  $(x+t\rho)^{tn}$  or  $(t\rho)^{tn}$  grows faster as t gets large. It is obvious that given the same index, the nominator will increase faster than the denominator does. Therefore,  $1/E[tn,t\rho]$  is increasing with t. As a result, it has been proven that the original function  $E[tn,t\rho]$  is strictly decreasing in t.