

# Investigation and Implementation of Biologically Inspired Flocking Behaviour in Swarm Robotics

Helmi Fraser

H00152077

Submitted for the degree of Bachelor of Engineering Heriot-Watt University April 2017

## Declaration

I, Helmi Fraser, confirm that this work submitted fo	r assessment is my	own and is expressed
in my own words.		

Signature:

Helmi Fraser

# Acknowledgements

My acknowledgements

## Contents

1	Abs	stract	1
	1.1	About the logo	1
2	Intr	roduction	3
	2.1	Aim	3
	2.2	Objectives	3
	2.3	Relevance	3
3	Lite	erature Review	4
	3.1	Biologically Inspired Computation and Engineering	4
	3.2	Artificial Intelligence	5
	3.3	Collective and Swarm Intelligence	5
4	Met	$ ag{thodology}$	6
	4.1	Hardware	6
		4.1.1 E-puck robots	6
		4.1.2 Alternatives	6
	4.2	Software	6
		4.2.1 Webots simulator	6
		4.2.2 C++ programming language	6
5	Imp	plementation	7
	5.1	Design	7
		5.1.1 System Diagram	7
		5.1.2 Control Equations	7
		5.1.3 Software Architecture	7
	5.2	Code Implementation	7
		5.2.1 Libraries and dependencies	7

DI	RAF	Γ: 14th	Mar	rch 2	2017	1				,	V										
		5.2.2	Lo	w le	vel							 									7
		5.2.3	Hi	gh le	evel																7
		5.2.4	Ве	havi	ioura	al.						 									7
6	Eva	luatio	n																		8
	6.1	Testin	ng .																		8
	6.2	Result	ts.																		8
	6.3	Analy	sis .																		8
7	Cor	clusio	n																		9
	7.1	Proble	ems	ence	ount	ere	d														9
	7.2	Limita	ation	ns .																	9
	7.3	Future	e wo	rk .																	9
Bi	bliog	graphy	7																		10
$\mathbf{A}$	Coc	le																			11

#### Abstract

This is the introduction to the thesis.<sup>1</sup> The conclusion is in Chapter ?? on page ??.

#### 1.1 About the logo

Figure 1.1 shows the logo for the University of Sussex.<sup>2</sup> This is consistent with Special Relativity [1]

Here is some Latin.

Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos. Nullam suscipit lectus nec tellus. Praesent malesuada nisl in neque. Nam dictum semper nisl. Ut ultricies nonummy augue. Nunc ullamcorper eros in nisl. Sed eros purus, vehicula eget, mattis nec, dignissim non, nulla. Suspendisse ac est commodo libero rhoncus sagittis. Vestibulum quis augue ut enim tincidunt ullamcorper. Vestibulum sem. Pellentesque enim ligula, consequat quis, luctus vel, rutrum id, sem.

Donec eleifend erat quis enim. Maecenas volutpat cursus libero. Fusce velit. Duis in metus. Sed lobortis, lorem id molestie ullamcorper, leo lacus interdum urna, a dapibus augue massa id magna. Curabitur leo. Cras sit amet lorem ut massa tincidunt ullamcorper. Nulla sed urna vulputate enim sodales pharetra. Cras vitae nulla a diam aliquam fermentum. Donec ullamcorper porttitor arcu. Ut laoreet est. Suspendisse potenti. Curabitur tincidunt, lorem nec pharetra viverra, arcu tellus tincidunt metus, sed fringilla ligula lorem at odio. Integer arcu turpis, facilisis quis, rhoncus quis, tristique et, nunc. Aenean massa pede, tempus nec, sodales at, tristique id, tortor. Aenean porttitor, sapien et interdum

Figure 1.1: The logo for the University of Sussex.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>And this is a footnote.

 $<sup>^2{</sup>m This}$  is a URL: http://www.sussex.ac.uk

eleifend, urna felis eleifend nisi, non sagittis justo erat et lorem.

Nam risus. Curabitur nec lectus. Nullam lobortis lacinia ipsum. Donec sit amet tortor id sem tincidunt congue. Praesent ut quam. Sed nisl nulla, adipiscing sit amet, dapibus ut, rutrum et, massa. Nunc fringilla tincidunt nisl. Vestibulum vehicula nisl id augue. Sed lobortis ligula sit amet nulla. Suspendisse viverra mauris non libero. Curabitur ac neque at lectus consectetuer tempus. Donec molestie magna consequat quam. Donec placerat turpis et risus. Integer purus purus, accumsan sed, euismod eget, commodo ornare, velit. Duis sit amet augue ut velit tristique blandit. Morbi in odio. Nam urna.

Curabitur pulvinar tristique pede. Duis justo. Morbi libero diam, varius et, faucibus non, blandit sit amet, nisi. Nam quam nunc, mattis id, scelerisque vel, pellentesque at, nunc. Etiam mattis ultrices odio. Suspendisse aliquam nisi sed sem. Praesent scelerisque ultrices velit. Nam sit amet lectus. Nullam in ipsum vel lectus nonummy consectetuer. Sed dictum. Maecenas massa sapien, blandit in, sollicitudin id, vulputate ut, risus. Nulla facilisi. Vivamus ut erat. Etiam massa. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Suspendisse hendrerit iaculis mi. Morbi tincidunt felis a urna.

Ut a quam. Nam aliquet suscipit pede. In vitae magna. Aliquam erat volutpat. Etiam ut turpis. Ut convallis adipiscing velit. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Nam luctus ante sit amet pede. Nulla facilisi. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Etiam ultricies augue non eros. Vivamus nulla lacus, varius sed, consectetuer id, tempus sed, velit. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Phasellus non dolor eu augue adipiscing molestie. Duis eu est. Proin sodales pellentesque quam. Duis at enim. Nulla vulputate, diam sed rutrum euismod, quam mauris consectetuer massa, a dapibus nisi eros ac ligula. Nam tortor metus, faucibus vitae, cursus vel, egestas sollicitudin, nulla. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas.

### Introduction

#### 2.1 Aim

Something about aim

### 2.2 Objectives

Aims and objectives are different, somehow

#### 2.3 Relevance

Swarm shit is cool [3]

### Literature Review

In this chapter, an analysis of previous work completed in the field of swarm robotics is provided, as well as an outline of the theoretical concepts concerning the techniques applied during this project.

#### 3.1 Biologically Inspired Computation and Engineering

Nature has provided examples of outwardly complex biological systems which are often efficient, fluid and resilient to partial breakdowns. Colonies of ants are able to forage for food and build large, complex structures. Fireflies are able to synchronize their flashing with one another. Flocks of birds and schools of fish exhibit fluid and efficient group movement. In the majority of cases, nature has achieved these despite utilizing very little to no communication between individual creatures and in the absence of a higher level director or supervisor. The animals react only to environmental stimuli, either the strength or type of pheromones detected in the environment in the case of ants (termed stigmergy) or the positions of other individuals in the case of fish and birds. For example, it has been shown that over time, the velocity of a flock of birds converges to a state where each individual has equal velocity. [2]

This is defined as *emergent behaviour*, the rise of previously unpredicted and complex behaviour through the interaction of simple rules.

Researchers have attempted to replicate this in an artificial system via various means. For example, Bojinov et. al (2000) demonstrated how simple, local sensory rules can produce complex and useful structures in metamorphic robots. [1] Metamorphic, or modular, robots are a relatively new concept which can be described as "a robot that is composed of modules that are all identical". [5] In their paper, Bojinov et. al explored the use of purely

*local* rules and interactions to develop control algorithms which enabled a Proteo robot to accomplish some otherwise difficult and complex tasks. Such tasks include forming three dimensional structures, locomotion and dynamic adaptation.

Previous work on this front has utilised an exact, a-priori definition of the configuration of the robot, calculated via algorithms that use heuristics. [4] These algorithms all require knowledge of the problem, the environment and the desired shape before hand, which in a real world setting is not always feasible. What makes the work of Bojinov et. al interesting is that their algorithm does not aim to produce a pre-defined shape, rather it aims to produce a shape with the desired *properties* needed to solve the problem at hand. In this way, the algorithm produced is robust to unknowns, noise or changes in the environment, in a similar manner to how animals can adapt to changes in their environment.

From an engineering perspective, mimicking these systems could provide better solutions to a multitude of problems across various industries. One way that is gaining major interest from academics and the industry alike is the application of swarm robotics. Swarm robotics is a relatively new field of multi-robotics, in which the aim is to co-ordinate a large number of robots in a decentralized manner, similar to the natural systems mentioned previously. In order to carry out this project, a thorough understanding of the concepts and mechanisms that underpin swarm robotics systems will need to be achieved, as well as a strong working understanding of various tools used in their implementation such as simulation software and higher level programming.

#### 3.2 Artificial Intelligence

Something about aim

#### 3.3 Collective and Swarm Intelligence

Aims and objectives are different, somehow

## Methodology

- 4.1 Hardware
- 4.1.1 E-puck robots

E-pucks

4.1.2 Alternatives

Others

- 4.2 Software
- 4.2.1 Webots simulator

Concepts

4.2.2 C++ programming language

## Implementation

#### 5.1.1 System Diagram

E-pucks

#### 5.1.2 Control Equations

Others

- 5.1.3 Software Architecture
- 5.2 Code Implementation
- 5.2.1 Libraries and dependencies
- 5.2.2 Low level
- 5.2.3 High level
- 5.2.4 Behavioural

## **Evaluation**

- 6.1 Testing
- 6.2 Results
- 6.3 Analysis

### Conclusion

I was right all along.

#### 7.1 Problems encountered

I was right about the following things.

#### 7.2 Limitations

People thought they understood, but they didn't.

#### 7.3 Future work

Of course.

### Bibliography

- [1] H. Bojinov, A. Casal, and T. Hogg. Emergent structures in modular self-reconfigurable robots. Proceedings 2000 ICRA. Millennium Conference. IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation. Symposia Proceedings (Cat. No.00CH37065), 2:1734-1741, 2000. 1, 4
- [2] F. Cucker and S. Smale. Emergent behavior in flocks. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 52(5):852–862, May 2007. 4
- [3] C. Moeslinger, T. Schmickl, and K. Crailsheim. Emergent flocking in low-end swarms.

  University of Graz, Artificial Life Lab of the Department of Zoology, 2010. 3
- [4] A. Pamecha, I. Ebert-Uphoff, and G. S. Chirikjian. Useful metrics for modular robot motion planning. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, 13(4):531–545, Aug 1997. 5
- [5] M. Yim. Locomotion with a Unit-Modular Reconfigurable Robot. PhD thesis, Stanford University, 1995. 4

## Appendix A

## Code

10 PRINT "HELLO WORLD"