

Bayesian non-Gaussian state space models in R

Random-walk Metropolis versus Hamiltonian Monte Carlo

Jouni Helske

University of Jyväskylä, Department of Mathematics and Statistics

jouni.helske@iki.fi



UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ

Introduction

We compare the computational efficiency of different Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithms for Bayesian inference of state space models using `rstan`, `bssm`, and `stannis` packages

- **rstan**: Interface for Stan, a probabilistic modelling language with focus on Hamiltonian Monte Carlo (HMC) methods [6]
- **bssm**: State space modelling with MCMC methods based on adaptive random walk Metropolis algorithm (RWM) and particle filters [4]
- **stannis**: Implementation of hybrid IS-HMC algorithm [3]

We compare the following algorithms:

- **HMC**: HMC using NUTS algorithm (Stan) [5]
- **PM**: Pseudo-marginal MCMC (bssm) [1]
- **DA**: Delayed acceptance PM (bssm) [2]
- **IS-RWM**: IS type correction of MCMC with RWM (bssm) [7]
- **IS-HMC**: IS type correction of MCMC with HMC (stannis) [3]

Model

We simulated time series of length $n = 100$ from the following model:

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &\sim \text{Poisson}(\exp(\mu_t)) \\ \mu_{t+1} &= \mu_t + \nu_t + \sigma_\eta \eta_t, & \eta_t &\sim N(0, 1), \\ \nu_{t+1} &= \nu_t + \sigma_\xi \xi_t, & \xi_t &\sim N(0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

with $\sigma_\eta = \sigma_\xi = 0.01$, and $\mu_1 = \nu_1 = 0$.

Results

We ran all algorithms $N = 500$ times with 20,000 + 20,000 iterations. As a measure of efficiency, we use inverse relative efficiency (IRE), defined as

$$IRE = 100 \frac{\bar{T}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\hat{\theta}_i - \theta)^2,$$

where \bar{T} is the average running time, $\hat{\theta}_i$ is the estimate from i th run, and θ is the parameter estimate based on 1,000,000 iterations of pseudo-marginal MCMC.

method	σ_η			μ_1			time (s)
	mean	SE	IRE	mean	SE	IRE	
IS-RWM	0.07	0.0016	0.004	0.02	0.008	0.10	17
DA	0.07	0.0016	0.006	0.02	0.008	0.14	24
PM	0.07	0.0016	0.010	0.02	0.008	0.22	39
IS-HMC	0.07	0.0006	0.031	0.02	0.003	0.76	927
HMC	0.07	0.0006	0.049	0.02	0.003	1.02	1166

Conclusions

- We demonstrated the computational differences between different MCMC algorithms
- RWM based algorithms were clearly superior in terms of IRE
- Hybrid IS-HMC improved the efficiency of the standard HMC approach
- Full study is available as a vignette of `stannis`

Inference with bssm

```
model <- ng_bsm(y, P1 = diag(c(10, 0.1)),
  sd_level = halfnormal(0.01, 1),
  sd_slope = halfnormal(0.01, 0.1),
  distribution = "poisson")
run_mcmc(model, n_iter = 10000, nsim_states = 10, method = "isc")
Call:
run_mcmc.ng_bsm(object = model, n_iter = 10000, nsim_states = 10,
  method = "isc")
```

```
Iterations = 5001:10000
Thinning interval = 1
Length of the final jump chain = 1160
```

Acceptance rate after the burn-in period: 0.2318

Summary for theta:

	Mean	SD	SE-IS	SE-AR
sd_level	0.076987129	0.058232429	0.0023210821	0.0032319932
sd_slope	0.007553367	0.005316024	0.0001751029	0.0002196252

Effective sample sizes for theta:

	ESS-IS	ESS-AR
sd_level	454.8747	324.6302
sd_slope	524.3069	585.8809

Summary for alpha_100:

	Mean	SD	SE-IS	SE-AR
level	2.32278892	0.18689398	0.007498485	0.007501719
slope	0.05661408	0.03421972	0.001254904	0.001255445

Effective sample sizes for alpha:

	ESS-IS	ESS-AR
level	537.8590	620.6818
slope	447.9824	742.9461

Run time:

	user	system	elapsed
	2.916	0.000	2.929

References

[1] C. Andrieu, A. Doucet, and R. Holenstein. Particle Markov chain Monte Carlo methods. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, 72(3):269–342, 2010. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9868.2009.00736.x>.

[2] J. A. Christen and C. Fox. Markov chain Monte Carlo using an approximation. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 14(4):795–810, 2005. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1198/106186005X76983>.

[3] J. Helske. stannis: Importance sampling type correction of Markov chain Monte Carlo with Stan, 2017. URL <http://github.com/helske/stannis>. R package version 0.0.1.

[4] J. Helske and Vihola M. bssm: Bayesian inference of exponential family state space models, 2017. URL <http://github.com/helske/bssm>. R package version 0.1.0.

[5] M. D. Hoffman and A. Gelman. The No-U-Turn Sampler: Adaptively setting path lengths in Hamiltonian Monte Carlo. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 15:15931623, 2014.

[6] Stan Development Team. RStan: the R interface to Stan, 2016. URL <http://mc-stan.org/>. R package version 2.14.1.

[7] M. Vihola, J. Helske, and J. Franks. Importance sampling type correction of Markov chain Monte Carlo and exact approximations. *ArXiv e-prints*, 2016. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.02541>.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the Academy of Finland research grant 284513.