

## Reminiscences on Influential Papers

**Eleni Tzirita Zacharatou**

Hasso Plattner Institute and University of Potsdam, Germany

[eleni.tziritazacharatou@hpi.de](mailto:eleni.tziritazacharatou@hpi.de)

**SIGMOD Record, Vol. 54, No. 3**

Chee-Yong Chan and Yannis E. Ioannidis.

### **Bitmap Index Design and Evaluation.**

In Proceedings of the International Conference on Management of Data (SIGMOD),  
pages 355-366, 1998.

I first encountered this paper [1] in 2013 during the exploratory phase of my PhD, when I was searching for a research direction as a newcomer to the database field. Although I ultimately did not work extensively in the area of bitmap indexing (with the exception of some work on bitmap compression [2], [3]), this study of bitmap indexes left a lasting impression during those formative first months of my PhD journey as I began to understand the landscape of database research. Beyond its significant technical contributions, Chan and Ioannidis's paper served as a model for how I approach problems, structure my research methodology, and communicate my findings. Essentially, their work was instrumental in shaping my understanding of what constitutes high-quality database research.

In today's research taxonomy, Chan and Ioannidis's paper would be classified as an Experiments and Analysis (E&A) study, but one that goes well beyond conventional experimental evaluation by incorporating both analytical modeling and novel algorithmic contributions. The work presents a comprehensive framework for understanding the design space of bitmap indexes, systematically investigating key design dimensions including attribute value decomposition and encoding approaches, selection query algorithms, and compression and caching techniques. Their analysis identified four critical points in the space-time tradeoff curve—ranging from space-optimal to time-optimal configurations—and provided what they described as "a first set of guidelines for physical database design using bitmap indexes." In subsequent years, bitmap indexes became widely adopted in commercial systems like Oracle for data warehousing, were a core component in early column stores, and powered specialized libraries such as FastBit.

What stood out to me was the paper's effective combination of theoretical analysis and practical evaluation. This inspired me early in my research career to always strive for principled analysis alongside thorough experimental validation. But more fundamentally, this paper taught me an important research philosophy: the value of taking a step back before moving forward. Chan and Ioannidis demonstrated that truly understanding the current landscape, identifying existing trade-offs, and systematically mapping the design space are essential tools for guiding innovation. The elegance of their framework lies not just in organizing existing knowledge, but in articulating the underlying design principles in a way that reveals previously unconsidered alternatives.

Perhaps most profoundly, the paper illustrated the power of abstraction and decomposition in research. By breaking bitmap indexing into its fundamental elements, the authors enabled new compositions and revealed hidden trade-offs. This taught me that understanding complex systems requires first decoupling their components, and that this decoupling process itself often illuminates the path forward.

The combination of theoretical analysis and practical evaluation in the paper is evident not only in its content but also in its structure. Rather than grouping all experiments in a separate section, as is standard practice today, Chan and Ioannidis interleave experimental validation with analytical insights throughout the paper. This structure creates a more coherent reading experience, as each theoretical result is immediately supported by relevant experimental evidence, allowing readers to follow the argument without having to switch between different sections.

In conclusion, I believe this paper demonstrates that a careful analysis of trade-offs in physical database design is essential to database research. For anyone looking to understand bitmap indexing, or more importantly, to learn how to conduct a systematic design space exploration, this paper is an excellent guide.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Chee-Yong Chan and Yannis E. Ioannidis. Bitmap Index Design and Evaluation. In Proceedings of the 1998 ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data, SIGMOD '98, page 355–366, New York, NY, USA, 1998. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [2] Eleni Tziritza Zacharatou, Farhan Tauheed, Thomas Heinis, and Anastasia Ailamaki. RUBIK: efficient threshold queries on massive time series. In Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Scientific and Statistical Database Management, SSDBM'15, Article 18, 12 pages, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2791347.2791372>

[3] Marcellus Prama Saputra, Eleni Tzirita Zacharatou, Serafeim Papadias, and Volker Markl. In-Place Updates in Tree-Encoded Bitmaps. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Scientific and Statistical Database Management, SSDBM'22, 18:1–18:4, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.1145/3538712.3538745>