Oracle Diagnostics

Hemant K Chitale

Hemant K Chitale

- whoami?
- Oracle 5 to Oracle 10gR2 : DOS, Xenix,8 flavours of Unix, Linux, Windows
- Financial Services, Govt/Not-for-Profit, ERP, Custom
- Production Support, Consulting, Development
- A DBA, not a Developer
- My Oracle Blog http://hemantoracledba.blogspot.com

Explain Plans -- simple

- Explain Plan is a method of displaying the *expected* OR *actual* SQL Execution Plan
- Since 9i, Oracle has provided the DBMS_XPLAN package with various procedures

Method 1: Without Executing the Query

NOT to be used if the query has Binds –
particularly because "Explain is blind to Binds"

 Use "EXPLAIN PLAN FOR Query" followed by "DBMS_XPLAN.DISPLAY".

Given this query:

```
SQL> explain plan for
 2 select sale id, cust id, remarks
   from sales where
    sale date between to date('01-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR')
 5
                 and to date('04-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR')
 6
Explained.
SQL> select * from table(dbms xplan.display);
PLAN TABLE OUTPUT
Plan hash value: 1231079358
| Id | Operation | Name | Rows | Bytes | Cost (%CPU) | Time |
0 | SELECT STATEMENT | 3000 | 166K | 244 (2) | 00:00:03 |
|* 1 | FILTER | | | | |
|* 2 | TABLE ACCESS FULL | SALES | 3000 | 166K | 244 (2) | 00:00:03 |
Predicate Information (identified by operation id):
  1 - filter(TO DATE('01-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR')<=TO DATE('04-NOV-10','DD-
            MON-RR'))
  2 - filter("SALE DATE"<=TO DATE('04-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR') AND
            "SALE DATE">=TO DATE('01-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR'))
```

17 rows selected.

Understand the components:

```
Plan hash value: 1231079358
```

Every Execution Plan has a Hash Value. (Just as every SQL has a Hash Value and SQL_ID). We'll see later where the Hash Value is an important clue.

Step 2 is indented --- we normally think that it is executed before Step 1. A Filter for SALE_DATE between two dates is applied when doing a FullTableScan ("TABLE ACCESS FULL" and "filter") at Step 2. Oracle expects to return 3000 rows after applying the "filter" to the FullTableScan. (The Explain Plan shows the number of rows expected to be returned by the step, not the number of rows that the FTS will read (which is actually 100,000!)). These 3000 rows will be 166KBytes to be returned to the client (SQLPlus session).

Step 1 is a filter that Oracle applies for validation.

What is the filter in Step 1? Why does Oracle "apply it for validation"?

```
SQL> 1
 1 explain plan for
 2 select sale id, cust id, remarks
 3 from sales where
 4 sale date between to date('01-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR')
 5*
                and to date('25-OCT-10','DD-MON-RR')
SQL> /
Explained.
SQL> select * from table(dbms xplan.display);
PLAN TABLE OUTPUT
Plan hash value: 1231079358
| Id | Operation | Name | Rows | Bytes | Cost (%CPU) | Time |
  0 | SELECT STATEMENT | 1 | 57 | 244 (2) | 00:00:03 |
|* 1 | FILTER | | | | |
|* 2 | TABLE ACCESS FULL| SALES | 1 | 57 | 244 (2) | 00:00:03 |
Predicate Information (identified by operation id):
  1 - filter(TO DATE('01-NOV-10', 'DD-MON-RR') <= TO DATE('25-OCT-10', 'DD-
            MON-RR'))
  2 - filter("SALE DATE"<=TO DATE('25-OCT-10','DD-MON-RR') AND
            "SALE DATE">=TO DATE('01-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR'))
```

```
SOL> set autotrace on
SQL> select sale id, cust id, remarks
 2 from sales where
 3 sale date between to date('01-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR')
                and to date('25-OCT-10','DD-MON-RR')
 5 /
no rows selected
Execution Plan
Plan hash value: 1231079358
| Id | Operation | Name | Rows | Bytes | Cost (%CPU)| Time |
   0 | SELECT STATEMENT | 1 | 57 | 244 (2) | 00:00:03 |
|* 1 | FILTER | | | |
  2 | TABLE ACCESS FULL| SALES | 1 | 57 | 244 (2) | 00:00:03 |
Predicate Information (identified by operation id):
  1 - filter(TO DATE('01-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR')<=TO DATE('25-OCT-10','DD-
            MON-RR'))
  2 - filter("SALE DATE"<=TO DATE('25-OCT-10','DD-MON-RR') AND
            "SALE DATE">=TO DATE('01-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR'))
Statistics
        1 recursive calls
         0 db block gets
         0 consistent gets
        0 physical reads
        0 redo size
       409 bytes sent via SQL*Net to client
       408 bytes received via SQL*Net from client
        1 SQL*Net roundtrips to/from client
```

You can now see that the FILTER in step 1 was a method for validation. Since

```
filter(TO_DATE('01-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR')<=TO_DATE('25-OCT-10','DD-MON-RR'))</pre>
```

will be *FALSE* (because '01-NOV-10' is *not less than* '25-OCT-10'), the next step (Step 2) does not get executed at all.

That is why the AUTOTRACE shows 0 block gets

Statistics

- 1 recursive calls
- 0 db block gets
- 0 consistent gets
- 0 physical reads

inspite of Step 2 supposedly being "TABLE ACCESS FULL"!

Therefore, when you see a FILTER as a step in an Execution Plan, remember that it may be a "logic filter". Oracle may be using it to decide if the "indented" step below it needs to be executed at all. If this FILTER returns FALSE, the "indented" "child" step is NOT executed.

Method 2: Without Query Execution

- This is useful to "validate" an Execution Plan
- This is an alternative to executing with SQL
 Tracing enabled and writing to a trace file
- This method uses the Hint "GATHER_PLAN_STATISTICS". (Another option is to set the session parameter STATISTICS_LEVEL to "ALL" just before execution of the SQL).

Actually executing the query, with the Hint added:

```
SQL> select /*+ gather plan statistics */ sale id, cust id, remarks
  2 from sales where
    sale date between to date('01-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR')
                  and to date ('04-NOV-10', 'DD-MON-RR')
  SALE ID CUST ID REMARKS
    24099
                 40 BIK9SBLPJKGKA8UINWX20064KAD210CMW4Z7AZUL
    24100
                  44 TXE1BTOM1631FJVTYCUBYBGOFRL7032QVG20ZV6C
 27097 77 11KBENL0XE4T2OXAWXF9DAW2HHSQBG696BHJ5F79
    27098
                  17 MHEK21ILW79EHUIJC103RA4PLC844K2KXNXCYL3E
3000 rows selected.
Elapsed: 00:00:04.20
SQL>
```

```
SQL> select * from table(dbms xplan.display cursor('','','ALLSTATS LAST'));
PLAN TABLE OUTPUT
SQL_ID 2xk5b0ypks53n, child number 0
select /*+ gather plan statistics */ sale id, cust id, remarks from
sales where sale date between to date('01-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR')
   and to date('04-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR')
Plan hash value: 1231079358
|* 2 | TABLE ACCESS FULL| SALES | 1 | 3000 | 3000 |00:00:00.15 | 1064 | 835 |
Predicate Information (identified by operation id):
  1 - filter(TO DATE('01-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR')<=TO DATE('04-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR'))
  2 - filter(("SALE DATE"<=TO DATE('04-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR') AND
          "SALE DATE">=TO DATE('01-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR')))
```

I use the DISPLAY_CURSOR procedure.

The first two Parameters are SQL_ID and CHILD_NO. The "(NULL) values passed tell Oracle to evaluate for the SQL just (previously) executed in my *current* session.

The last parameter is for display format. 'ALLSTATS LAST' is to show all statistics for the Last execution of the same SQL.

Here Oracle shows the Expected-Rows from each Step versus the Actual-Rows. (We already know the Step 1 for this plan is a "validation" step so we ignore it). Step 2 was expected to return 3000 rows and did return 3000 rows (after applying the filters on all the 100,000 rows returned by the FullTableScan). The Actual-Time and Buffer Gets and Physical Reads (number of Oracle Blocks, not number of Read Calls to the OS) are also displayed.

In a complex Execution Plan, the A-Rows, A-Time and Buffers (and, possibly, Reads) columns are the ones to pay attention to – they will indicate whether the expected cardinality for the particular step was correct (if A-Rows is different from E-Rows) and how long the step took.

NOTE: When you have a query that is a join of two tables using a Nested Loop, you might mis-read the E-Rows and A-Rows. You will have to pay attention to another column "Starts".

Method 3: From AWR Historical Information

- This is useful to monitor a query's execution profile over time
- It can be done only if the SQL has been captured by AWR
- Remember: AWR only captures the Top 'N'
 SQLs present in the Shared Pool when a
 Snapshot is created. If the SQL had been
 invalidated or aged out or was not in the Top 'N',
 at the time of the Snapshot, it wouldn't have bee
 captured by AWR!

| PLAN_TABLE_OUTPUT | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| SQL> select * from table(dbms_xplan.display_awr('3pat1z2qx4gyg')); | | | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: This output spans this slide *and* the next two slides: | | | | | | | | | |

SQL_ID 3pat1z2qx4gyg

select sale_id, cust_id, remarks from sales where sale_date between to_date('01-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR') and to_date('04-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR')

Plan hash value: 909439854

| I | d | Operation | | | Name | | | Rows | | Bytes | Cost | (응CPU) | Time | |
|------|----------|--------------------------|---|------|------|-----------|----|--------------|------|-------|------|------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| | 0 1 | SELECT STATEMEN FILTER | Т | | | | | | | | 35 | (100) | | |
| | 2 3 | TABLE ACCESS INDEX RANGE | | | | _DATES_NI | DX | 3000 3000 | | | | . , . | 00:00:01 00:00:01 | |

SQL_ID 3pat1z2qx4gyg

select sale_id, cust_id, remarks from sales where sale_date between to_date('01-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR') and to_date('04-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR')

Plan hash value: 1231079358

| | Id | | Operatio | on | | Name | | Rows | | Bytes | Cost | (%CPU) | Time |
|--|----|-----------|----------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|--|-------|------|--------|----------|
| | 0 | 1 | SELECT : | STATEMEN | I TV | | | | | 1 | 244 | (100) | 1 |
| | 1 | | FILTER | | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | |
| | 2 | | TABLE | ACCESS | FULL | SALES | | 3000 | | 166K | 244 | (2) | 00:00:03 |

We see two different Execution Plans with two different Plan Hash Values.

Why is that so?

Apparently, the Execution Plan earlier had used an Index Range Scan, using Index "SALES_DATES_NDX".

Later the Execution Plan for the same SQL (because the SQL_ID is the same) has changed to a Full Table Scan.

What had happened was that I had dropped the Index "SALES_DATES_NDX" between the first execution and the second one!

So, this display from AWR shows that the Execution Plans can and have changed over time, with the Plan Hash Value changing accordingly!

Another way is to run \$ORACLE_HOME/rdbms/admin/awrsqrpt.sql:

```
Specify the Begin and End Snapshot Ids
Enter value for begin snap: 1152
Begin Snapshot Id specified: 1152
Enter value for end snap: 1156
End Snapshot Id specified: 1156
Specify the SQL Id
Enter value for sql id: 3pat1z2qx4qyq
SQL ID specified: 3pat1z2qx4qyq
Specify the Report Name
The default report file name is awrsqlrpt 1 1152 1156.txt. To use this name,
press <return> to continue, otherwise enter an alternative.
Enter value for report name:
Using the report name awrsqlrpt 1 1152 1156.txt
```

WORKLOAD REPOSITORY SQL Report

Snapshot Period Summary

| DB Name | DB Id | Instance | Inst Nu | ım Start | tup Time | Release | RAC |
|-----------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------|-------------|------------|--------|
| ORCL | 1229390655 | orcl | | 1 21-Ap | or-11 22:33 | 11.2.0.1.0 | NO |
| _ | Snap Id | Snap Ti | me Ses | sions (| Curs/Sess | | |
| Begin Snap: End Snap: Elapsed: DB Time: | | -Apr-11 22 -Apr-11 00 80.29 (m 1.76 (m | :00:19 ins) | 29 31 | 1.4 1.5 | | |
| 0.07 | | | | · | | | 11 - 6 |

SQL Summary DB/Inst: ORCL/orcl Snaps: 1152-1156

Elapsed
SQL Id Time (ms)

3pat1z2qx4gyg 518

Module: sqlplus@localhost.localdomain (TNS V1-V3)

select sale_id, cust_id, remarks from sales where sale_date between to_date('01-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR') and to_date('04-NOV-10','DD-MON-RR')

.----

SQL ID: 3pat1z2qx4gyg DB/Inst: ORCL/orcl Snaps: 1152-1156

-> 1st Capture and Last Capture Snap IDs refer to Snapshot IDs witin the snapshot range

-> select sale_id, cust_id, remarks from sales where sale_date between to...

| # | Plan Hash Value | Total Elapsed Time(ms) | Executions | 1st Capture Snap ID | Last Capture Snap ID |
|---|--------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | 1231079358 | 400 | 1 | 1155 | 1155 |
| 2 | 909439854 | 118 | 1 | 1153 | 1153 |

This shows that there have been two executions, one of 400ms and the other of 118ms, with two different Plan Hash Values and captured in different Snaphots.

Plan 2 is the "older" plan and took less time. This was the Plan with the Index Range Scan.

Plan 1(PHV: 1231079358)

Plan Statistics DB/Inst: ORCL/orcl Snaps: 1152-1156

 $\mbox{->}$ % Total DB Time is the Elapsed Time of the SQL statement divided into the Total Database Time multiplied by 100

| Stat Name | Statement | Per Execution 9 | s Snap |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------|
| Elapsed Time (ms) | 400 | 399.5 | 0.4 |
| CPU Time (ms) | 248 | 248.0 | 0.3 |
| Executions | 1 | N/A | N/A |
| Buffer Gets | 1,281 | 1,281.0 | 0.2 |
| Disk Reads | 883 | 883.0 | 4.1 |
| Parse Calls | 1 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Rows | 3,000 | 3,000.0 | N/A |
| User I/O Wait Time (ms) | 42 | N/A | N/A |
| Cluster Wait Time (ms) | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Application Wait Time (ms) | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Concurrency Wait Time (ms) | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Invalidations | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Version Count | 1 | N/A | N/A |
| Sharable Mem(KB) | 14 | N/A | N/A |

Execution Plan

| Id | | Operation | | Name | | Rows | | Bytes | Cost | (%CPU) | Time |
|----|-------|------------------|------|-------|--|------|--|-------|------|--------|----------|
| (|) | SELECT STATEMENT | · · | | | | | | 244 | (100) | |
| 1 | 1 | FILTER | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| 2 | 2 | TABLE ACCESS F | 'ULL | SALES | | 3000 | | 166K | 244 | (2) | 00:00:03 |

Plan 2(PHV: 909439854)

Plan Statistics DB/Inst: ORCL/orcl Snaps: 1152-1156

-> % Total DB Time is the Elapsed Time of the SQL statement divided into the Total Database Time multiplied by 100

| Stat Name | Statement | Per Execution | % Snap |
|----------------------------|-----------|---------------|--------|
| | | | |
| Elapsed Time (ms) | 118 | 118.0 | 0.1 |
| CPU Time (ms) | 45 | 45.0 | 0.1 |
| Executions | 1 | N/A | N/A |
| Buffer Gets | 666 | 666.0 | 0.1 |
| Disk Reads | 59 | 59.0 | 0.3 |
| Parse Calls | 1 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Rows | 3,000 | 3,000.0 | N/A |
| User I/O Wait Time (ms) | 84 | N/A | N/A |
| Cluster Wait Time (ms) | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Application Wait Time (ms) | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Concurrency Wait Time (ms) | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Invalidations | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Version Count | 1 | N/A | N/A |
| Sharable Mem(KB) | 14 | N/A | N/A |

Execution Plan

| Id | Operation | | Name | | Rows | Bytes | Cost | (%CPU) | Time | |
|----|------------------|---------------|-----------------|---|------|-------|------|--------|----------|---|
| 0 | SELECT STATEMENT | 1 | | - | | I I | 35 | (100) | | |
| 1 | FILTER | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | TABLE ACCESS BY | Y INDEX ROWID | SALES | | 3000 | 166K | 35 | (0) | 00:00:01 | |
| 3 | INDEX RANGE SO | CAN | SALES_DATES_NDX | | 3000 | | 9 | (0) | 00:00:01 | - |

```
Full SQL Text
```

SQL ID SQL Text

Report written to awrsqlrpt_1_1152_1156.txt
SQL>