

32 String Matching

32.1 The naive string-matching algorithm

32.1-1

Show the comparisons the naive string matcher makes for the pattern $P = 0001$ in the text $T = 000010001010001$.

```

STRING-MATCHER(P, T, i)
  for j = i to i + P.length
    if P[j - i + 1] ≠ T[j]
      return false
  return true

```

32.1-2

Suppose that all characters in the pattern P are different. Show how to accelerate NAIVE-STRING-MATCHER to run in time $O(n)$ on an n -character text T .

Suppose $T[i] \neq P[j]$, then for $k \in [1, j]$, $T[i - k] = P[j - k] \neq P[0]$, the $[i - k, i)$ are all invalid shifts which could be skipped, therefore we can compare $T[i]$ with $P[0]$ in the next iteration.

32.1-3

Suppose that pattern P and text T are randomly chosen strings of length m and n , respectively, from the d -ary alphabet $\Sigma_d = \{0, 1, \dots, d - 1\}$, where $d \geq 2$. Show that the expected number of character-to-character comparisons made by the implicit loop in line 4 of the naive algorithm is

$$(n - m + 1) \frac{1 - d^{-m}}{1 - d^{-1}} \leq 2(n - m + 1)$$

over all executions of this loop. (Assume that the naive algorithm stops comparing characters for a given shift once it finds a mismatch or matches the entire pattern.) Thus, for randomly chosen strings, the naive algorithm is quite efficient.

Suppose for each shift, the number of compared characters is L , then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[L] &= 1 \cdot \frac{d-1}{d} + 2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^1 \frac{d-1}{d} + \dots + m \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^{m-1} \frac{d-1}{d} + m \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^m \\
 &= \left(1 + 2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^1 + \dots + m \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^{m-1}\right) \frac{d-1}{d} + m \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^m \\
 S &= 1 + 2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^1 + \dots + m \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^{m-1} \\
 \frac{1}{d}S &= 1 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^1 + \dots + (m-1) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^{m-1} + m \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^m \\
 \frac{d-1}{d}S &= 1 + \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^1 + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^{m-1} - m \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^m \\
 \frac{d-1}{d}S &= \frac{1 - d^{-m}}{1 - d^{-1}} - m \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^m.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E[L] &= (1 + 2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^1 + \dots + m \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^m) \frac{d-1}{d} + m \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^m \\
&= \frac{1 - d^{-m}}{1 - d^{-1}} - m \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^m + m \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^m \\
&= \frac{1 - d^{-m}}{1 - d^{-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

There are $n - m + 1$ shifts, therefore the expected number of comparisons is:

$$(n - m + 1) \cdot E[L] = (n - m + 1) \frac{1 - d^{-m}}{1 - d^{-1}}$$

Since $d \geq 2$, $1 - d^{-1} \geq 0.5$, $1 - d^{-m} < 1$, and $\frac{1 - d^{-m}}{1 - d^{-1}} \leq 2$, therefore

$$(n - m + 1) \frac{1 - d^{-m}}{1 - d^{-1}} \leq 2(n - m + 1).$$

32.1-4

Suppose we allow the pattern P to contain occurrences of a **gap character** \cdot that can match an *arbitrary* string of characters (even one of zero length). For example, the pattern $ab \cdot ba \cdot c$ occurs in the text `cabccbacbacab` as

`cabccbacba c ab`

 $\underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_{ab} \cdot \underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_{ba} \cdot \underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_c$

and as

`cabccbacba c ab`

 $\underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_{ab} \cdot \underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_{ba} \cdot \underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_c$

Note that the gap character may occur an arbitrary number of times in the pattern but not at all in the text. Give a polynomial-time algorithm to determine whether such a pattern P occurs in a given text T , and analyze the running time of your algorithm.

By using dynamic programming, the time complexity is $O(mn)$ where m is the length of the text T and n is the length of the pattern P ; the space complexity is $O(mn)$, too.

This problem is similar to LeetCode [44. WildCard Matching](#), except that it has no question mark (?) requirement. You can see my naive DP implementation [here](#).

32.2 The Rabin-Karp algorithm

32.2-1

Working modulo $q = 11$, how many spurious hits does the Rabin-Karp matcher encounter in the text $T = 3141592653589793$ when looking for the pattern $P = 26$?

$|\{15, 59, 92\}| = 3$.

32.2-2

How would you extend the Rabin-Karp method to the problem of searching a text string for an occurrence of any one of a given set of k patterns? Start by assuming that all k patterns have the same length. Then generalize your solution to allow the patterns to have different lengths.

Truncation.

32.2-3

Show how to extend the Rabin-Karp method to handle the problem of looking for a given $m \times m$ pattern in an $n \times n$ array of characters. (The pattern may be shifted vertically and horizontally, but it may not be rotated.)

Calculate the hashes in each column just like the Rabin-Karp in one-dimension, then treat the hashes in each row as the characters and hashing again.

32.2-4

Alice has a copy of a long n -bit file $A = \langle a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}, \dots, a_0 \rangle$, and Bob similarly has an n -bit file $B = \langle b_{n-1}, b_{n-2}, \dots, b_0 \rangle$. Alice and Bob wish to know if their files are identical. To avoid transmitting all of A or B , they use the following fast probabilistic check. Together, they select a prime $q > 1000n$ and randomly select an integer x from $\{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$. Then, Alice evaluates

$$A(x) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i \right) \mod q$$

and Bob similarly evaluates $B(x)$. Prove that if $A \neq B$, there is at most one chance in 1000 that $A(x) = B(x)$, whereas if the two files are the same, $A(x)$ is necessarily the same as $B(x)$. (Hint: See Exercise 31.4-4.)

(Omit!)

32.3 String matching with finite automata

32.3-1

Construct the string-matching automaton for the pattern $P = \text{aabab}$ and illustrate its operation on the text string $T = \text{aaababaabaababab}$.

$0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3$.

32.3-2

Draw a state-transition diagram for a string-matching automaton for the pattern $\text{ababbabbababbababbabb}$ over the alphabet $\sigma = \{a, b\}$.

0	1	0
1	1	2
2	3	0
3	1	4
4	3	5
5	6	0
6	1	7
7	3	8
8	9	0
9	1	10
10	11	0
11	1	12
12	3	13
13	14	0
14	1	15
15	16	8
16	1	17
17	3	18
18	19	0
19	1	20
20	3	21
21	9	0

32.3-3

We call a pattern P **nonoverlappable** if $P_k \sqsupset P_q$ implies $k = 0$ or $k = q$. Describe the state-transition diagram of the string-matching automaton for a nonoverlappable pattern.

$\delta(q,a) \in \{0,1,q+1\}$.

32.3-4 *

Given two patterns P and P' , describe how to construct a finite automaton that determines all occurrences of either pattern. Try to minimize the number of states in your automaton.

Combine the common prefix and suffix.

32.3-5

Given a pattern P containing gap characters (see Exercise 32.1-4), show how to build a finite automaton that can find an occurrence of P in a text T in $O(n)$ matching time, where $n = |T|$.

Split the string with the gap characters, build finite automata for each substring. When a substring is matched, moved to the next finite automaton.

32.4 The Knuth-Morris-Pratt algorithm

32.4-1

Compute the prefix function π for the pattern ababbabbabbababbabb.

$\pi = \{0,0,1,2,0,1,2,0,1,2,0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}$.

32.4-2

Give an upper bound on the size of $\pi^*[q]$ as a function of q . Give an example to show that your bound is tight.

$$|\pi^*[q]| < q.$$

32.4-3

Explain how to determine the occurrences of pattern P in the text T by examining the π function for the string PT (the string of length $m + n$ that is the concatenation of P and T).

$$\{q \mid \pi[q] = m \text{ and } q \geq 2m\}.$$

32.4-4

Use an aggregate analysis to show that the running time of KMP-MATCHER is Θ .

The number of $q = q + 1$ is at most n .

32.4-5

Use a potential function to show that the running time of KMP-MATCHER is $\Theta(n)$.

$$\Phi = p.$$

32.4-6

Show how to improve KMP-MATCHER by replacing the occurrence of π in line 7 (but not line 12) by π' , where π' is defined recursively for $q = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ by the equation

$$\pi'[q] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \pi[q] = 0, \\ \pi'[\pi[q]] & \text{if } \pi[q] \neq 0 \text{ and } P[\pi[q] + 1] = P[q + 1] \\ \pi[q] & \text{if } \pi[q] \neq 0 \text{ and } P[\pi[q] + 1] \neq P[q + 1]. \end{cases}$$

Explain why the modified algorithm is correct, and explain in what sense this change constitutes an improvement.

If $P[q + 1] \neq T[i]$, then if $P[\pi[q] + q] = P[q + 1] \neq T[i]$, there is no need to compare $P[\pi[q] + q]$ with $T[i]$.

32.4-7

Give a linear-time algorithm to determine whether a text T is a cyclic rotation of another string T' . For example, arc and car are cyclic rotations of each other.

Find T' in TT .

32.4-8 *

Give an $O(m|\Sigma|)$ -time algorithm for computing the transition function δ for the string-matching automaton corresponding to a given pattern P . (Hint: Prove that $\delta(q, a) = \delta(\pi[q], a)$ if $q = m$ or $P[q + 1] \neq a$.)

Compute the prefix function m times.

Problem 32-1 String matching based on repetition factors

Let y^i denote the concatenation of string y with itself i times. For example, $(ab)^3 = ababab$. We say that a string $x \in \Sigma^*$ has **repetition factor** r if $x = y^r$ for some string $y \in \Sigma^*$ and some $r > 0$. Let $\rho(x)$ denote the largest r such that x has repetition factor r .

a. Give an efficient algorithm that takes as input a pattern $P[1 \dots m]$ and computes the value $\rho(P_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. What is the running time of your algorithm?

b. For any pattern $P[1 \dots m]$, let $\rho^*(P)$ be defined as $\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \rho(P_i)$. Prove that if the pattern P is chosen randomly from the set of all binary strings of length m , then the expected value of $\rho^*(P)$ is $O(1)$.

c. Argue that the following string-matching algorithm correctly finds all occurrences of pattern P in a text $T[1 \dots n]$ in time $O(\rho^*(P)n + m)$:

```

REPETITION_MATCHER(P, T)
    m = P.length
    n = T.length
    k = 1 +  $\rho^*(P)$ 
    q = 0
    s = 0
    while s ≤ n - m
        if T[s + q + 1] = P[q + 1]
            q = q + 1
            if q = m
                print "Pattern occurs with shift" s
        if q = m or T[s + q + 1] ≠ P[q + 1]
            s = s + max(1, ceil(q / k))
            q = 0
    
```

This algorithm is due to Galil and Seiferas. By extending these ideas greatly, they obtained a linear-time string-matching algorithm that uses only $O(1)$ storage beyond what is required for P and T .

a. Compute π , let $l = m - \pi[m]$, if $m \bmod l = 0$ and for all $p = m - i \cdot l > 0$, $p - \pi[p] = l$, then $\rho(P_i) = m/l$, otherwise $\rho(P_i) = 1$. The running time is $\Theta(n)$.

b.

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\rho^*(P) \geq 2) &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{32} + \dots \approx \frac{2}{3} \\
 P(\rho^*(P) \geq 3) &= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{32} + \frac{1}{256} + \dots \approx \frac{2}{7} \\
 P(\rho^*(P) \geq 4) &= \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{128} + \frac{1}{2048} + \dots \approx \frac{2}{15} \\
 P(\rho^*(P) = 1) &= \frac{1}{3} \\
 P(\rho^*(P) = 2) &= \frac{8}{21} \\
 P(\rho^*(P) = 3) &= \frac{16}{105} \\
 E[\rho^*(P)] &= 1 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + 2 \cdot \frac{8}{21} + 3 \cdot \frac{16}{105} + \dots \approx 2.21
 \end{aligned}$$

c.

(Omit!)