Quiz 4

學號:111550129 姓名:林彦亨

Problem 1

LFSR is simply an arrangement of n stages in a row with the last stage, plus any other stages, modulo-two added together and returned to the first stage. An algebraic expression can symbolize this arrangement of stages and tap points called the characteristic polynomial. One kind of characteristic polynomial called primitive polynomials over GF(2), the field with two elements 0, 1, can be used for pseudorandom bit generation to let linear-feedback shift register (LFSR) with maximum cycle length.

- a) Is $x^8 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1$ a primitive polynomial?
- **b)** What is the maximum cycle length generated by $x^8 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1$?
- c) Are all irreducible polynomials primitive polynomials?

(a)

yes.

(b)

The given polynomial is degree 8. Hence, the maximum cycle length is 2^8-1 = 255.

(c)

No, not all irreducible polynomials are primitive polynomials.

While every primitive polynomial is irreducible (meaning it cannot be factored into lower-degree polynomials over the same field), not every irreducible polynomial is primitive.

Problem 2

Given the plaintext:

ATNYCUWEARESTRIVINGTOBEAGREATUNIVERSITYTHATTRAN
SCENDSDISCIPLINARYDIVIDESTOSOLVETHEINCREASINGLYCO
MPLEXPROBLEMSTHATTHEWORLDFACESWEWILLCONTINUET
OBEGUIDEDBYTHEIDEATHATWECANACHIEVESOMETHINGMU
CHGREATERTOGETHERTHANWECANINDIVIDUALLYAFTERALLT
HATWASTHEIDEATHATLEDTOTHECREATIONOFOURUNIVERSI

TYINTHEFIRSTPLACE

- a) Please use $x^8 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1$ as a characteristic polynomial to write a Python program to encrypt the following plaintext message with the initial key 00000001, then decrypt it to see if your encryption is correct.
- b) Due to the property of ASCII coding the ASCII A to Z, the MSB of each byte will be zero (left most bit); therefore, every 8 bits will reveal 1 bit of random number (i.e. keystream); if it is possible to find out the characteristic polynomial of a system by solving of linear equations?
- c) Extra credit: Write a linear equations program solving program to find the characteristic polynomial for this encryption with initial 00000001.

(a)

· The function to do the LFSR

```
import numpy as np
     def initialize_lfsr(seed, size=8):
         """Initializes the LFSR with the given binary seed."""
         state = np.array([int(bit) for bit in seed], dtype=int)
         return np.roll(state, -size)
     def step_lfsr(state, polynomial):
         """Performs one step of the LFSR."""
         new_bit = np.bitwise_xor.reduce(state[polynomial])
         state = np.roll(state, -1)
         state[0] = new_bit
         return state
15
     def generate_keystream(initial_state, polynomial, length):
         """Generates a binary keystream.
         state = initialize_lfsr(initial_state)
         keystream = []
19
         for _ in range(length):
             state = step_lfsr(state, polynomial)
             keystream.append(state[0])
         return keystream
     def encrypt_decrypt(message, keystream):
         """Encrypts or decrypts a binary message."""
         message_bits = np.array([int(bit) for bit in message], dtype=int)
         encrypted_decrypted = np.bitwise_xor(message_bits, keystream)
         result = ''.join(str(bit) for bit in encrypted_decrypted)
         return result
```

• This is the main function to output the answer.

• Here is the result:

PS C:\Users\user\Desktop\密碼工q4> & C:/ProgramData/anaconda3/python.exe c:/Users/user/Desktop/密碼工q4/problem2.py
Encrypted Message (Binary):

Decrypted Text:

ATNYCUWEARESTRIVINGTOBEAGREATUNIVERSITYTHATTRANSCENDSDISCIPLINARYDIVIDESTOSOLVETHEINCREASINGLYCOMPL EXPROBLEMSTHATTHEWORLDFACESWEWILLCONTINUETOBEGUIDEDBYTHEIDEATHATWECANACHIEVESOMETHINGMUCHGREATERTOGE THERTHANWECANINDIVIDUALLYAFTERALLTHATWASTHEIDEATHATLEDTOTHECREATIONOFOURUNIVERSITYINTHEFIRSTPLACE

(b)

Yes, it is theoretically possible to determine the characteristic polynomial of an LFSR by analyzing its output bits and solving a system of linear equations. This process is known as Berlekamp-Massey algorithm. Given a sufficient length of known output bits (which, in this case, can be deduced from the encrypted ASCII text as described), the Berlekamp-Massey algorithm can be used to find the shortest LFSR and its characteristic polynomial that could produce such a sequence.

This ability to reverse-engineer the LFSR from its output underlies some of the weaknesses in using LFSRs for encryption, especially if the plaintext has known or predictable patterns (like ASCII text with MSB = 0). That's why in practical cryptographic applications, pure LFSRs are rarely used on their own. They are typically combined with other operations and structures to form more complex and secure stream ciphers.

Problem 3

RC4's vulnerability mainly arises from its inadequate randomization of inputs, particularly the initialization vector (IV) and key integration, due to its reliance on the initial setup by its Key Scheduling Algorithm (KSA). The cipher operates through two phases: KSA, which shuffles a 256-byte state vector based on the key to ensure dependency and randomization, and the Pseudo-Random Generation Algorithm (PRGA), where it further manipulates this state to produce a seemingly random output stream.

To help you understand the importance of randomization algorithms, here we provide the pseudocode for two slightly different shuffle algorithms.

Naïve algorithm:

```
For i from 0 to length(cards)-1
Generate a random number n between 0 and length(cards)-1
Swap the elements at indices i and n
EndFor

Fisher-Yates shuffle (Knuth shuffle):
For i from length(cards)-1 down to 1
Generate a random number n between 0 and i
Swap the elements at indices i and n
EndFor
```

a) Please write a Python program to simulate two algorithms with a set of 4 cards, shuffling each a million times. Collect the count of all combinations and output, for example:

```
$ python problem3.py
Naive algorithm:
[1 2 3 4]: 41633
[1 2 4 3]: 41234
... and so on
Fisher-Yates shuffle:
[1 2 3 4]: 41234
[1 2 4 3]: 41555
... and so on
```

- Hint: you can use random library.
- c) What are the drawbacks of the other one, and what causes these drawbacks?

(a)

Here is the code to simulate the two algorithms.

b) Based on your analysis, which one is better, why?

```
# Define the naive shuffle algorithm
     def naive_shuffle(cards):
         for i in range(len(cards)):
             n = random.randint(0, len(cards) - 1)
             cards[i], cards[n] = cards[n], cards[i]
         return cards
11
     # Define the Fisher-Yates shuffle algorithm
     def fisher_yates_shuffle(cards):
         for i in range(len(cards) - 1, 0, -1):
             n = random.randint(0, i)
             cards[i], cards[n] = cards[n], cards[i]
         return cards
     # Function to simulate shuffling a million times and collect results
     def simulate_shuffling():
         naive results = defaultdict(int)
         fy_results = defaultdict(int)
         for _ in range(1000000): # Run the simulation a million times
             # Naive shuffle
             deck = [1, 2, 3, 4]
             shuffled_deck = naive_shuffle(deck.copy())
             naive_results[tuple(shuffled_deck)] += 1
             # Fisher-Yates shuffle
             deck = [1, 2, 3, 4]
             shuffled deck = fisher yates shuffle(deck.copy())
             fy_results[tuple(shuffled_deck)] += 1
         return naive_results, fy_results
```

```
# Output the results
     print("Naive algorithm results:")
     for outcome, count in sorted(naive_outcomes.items()):
         print(f"{list(outcome)}: {count}")
         naive = 0
         naive = naive + count
     naive % 24
     print("The average number of suffle:", naive)
46
     print("\nFisher-Yates shuffle results:")
     for outcome, count in sorted(fy_outcomes.items()):
         print(f"{list(outcome)}: {count}")
         fy = 0
         fy = fy + count
     fy % 24
     print("The average number of suffle:", fy)
```

Here is the results of Naive aligorithm and Fisher-Yates algorithm.

```
C:\Users\user\Desktop\密碼工q4> & C:/Progr
nda3/python.exe c:/Users/user/Desktop/密碼工q4/problem3.p
Naive algorithm results:
[1, 2, 3, 4]: 38945
[1, 2, 4, 3]: 39298
   3, 2, 4]: 39425
   3, 4, 2]: 54617
   4, 2, 3]: 43068
   4, 3, 2]: 35134
      3, 4]:
             38955
      4, 3]:
              58714
              54656
      4, 1]: 54950
1, 3]: 42717
   4, 3, 1]: 42986
   1, 2, 4]: 43204
   1, 4, 2]: 42963
   2, 1, 4]:
              35179
   2, 4, 1]: 43075
      1, 2]:
              43048
              38804
      2, 3]:
              31247
      3, 2]:
              35131
              34843
              31158
              39057
      2, 1]: 38826
    average number of suffle: 38826
```

```
Fisher-Yates shuffle results:
[1, 2, 3, 4]: 41592
   2, 4, 3]: 41724
   3, 2, 4]: 41968
   3, 4, 2]: 41523
   4, 2, 3]: 41668
   4, 3, 2]: 41544
   1, 3, 4]: 41450
   1, 4, 3]: 41661
   3, 1, 4]: 41912
   3, 4, 1]: 41755
   4, 1, 3]: 41818
   4, 3, 1]: 41567
   1, 2, 4]: 41836
   1, 4, 2]: 41725
   2, 1, 4]: 41436
      4, 1]: 41585
   4, 1, 2]: 41441
   4, 2, 1]: 41642
   1, 2, 3]: 41908
   1, 3, 2]: 41612
   2, 1, 3]: 41318
   2, 3, 1]: 41889
   3, 1, 2]: 41582
   3, 2, 1]: 41844
The average number of suffle: 41844
PS C:\Users\user\Desktop\密碼工q4> ■
```

(b)

According to the average number of the results, for the naive algorithm the average numbe is 38826; for the Fisher-Yates algorithm the average number is 41844, hence, the Fisher-Yates shuffle is better. Because it ensures each permutation of the deck is equally likely, leading to a uniform and unbiased distribution. In contrast, the Naive shuffle can lead to non-uniform distributions and biased results, making it less suitable for applications where fairness and randomness are critical.

(c)

Through the problem 3, the main drawbacks of the Naive shuffle algorithm are non-uniform distribution and biased results. These issues are caused by the algorithm's approach of allowing each card to swap with any other card, including itself, which does not ensure all permutations have equal probability.