

# Weekly Meeting

Topic: Construction algorithm for SOA of strength 3 with property  $\alpha$

Presenter: Heng-Tse Chou @ NTHU STAT

Date: Aug. 2, 2024

# Recap

SOA( $n, m, s^3, 3$ ) can achieve stratification on

1.  $s \times s \times s$  grids for all 3-dimensions.
2.  $s \times s^2$  grids for all 2-dimensions.
3.  $s^2 \times s$  grids for all 2-dimensions.

Having property  $\alpha$  means that the SOA also achieve stratification on  $s^2 \times s^2$  grids for all 2-dimensions.

# Constructing SOA of strength 3

## Method (a)

$$\text{Let } \begin{cases} A = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \\ B = (b_1, \dots, b_m) \\ C = (c_1, \dots, c_m) \end{cases}$$

s.t.  $(a_i, a_j, a_u)$ ,  $(a_i, a_j, b_j)$ , and  $(a_i, b_i, c_i)$  are  $\text{OA}(n, 3, s, 3) \forall i \neq j$ .

Then  $D = s^2 A + sB + C$  is  $\text{SOA}(n, m, s^3, 3)$ .

Moreover, D has property  $\alpha$  iff  $(a_i, b_i, a_j, b_j)$  is  $\text{OA}(n, 4, s, 4) \forall i \neq j$ .

# Constructing SOA of strength 3

## Method (b)

Let  $A$  be  $\text{OA}(n, m + 1, s, 3)$ ,  
and  $B = \{(b_{11}b_{12}b_{13}); \dots; (b_{m1}b_{m2}b_{m3})\}$  be an  $n \times 3m$  array with

$$\begin{cases} (b_{11}, \dots, b_{m1}) = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \\ (b_{12}, \dots, b_{m2}) = (a_{m+1}, \dots, a_{m+1}) \\ (b_{13}, \dots, b_{m3}) = (a_2, \dots, a_m, a_1) \end{cases}$$

Then  $D$  is  $\text{SOA}(n, m, s^3, 3)$  with  $d_i = \sum_{j=1}^3 b_{ij}s^{3-j}$ .

# Constructing SOA of strength 3

## Example

Let  $A$  be an  $OA(8, 4, 2, 3)$ , we obtain array

$$B = \{(a_1 \ a_4 \ a_2); (a_2 \ a_4 \ a_3); (a_3 \ a_4 \ a_1)\}.$$

Then we generate  $D = SOA(8, 3, 2^3, 3) = (d_1, d_2, d_3)$  by

$$d_1 = 4a_1 + 2a_4 + a_2 \cdots \cdots (1)$$

$$d_2 = 4a_2 + 2a_4 + a_3 \cdots \cdots (2)$$

$$d_3 = 4a_3 + 2a_4 + a_1 \cdots \cdots (3)$$

# Two algorithms

1. Sequential construction algorithm.
2. "Find lowest neighbor" algorithm.

# Algo: Sequential construction.

Idea:

- Adding random balanced columns one by one.
- Exchange symbols within the newly added column until the design is an OA, or there is no more improvement can be made.
- Metric:  $J_2(D) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n [\delta_{i,j}(D)]^2$ , which is minimized when design  $D$  is an OA.

# Algo: Find lowest neighbor

Idea:

- Obtain an  $OA(n, m + 1, s, 3)$  and construct an initial SOA based on method (b) as  $D_0$
- Obtain "one-unit away neighbors" of  $D_0$ . The one-unit away neighbours around  $D_0$  can be obtained by permuting symbols in just one column of the OA,  $a_i$ , in the formulas (1) to (3).



# Algo: Find lowest neighbor

- Compute  $\Phi_p$  values by  $\Phi_p(D) = (\sum_{j=1}^m d_j^{-p})^{1/p}$ , where  $d_j$  represented the distinct distances between design points sorted in ascending order, and here  $m$  is the total number of point pairs.
- Replace the current design  $D_0$  by one of the designs that minimizes  $\Phi_p$ .
- If the current design is lowest, compute  $\Phi_p$  of the "two-units away neighbors". Replace the current design  $D_0$  by one of the designs that minimizes  $\Phi_p$ .
- Repeat the procedure until the current design has the lowest  $\Phi_p$ .

# Algo: Find lowest neighbor

- $\Phi_P$  is a metric that helps us to find a **maximin distance design**.
- Maximin distance design: A design that maximizes the minimum inter-site distance.
- It is undesirable to have design points that are too close, so the maximin criterion tries to avoid such cases.

# Issues for us

## **Sequential construction:**

- Need to figure out how to sequentially add column for SOA.
- Criterion function.

# Issues for us

## Find lowest neighbor:

- This algo uses construction method (b), while we were using method (a) for constructing SOA with property  $\alpha$ .
- Method (a) will need to decide 2 OAs at the beginning.
- Criterion function.

# Criterion function

1. Property  $\alpha$  exists iff  $(a_i, b_i, a_j, b_j)$  is  $\text{OA}(n, 4, s, 4) \forall i \neq j$ .
2.  $J_2$  can tell us if a design is OA by giving us a lower bound.

→ Use a construction algorithm similar to "find lowest neighbor", but replace "minimizing  $\Phi_p$ " by "minimizing the summation of  $J_2$  of  $(a_i, b_i, a_j, b_j) \forall i \neq j$ ".