Dist-Al in TLA^{+*}

Xiaosong Gu

State Key Laboratory for Novel Software Technology Nanjing University Nanjing, China xxx@smail.nju.edu.cn

Hengfeng Wei*

State Key Laboratory for Novel Software Technology Nanjing University Nanjing, China hfwei@nju.edu.cn Jiacheng Zhao

State Key Laboratory for Novel Software Technology Nanjing University Nanjing, China

Yu Huang

State Key Laboratory for Novel Software Technology Nanjing University Nanjing, China yuhuang@nju.edu.cn

Wenjun Cai State Key Laboratory for Novel Software Technology Nanjing University Nanjing, China xxx@smail.nju.edu.cn

. . .

ABSTRACT

PVLDB Reference Format:

Xiaosong Gu, Jiacheng Zhao, Wenjun Cai, Hengfeng Wei * , Yu Huang, . . . , and Dist-AI in TLA $^+$. PVLDB, 14(1): XXX-XXX, 2020. doi:XX.XX/XXX.XX

PVLDB Artifact Availability:

The source code, data, and/or other artifacts have been made available at URL TO YOUR ARTIFACTS.

1 INTRODUCTION

TLA+, TLC, and TLAPS.

Automatic invariant inference. Overview.

- TLA+traces sampling
 - Counter-example Guided
 - Coverage (e.g., minimal spanning)
- invariants space enumeration (exploration)
 - using Apalache: VARIABLES to relations (in Ivy), which are used as items in invariants
 - convert invariants in terms of relations back to those in terms of TLA⁺ variables
- Validation (utilizing Apalache)
 - on finite models; for any steps
- Refinement
 - Counter-example Guided
- Generalization to any models (for any steps)
 - How to validate it? (find some SMT???)

Table 1: Details of the TPCommit Variables

Name	Туре
rmState	(Str -> Str)
tmState	Str
tmPrepared	Set(Str)
msgs	Set([rm: Str, type: Str])

Our Contributions.

- •
- •
- 2 OVERVIEW
- 2.1 Sampling TLA⁺ Traces
- 2.2 Enumerating Invariants
 - directed by syntax of TLA+
 - restricting terms, operations, ...

2.3 Validating Inductive Invariants

- using Apalache (modified for validating fols with quantifiers)
- using [?]

3 CASE STUDY

3.1 Lock Server

3.2 Two-Phase Commit

We use Two-Phase Commit as an example. First, we need to extract the main variables of this protocol. Their types are listed as follows. They are marked on the top of the TLA+code, so when the type check work is done, they can easily be extracted.

^{*}Corresponding author. Hengfeng Wei is also with Software Institute at Nanjing University.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons BY-NC-ND 4.0 International License. Visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/ to view a copy of this license. For any use beyond those covered by this license, obtain permission by emailing info@vldb.org. Copyright is held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to the VLDB Endowment.

Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment, Vol. 14, No. 1 ISSN 2150-8097. doi:XX.XX/XXX.XX

The next step is to calculate the possible values of these variables, and to generate relations for them. Variable rm-State, tmState and tmPrepared have clear value ranges, which have been listed in the TPTypeOK section. Some of these relations are listed below.

tmState(x) : tmState = x, in which x can be either "init", "committed" or "aborted"

rmState(x,y) : rmState(x) = y, in which x can be all RMs, y can be the four strings specified by TPTypeOK section.

 $tmPrepared(x): x \in tmPrepared$, in which x can be all RMs.

Relation generated from msgs is relatively difficult. First we need to calculate the maximum number of elements in msgs, which is 3.(The way to calculate this number is still unknown.) Each possible element (or record) in msgs contains two properties, which are type and type and type are three relations as below.

```
msgs(x) : x \in msgs

msgs.type(x,y) : x.type = y

msgs.rm(x,y) : x.rm = y
```

It's worth noting that all the x occurred in these relations are unnamed variables. In practice they are given random names without repetition, such as msgsv1, to indicate that

it is the first element of the set msgs. Using msgsv1 here doesn't mean that we are sorting elements in a set, instead it is only a way to maintain the consistency among all these three relations. We are able to depict the states of msgs with these three relations.

6 relations are defined above, and 31 predicates will be generated assuming that RM is a 2-element set. DistAI will use these predicates to handle further processes.

3.3 Paxos

4 RELATED WORK

DistAI

SWISS

Ivy

I4: inductive invariants for finite models (utilizing Averroes), and then generalize them to general models

Apalache

5 CONCLUSION

@inproceedingsProofAutomation:PhDThesis2014, title=Proof automation and type synthesis for set theory in the context of TLA+, author=Hernán Vanzetto, year=2014

2