

# Ranklust - A bioinformatics solution to identify network biomarkers in cancer

Henning Lund-Hanssen

20th May 2015

## Contents

<b>I</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>State of today</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Biomarkers . . . . .	1
1.2	Prostate specific antigen . . . . .	3
1.3	Next-generation sequencing . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Next generation of biomarkers</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Network</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Clustering</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Cytoscape</b>	<b>4</b>

## Part I

## Introduction

To this day we still struggle with cancer. Even with all our modern equipment and knowledge we have still not been able to tame this horrible disease. My thesis is about making a tool which gives cancer researchers an easier way of identifying network biomarkers in cancer.

## 1 State of today

### 1.1 Biomarkers

Biomarkers are at the centre of this thesis. They are what Ranklust should be able to detect and rank in order to identify network biomarkers, and not

just single molecules of them. A biomarker is a "biological measure of a biological state" [14]. It can be represented by the levels of a specific protein in our blood, a specific gene, or a combination the two.

Biomarkers can be used for different purposes. They can be used to measure the effect of cancer drug treatment. That the drug does what it is supposed to do. It can be used to predict disease development or the current stage of the disease. Here is a list of what biomarkers currently can be used for:

**Usages for biomarkers: [19]**

- Disease disposition
  - What is a patient's risk of developing cancer in the future?
- Screening
  - Does earlier detection of patients with cancer decrease morality?
- Diagnostic
  - Who has cancer? What is the grade of the cancer?
- Prognostic
  - What clinical outcome is most likely if therapy is not administered?
- Predictive
  - Which therapy is most appropriate?
- Monitoring
  - Was therapy effective? Did the patient's disease recur=
- Pharmacogenomic
  - What is the risk for adverse reaction to the prescribed therapeutic dose?

**Characteristics for a good biomarker:**

- Safe and easy to measure
- Cost efficient to follow up
- Modifiable with treatment
- Consistent across gender and ethnic groups

## 1.2 Prostate specific antigen

An example of a single molecule biomarker is the prostate specific antigen (PSA). This is a protein produced by the prostate gland in male humans. The identification of cancer with PSA is simple, the higher the level of PSA, measured in ng/mL (nanograms per milliliter), the higher is the chance of the patient having prostate cancer [1].

Today PSA is used for both identifying and evaluating the current stage of prostate cancer. This biomarker can be found by analyzing blood examples from patients, thus fulfilling some of the demands for a good biomarker, but not all of them. It is easy to measure and easy to acquire, but not reliable enough to be used as the only marker to identify and determine the stage or remission of prostate cancer. The low grade of reliability comes from the fact that even though higher levels of PSA shows higher chance of having prostate cancer, prostate cancer is not the only reason to have elevated levels of PSA [1]. Namely inflammation and enlargement of the prostate. Though, a man with both these cases may or may not develop prostate cancer.

So the conclusion for the PSA biomarker is that it is not reliable enough and are causing faulty treatment of prostate cancer that may not even exist. Because even if a patient has prostate cancer, it may not be developing any further, promoting the case of not taking any action against it at all. So there is need for a new biomarker, or at least a better way of identifying them.

## 1.3 Next-generation sequencing

Today, rapid analyzing of genes and proteins are made available through Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS)[2]. This opens up the possibility of looking at a bigger picture when trying to diagnose cancer patients. Through acquiring more data, faster than before, there now exists databases with much information that is easy to access. This makes room for building huge networks of proteins and genes, allowing for more extensively and thorough assays to be done. For example, what if something that is classified as a prostate cancer biomarker only is viable when proteins that has not been classified as a biomarker, also is present? Together they could represent a more appropriate *network biomarker*. The amount of data that can be analyzed also opens up for another more personalized approach to each cancer patient. Finding patient-specific biomarkers could make a huge impact on the quality of treatment [17].

## 2 Next generation of biomarkers

The PSA biomarker is over 20 years old [15]. Through those years, it has been discovered other and better biomarkers for prostate cancer than PSA. Among

those, PCA3, which is detectable through urine samples from patients. It also has the benefit of not being affected by the size of the prostate gland [16]. But still the results could be better. Therefore, it has been tried to combine these two biomarkers in order to see if it is beneficial to see the results from each biomarker in light of each other [19]. The results from these tests is that they complement each other to a level of significance that makes it compelling to analyze them both to diagnose prostate cancer. It is important to point out that even if these biomarkers are not the best at indicating if a patient as cancer or not. Combined, these biomarkers are good at indicating progression and recurrence of prostate cancer.

But all of this is based on single genes or proteins. What if we looked at whole networks as biomarkers?

### 3 Network

Shit goes dooown [18].

- Cells contain a vast array of molecular structures that come together to form complex, dynamic, and plastic networks
- For example, where in the molecular network of a tumor should we perturb with drug to reduce tumor proliferation or metastasis
- mTOR having poor results in clinical trials and even having subsequently increased cell proliferation
- For example, if protein A induces expression of protein B, then we expect to see high levels of protein B whenever levels or specific molecular states of its activator A are high. The reverse of this logic is that statistical correlation between protein states indicates a potential interaction between them
- Although nonlinear relations frequently occur in biology, linear regression models are more robust, and thus they often give better results, even when the underlying model is nonlinear
- Networks are not fixed: The role of context and dynamics

### 4 Clustering

### 5 Cytoscape

Cytoscape is the open-source software platform the Ranklust app will be developed on. Its main purpose is to visualize molecular interaction networks and biological pathways. It is easy to integrate ***Apps*** and even combine

multiple apps to solve new problems, given that the source code of the apps is available or that it exists an easy way of piping results from one app to another.

The goal of Ranklust is to cluster the networks we get from single gene prioritization and rank them in order to identify network biomarkers. Apps taking care of making the networks already exists, but there still has to be made a decision about whether or not they could be modified in order to better support the clustering.

Which databases to use has to be considered. The reason to use databases is because they have information about how protein and genes form a network based on how they interact with each other. The initial database candidates in Ranklust are iRefIndex [3], GeneMania [4] and STRING [5]. These databases all have in common that it exists Cytoscape apps made to use these databases. STRING however, does not have any repository available through the Cytoscape app store, so interacting with the database through a new app in Cytoscape without making new plugins may be difficult. On the other hand, both iRefIndex and GeneMania have their repositories easily available to the public together with decent documentation. However, the difference between them is what they contain information about. iRefIndex contains data about protein-protein interaction (PPI), while GeneMania contains data about genes. Since proteins come from genes, GeneMania can also give us some information about proteins. Differences between the two databases will be discussed in greater depth at a later stage. The open-source plugins in Cytoscape to communicate with the databases are iRefScape [6] for iRefIndex and GeneMANIA [7] for GeneMania.

Cytoscape is based on the Java programming language, which is a little bit untraditional for a software platform used to develop bioinformatics tools. The reason to choose Java above Python, Perl or other popular programming languages is simply because I am more versed in Java programming than any other language. Java is known for being this big bloated enterprise programming language, and Python as the fast and easy mockup tool to develop good programs fast. Python also has big biological computation libraries like *Biopython* [8], that makes it easy to build your own standalone apps. Though when used in a bigger environment as Cytoscape, Java shines, having sturdy packaging and modelling standards. The Cytoscape community is big, alive and has standards for how the architecture of apps should look like. The community promotes this through the use of the *OSGi* standard [9].

Developing OSGi software should promote modularization [10] of the code and increase the probability of the app being launched as an official Cytoscape app; in addition to provide other developers with the possibility of reusing my modules in their own apps. Also, it seems like Java 9 is aimed at making it easier to modularize apps along the lines of the OSGi standard, so it might be easier to refactor an application in the future from

Java 8 to Java 9 when the architecture already is in place. There exists several design patterns that could prove to be useful in the development of Ranklust. Another strategy to follow may not be a direct design pattern [11], but more of a collection of them, is the clean code principles by Robert C. Martin [12]. More thorough examination of these strategies will follow.

Prototyping of different parts of the app will be done in Python and the Galaxy environment [13]. Python is an easy language to prototype with, and Galaxy is an easy environment to test small scripts in. Galaxy also has great logging of previous experiments combined with settings, so recreating simulations and comparing results is easy to do and reliable. However, in my experience, Java comes up to par with Python in development speed once all of the boilerplate code is written and a good deployment tool is used. Therefore the Cytoscape platform is used for the final deployment of Ranklust.

## References

- [1] URL: <http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/types/prostate/psa-fact-sheet> (visited on 11/05/2015).
- [2] URL: <http://www.illumina.com/technology/next-generation-sequencing.html> (visited on 11/05/2015).
- [3] URL: <http://irefindex.org/wiki/index.php?title=iRefIndex> (visited on 07/05/2015).
- [4] URL: <http://www.genemania.org/> (visited on 07/05/2015).
- [5] URL: <http://string-db.org/> (visited on 07/05/2015).
- [6] URL: <http://apps.cytoscape.org/apps/irefscape> (visited on 07/05/2015).
- [7] URL: <http://apps.cytoscape.org/apps/genemania> (visited on 07/05/2015).
- [8] URL: [http://biopython.org/wiki/Main\\_Page](http://biopython.org/wiki/Main_Page) (visited on 20/05/2015).
- [9] URL: [http://wiki.cytoscape.org/Cytoscape\\_3/AppDeveloper](http://wiki.cytoscape.org/Cytoscape_3/AppDeveloper) (visited on 08/05/2015).
- [10] URL: <http://www.javaworld.com/article/2878952/java-platform/modularity-in-java-9.html> (visited on 20/05/2015).
- [11] URL: <http://www.techopedia.com/definition/18822/design-pattern> (visited on 20/05/2015).
- [12] URL: <http://www.amazon.com/Clean-Code-Handbook-Software-Craftsmanship/dp/0132350882> (visited on 20/05/2015).
- [13] URL: <https://usegalaxy.org/> (visited on 08/05/2015).

- [14] MD Dr Ananya Mandal. URL: <http://www.news-medical.net/health/What-is-a-Biomarker.aspx> (visited on 12/05/2015).
- [15] Michael F. Berger et al. ‘The genomic complexity of primary human prostate cancer’. In: *Nature* 1 (2011).
- [16] Alexander Haesea, Alexandre de la Tailleb, Hendrik van Poppelc, Michael Marbergerd, Arnulf Stenzle, Peter F.A. Muldersf, Hartwig Hulandg, Clément-Claude Abboub, Mesut Remzid, Martina Tinzld, Susan Feyrabende, Alexander B. Stillebroerf, Martijn P.M.Q. van Gilsf and Jack A. Schalkenf. ‘Clinical Utility of the PCA3 Urine Assay in European Men Scheduled for Repeat Biopsy’. In: *European Urology* 54 (2008).
- [17] Rebecca J. Leary, Isaac Kinde, Frank Diehl, Kerstin Schmidt, Chris Clouser, Cisilya Duncan, Alena Antipova, Clarence Lee, Kevin McKernan, Francisco M. De La Vega, Kenneth W. Kinzler, Bert Vogelstein, Luis A. Diaz Jr. and Victor E. Velculesc. ‘Development of Personalized Tumor Biomarkers Using Massively Parallel Sequencing’. In: *Science Translational Medicine* 2 (2010).
- [18] Dana Pe’er and Nir Hacohen. ‘Principles and Strategies for Developing Network Models in Cancer’. In: *Cell* 144 (Mar. 2011).
- [19] John R. Prensner, Mark A. Rubin, John T. Wei and Arul M. Chinaiyan. ‘Beyond PSA: The next generation of prostate cancer biomarkers’. In: *Sci Transl Med* 1 (2012).