### **Arithmetic**

# ICS312 Machine-Level and Systems Programming

Henri Casanova (henric@hawaii.edu)

#### **Addition and Subtraction**

- We've seen two instructions for additions and subtractions: add and sub
- Both instructions can be used on a pair of signed numbers or on a pair of unsigned numbers
  - One of the big advantages of 2's complement storage
  - No mixing of signed and unsigned numbers
- IMPORTANT (AGAIN): The CPU does not know whether numbers stored in registers are signed or unsigned!
  - You, the programmer, must keep your own interpretation of the number consistent throughout your program
  - The CPU will happily add whatever registers together using binary addition
- Let's see an example that shows that addition works on either signed or unsigned numbers

### .

### The Magic of 2's Complement

■ I have two 1-byte values, A3 and 17, and I add them together:

$$A3 + 17 = BA$$

- If my interpretation of the numbers is unsigned:
  - $\Box$  A3h = 163d
  - $\Box$  17h = 23d
  - □ BAh = 186d
  - □ and indeed, 163d + 23d = 186d
- If my interpretation of the numbers is signed:
  - □ A3h = -93d
  - $\Box$  17h = 23d
  - □ BAh = -70d
  - □ and indeed, -93d + 23d = -70d
- So, as long as I stick to my interpretation, the binary addition will do the right thing... amazing!
  - Same thing for the subtraction

### Overflow:(

- The magic works only with numbers of within acceptable ranges
- Because we encode numbers with finite numbers of bits, sometimes we want to store a number that would require more bits
  - The results is out of range
- In this case we have "overflow"
- The CPU proceeds with the computations, but "drops" bits that can't fit
  - Again, it has no idea what the numbers mean
- The numerical result of the operations is then wrong and your program buggy

### re.

### **Overflow and Range (1-byte)**

- 1-byte unsigned numbers have range 0, 255
- 1-byte signed numbers have range -128, + 127
- Example additions
  - adding 1-byte unsigned quantity 240d to 1-byte unsigned quantity
     100d will lead to an overflow because 340d > 255d
  - □ subtracting 1-byte unsigned quantity 240d from 1-byte unsigned quantity 100d will lead to an overflow because -140d < 0d
  - adding 1-byte signed quantity 100d to 1-byte signed quantity 120d
     will lead to an overflow because 220d > 127d
  - etc.
- Let's see how, as humans, we can detect/understand overflow...
  - Of course one full-proof way is to convert everything to decimal and check whether the result is in range
  - But often we can simply reason about the numbers...

### **Unsigned Overflow**

- Say all our numbers are meant to be unsigned
  - Again, the CPU has no idea about signed/ unsigned
- We have overflow when:
  - An addition would lead to a result that can't be encoded in the required number of bits
    - i.e., add something big to something big
    - i.e., we have a left-over carry that can't fit in the result
  - A subtraction would lead to a negative result
    - i.e., subtract something big from something small
- Let's see 1-byte examples...

### re.

### **Unsigned Overflow Examples**

- 1-byte Example (all in hex):
  - □ FF + 02 OVERFLOW (result would be 101h)
    - **255 + 2 > 255**
  - □ 01 05 OVERFLOW (result cannot be negative)
    - **■** 1 5 < 0
  - □ 8A 0F NO OVERFLOW (result is 7Bh)
    - **138 15 = 123**
    - We're subtracting something small (0F) from something big (8A), so we can't be negative
- In a nutshell
  - An addition/sub overflows if there is a leftover carry
  - BIGGER SMALLER never overflows
  - SMALLER BIGGER always overflows

### .

### **In-Class Exercise: Unsigned**

Which of these unsigned operations cause overflow?

□ 0F12 + F212

□ 00E3 + F74F

□ F1 - FA

□ FB12 - A3AA

□ A314 - B010

(2-byte quantities)

(2-byte quantities)

(1-byte quantities)

(2-byte quantities)

(2-byte quantities)

### .

#### **In-Class Exercise: Solutions**

Which of these unsigned operations cause overflow?

0F12

+ F212

= 10124

**OVERFLOW** 

00E3

+ F74F

= F832

NO OVERFLOW

□ F1 - FA: smaller - bigger

□ FB12 - A3AA: bigger - smaller

□ A314 - B010: smaller - bigger

**OVERFLOW** 

NO OVERFLOW

**OVERFLOW** 

### **Signed Overflow**

- It's a bit more difficult to think about the range for signed numbers because both positive and negative values are possible
- 1-byte Example (all in hex, same as before):
  - □ FF + 02

NO OVERFLOW (result is 01h)

- **■** -1 + 2 = +1
- □ 01 **-** 02

NO OVERFLOW (result is FFh)

- 1 2 = -1
- □ 8A 0F

OVERFLOW (result would be < 80h)

- 8A is negative, and is equal to -76h = -118d
- -118 15 < -128, and thus cannot be represented as a 1-byte signed quantity
- We subtracted from a number that's very close to the edge of the valid range
- Useful trick: look whether the sign of the result is in agreement with the sign of the operands (next slide)

### M

### **Signed Overflow**

- A possible way to determine whether a particular signed operation would overflow is to look at the sign of the result and see if it makes sense
- Same example as before: 8A 0F
  - □ 8A < 0 and 0F >0, so the result should be negative
  - Lets compute the result
  - □ I don't like to hex subtractions, so I instead compute -0F = +F1
    - "flip and add one" to get the opposite number
  - □ In hex 8A + F1 = 7B (we dropped the carry to fit in 8 bits)
  - □ 7B is positive! OVERFLOW
- In a nutshell:
  - POSITIVE + POSITIVE should be POSITIVE
  - □ NEGATIVE + NEGATIVE should be NEGATIVE
  - POSITIVE + NEGATIVE never causes overflow!

### In-Class Exercise: Signed

Which of these signed operations cause overflow?

□ 00E3 + FF4F

(2-byte quantities)

□ F1 - 7A

(1-byte quantities)

□ FF847CAA + 78AA0401 (4-byte quantities)

DF + EF

(1-byte quantities)

### M

#### **In-Class Exercise: Solutions**

- Which of these signed operations cause overflow?
  - □ 00E3 + FF4F
    - POSITIVE + NEGATIVE: NO OVERFLOW
  - □ F1 7A
    - I do the hex addition: F1 7A = F1 + 86 = 77
    - Should be negative: OVERFLOW
  - FF847CAA + 78AA0401
    - NEGATIVE + POSITIVE: NO OVERFLOW
  - DF + EF
    - SMALL NEGATIVE + SMALL NEGATIVE: NO OVERFLOW
    - DF + EF = CD (dropped a carry), which is negative



### **Unsigned Overflow**

On web site as ics312\_overflow\_unsigned.asm

mov	al, 0F0h	; al = F0h	
mov	bl, 0A3h	ol, 0A3h ; bl = A3h	
add	al, bl	; al = al + bl	
movzx	eax, al	; increase size for printing	
call	print_int	; print al as an integer	

- As a programmer we decided to do some computation with unsigned values
- We put value F0h in al (unsigned F0h is decimal 240)
- We put value A3h in bl (unsigned A3h is decimal 163)
- We add them together
- The "true" result should be decimal 240+163 = 403, which cannot be encoded on 8 bits (should be < 255)</li>
- But the processor just goes ahead: F0 + A3 = 193h, and then drops the leftmost bits to truncate to a 1-byte value to get 93h!
- To call print\_int, we need the integer in eax, so we movzx al into eax
- print int prints the decimal value corresponding to 00000093h, that is: 147!
- This is obviously wrong, and we can tell (or will be able to shortly) because the carry bit is in fact set to 1
- Note that this is all correct if we assume signed values and replace movzx by movsx, but then our initial interpretation of the two values is different



### **Signed Overflow**

On web site as ics312\_overflow\_signed.asm

mov	al, 09Ah	; al = 9Ah	
mov	bl, 073h	; bl = 73h	
sub	al, bl	; al = al - bl	
movsx	eax, al	; increase size for printing	
call	print_int	; print al as an integer	

- As a programmer we decided to do some computation with signed values
- We put value 9Ah in al (signed 9Ah is decimal -102)
- We put value 73h in bl (signed 73h is decimal +115)
- We subtract bl from al
- The "true" result should be decimal -102 115 = -217, which cannot be encoded on 8 bits (should be >= -128)
- But the processor just goes ahead: 9Ah 73h = 9Ah + 8Dh = 27h
- To call print\_int, we need the integer in eax, so we movsx al into eax
- print\_int prints the decimal value corresponding to 00000027h, that is: 39!
- This is obviously wrong, and we can tell (or will be able to shortly) because the overflow bit is in fact set to 1
- Note that this is all correct if we assume unsigned values and replace movsx by movzx, but then our initial interpretation of the two values is different

### м.

### **Overflow is your Responsibility**

- The processor merely computes bits and puts them into the destination location, possibly dropping bits, as if everything were fine, and it's your responsibility to check the overflow!
- In your program you should have checks for overflow, which is annoying
  - That's true in high-level languages as well!
  - Which is why we often use too many bits (e.g., 4byte values for numbers we know to be small)
  - This way we waste memory, but we're pretty sure to avoid overflow
    - Until we don't all everything falls apart

### The FLAG register

- You probably have forgotten by now, but at the beginning of the semester I mentioned the FLAG register
- It's basically a bunch of bits that are set/unset when instructions are executed
- They have a range of uses
- Two of them have to do with overflow:
  - The carry bit
  - The overflow bit

## Detecting Overflow in Code

- There is an overflow with an unsigned operation (i.e., on unsigned quantities) if the carry bit in the FLAG register is set
  - If the carry bit is set, that means we'd need a larger quantity to hold the result
  - This also works for subtractions (instead of a carry, we have a "borrow", but it's still set in the carry bit)
- There is an overflow with a signed operation (i.e., on signed quantities) if the overflow bit in the FLAG register is set
  - This bit is set when the sign of the result does not agree with the signs of the operands
- We'll see later how to check those bits



#### To remember

domain	overflow	
unsigned	carry bit	
signed	overflow bit	

- After a valid unsigned operation, the overflow bit could be set
- After a valid signed operation, the carry bit could be set
- We can now do Homework #4...

### M

### Multiplication

- There are two instructions to perform multiplications
- Multiplying unsigned numbers: mul
- Multiplying signed numbers: imul
- Why do we need two different instructions?
- Consider the multiplication of FF by FF
  - □ If we assume unsigned quantities, this is 255\*255 = 65035 = FE0Bh
  - □ If we assume signed quantities, this is -1 \* -1 = 1 = 0001h
- So clearly we need two different instructions because we need to get two different results

#### The mul Instruction

- The size of the result of the multiplication is sometimes twice larger than the size of the operands
  - Multiplications just leads to much bigger numbers than additions
  - At most the result will be twice the size of the operands (255 \* 255 = 65,025, which is encodable on 2 bytes)
- The oldest form of multiplication is the "mul" instruction, which produces a result twice the size of its unsigned operand
  - mul <register or memory reference>
  - If the operand is a byte, then it is multiplied by AL and the result is stored in (16-bit) AX
  - If the operand is 16-bit, it is multiplied by AX and stored in (32-bit)
     DX:AX
    - There used to be no 32-bit registers
  - If the operand is 32-bit, it is multiplied by EAX and the result is stored in (64-bit) EDX:EAX
    - We don't have 64-bit registers on a 32-bit architecture
- WARNING: Multiplication will thus overwrite DX or EDX for 16- or 32-bit values

#### The imul instruction

imul, which is used for signed numbers, has three formats:

imul src

imul dst, src1

imul dst, src1, src2

- The different combinations are shown in Table 2.2 in the text book
  - Because you are not expected to memorize all options!
- This table uses the typical way in which one specifies operands:
  - □ reg16: a 16-bit register
  - □ reg32: a 32-bit register
  - immed8: an 8-bit immediate operand (i.e., a number)
  - □ mem16: a word of memory
  - etc.
- Let's look at the table



#### The imul instruction

Will not overflow (although the overflow bit may be set)

dst	src1	src2	action
	reg/mem8		AX = AL * src1
	reg/mem16		DX:AX = AX * src1
	reg/mem32		EDX:EAX = EAX * src1
reg16	reg/mem16		dst *= src1
reg32	reg/mem32		dst *= src1
reg16	immed8		dst *= immed8
reg32	immed8		dst *= immed8
reg16	immed16		dst *= immed16
reg32	immed32		dst *= immed32
reg16	reg/mem16	immed8	dst = src1*src2
reg32	reg/mem32	immed8	dst = src1*src2
reg16	reg/mem16	immed16	dst = src1*src2
reg32	reg/mem32	immed32	dst = src1*src2

### м

#### **Division**

- Two instructions:
  - div for unsigned quantities
  - idiv for signed quantities
- They perform integer division
  - e.g.,: 19 / 4 produces quotient = 4 remainder = 3
- Only one format for both:
  - div/idiv src
- If src is an 8-bit quantity:
  - □ AX is divided by src
  - quotient stored into AL
  - remainder stored into AH
- If src is a 16-bit quantity:
  - DX:AX is divided by src
  - quotient stored into AX
  - remainder stored into DX

#### **Division**

- If src is a 32-bit quantity:
  - EDX:EAX is divided by src
  - quotient stored into EAX
  - remainder stored into EDX
- Warning: it's very common for programmers to forget initializing DX or EDX before the division
- ANNOYING FEATURE: the argument to div/ idiv must be a register
  - Not a constant
- And yes, this is all cumbersome
- Let's see an example

### .

### **Division Example**

- Say I want to divide 2042 by 13 and I want to have quotient and remainder as 4-byte values
- Here is the code

```
mov eax, 2042
mov edx, 0  // important
mov ecx, 13
idiv ecx  // must use register
// eax contains 157
// edx contains 1
// since 2042 = 157 * 13 + 1
```

### **Negation**

- There is a convenient instruction to negate an operand: neg
- It simply computes the 2's complement of a quantity
- Works on 8-bit, 16-bit, or 32-bit quantities
  - either in registers or in memory
- We'll ignore the content of Section 2.1.5 in the textbook



### **Example Program in Textbook**

- Section 2.1.4 shows a sample program that uses all the arithmetic operations we just saw
- There is nothing particularly difficult about it, especially because overflows are not handled (so the numbers entered had better be "small")
- Make sure you go through that example and understand how it works
  - You may want to run it as well

### м.

### **High-Level Languages**

Say you have to write a function in C/C++:

```
void f(unsigned int a, unsigned int b) {
  unsigned int x = a + b;
  for (unsigned int i=0; i < x; i++) {
    // do something
  }
}</pre>
```

- If a user passes numbers whose sum is too big, x will be bogus
- But we cannot check the carry bit
  - We can in assembly, as we'll see
- So we have to check "by hand" :(
- Let's see the code...

### **High-Level Languages (2)**

```
#include <limits.h>

void f(unsigned int a, unsigned int b) {
  if (a > UINT_MAX - b) {
    exit(1); // Overflow
  }

unsigned int x = a + b;
  for (unsigned int i=0; i < x; i++) {
    // do something
  }
}</pre>
```

You may have had to do this, e.g., when practicing for the coding interview on some sites? (like Leetcode)

### **High-Level Languages (3)**

```
#include <limits.h>

void f(int a, int b) {

  if ((b > 0 ) && (a > INT_MAX - b)) ||
     ((b < 0) && (a < INT_MIN - b)) {
     exit(1); // Overflow
   }

  int x = a + b;
}</pre>
```

For signed integers, you have to check "both" ends, since you can overflow on either side

### **High-Level Languages (4)**

- As you can see, it's pretty inconvenient, but if you want to write robust code, you have to do it
- What you really want to avoid is a silent overflow
  - Easiest way: always use bigger data types than needed, if possible
- But often, overflow is actually a feature of a program
  - i.e., the program relies on the weird "wrap-around" behavior that happens when you have overflow
- Different languages provide different way of dealing with overflow
- In Java, you can use special "overflow catching" methods of the Math package (e.g., Math.addExact())
- In C/C++ you can give flags to the compiler...

### **High-Level Languages (4)**

- We can ask the C/C++ compiler to add (assembly) code to the check for overflow for all integer operations
  - As we'll learn to do in the next module in assembly
- It's easy for the compiler based on the signed-ness of numbers
  - Insert code to check the carry bit or to check the overflow bit
- If overflow is detected, abort the program
  - But if your program uses overflow as a "feature", then that will be a problem!
- With gcc: -ftrapv will do this for signed overflow
- Alternatively, with gcc: you can do
   \_\_builtin\_sadd\_overflow(a,b,&c) for an addition that checks
   overflow and returns true/false
- But that won't work with other compilers....

#### Do we care?

- Clearly, dealing with overflow is a pain (not in assembly though, as we'll see!)
  - This may be the **one** thing this semester which is better in assembly than in high-level languages
- Let's look at: <a href="https://cwe.mitre.org/top25/">https://cwe.mitre.org/top25/</a> archive/2020/2020 cwe top25.html
  - And click at subsequent links
- Many of the examples here says "and then there will be a buffer overflow"
- Stay tuned for a discussion of that vulnerability in the "Subprogram" (post-midterm) module



#### Conclusion

One has to be careful when doing arithmetic operations because the processor happily produces results but it's your responsibility to check for overflow/carry bits

We will have practice quiz on this module on Thursday