## Erlang: An Overview

Part 4 – Testing Erlang Programs



### A sorting program

```
%% my first sort program, inspired by QuickSort
-module(my_sort).
-export([sort/1]).

-spec sort([T]) -> [T].
sort([]) -> [];
sort([P|Xs]) ->
   sort([X || X <- Xs, X < P])
   ++ [P] ++ sort([X || X <- Xs, P < X]).</pre>
```

- How do we know that software works?
  - One commonly used method is to use testing
- Let's do manual testing of Erlang programs first
  - Relatively easy due to the interactive shell



## Manual testing in the shell

```
Eshell V9.1.3 (abort with ^G)
1> c(my_sort).
{ok,my_sort}
2> my_sort:sort([]).
[]
3> my_sort:sort([17,42]).
[17,42]
4> my_sort:sort([42,17]).
[17,42]
5> my_sort:sort([3,1,2]).
[1,2,3]
```

- Seems to work!
- However, perhaps it's not a good idea to execute these tests repeatedly by hand
  - Let's put them in a file …
  - and exploit the power of pattern matching



## A sorting program with unit tests

```
-module(my_sort).
-export([sort/1, sort_test/0]).
                                                       Convention:
                                                    program code in this and
                                                    the following slides use
                                                    boldface for showing the
-spec sort([T]) -> [T].
                                                    parts of the program that
sort([]) -> [];
                                                    were added or changed
                                                    w.r.t. the previous code
sort([P | Xs]) ->
  sort([X | X <- Xs, X < P])
     ++ [P] ++ sort([X | | X <- Xs, P < X]).
-spec sort_test() -> ok.
sort_test() ->
  [] = sort([]),
  [17,42] = sort([17,42]),
  [17,42] = sort([42,17]),
  [1,2,3,4] = sort([3,1,4,2]),
  ok.
```

And now let's use EUnit to run them automatically

## Running tests using EUnit

```
6> my_sort:sort_test().
ok
7> eunit:test(my_sort).
  Test passed.
ok
```

- EUnit in its simplest form is a test framework to automatically run all \_test functions in a module.
- Calling eunit:test(Module) was all that was needed here.
- However, EUnit can do much more...

```
Let us, temporarily, change one test to:
[1,3,2,4] = sort([3,1,4,2])
and see what happens
```



#### **EUnit and failures**

- Reports number of tests that failed and why
  - the report is pretty good, but it can get even better
  - using EUnit macros

# A sorting program with EUnit tests

```
%% my first sort program, inspired by QuickSort
-module(my sort).
-export([sort/1, sort_test/0]).
-include lib("eunit/include/eunit.hrl").
-spec sort([T]) -> [T].
sort([]) -> [];
sort([P|Xs]) ->
 sort([X | X <- Xs, X < P])
    ++ [P] ++ sort([X | X <- Xs, P < X]).
-spec sort_test() -> ok.
sort test() ->
  ?assertEqual([], sort([])),
  ?assertEqual([17,42], sort([17,42])),
  ?assertEqual([17,42], sort([42,17])),
  ?assertEqual([1,3,2,4], sort([3,1,4,2])),
  ok.
```

## Unit testing using EUnit macros

```
10 > c(my sort).
my sort.erl: 2 Warning: function sort test/0 already exported
{ok,my_sort}
11> eunit:test(my sort).
my_sort: sort_test (module 'my_sort')...*failed*
in function my sort: '-sort test/0-fun...'/1 (my sort.erl, line 15)
in call from my sort:sort test/0 (my sort.erl, line 15)
** error:{assertEqual_failed,[{module,my_sort},
                      {line,15},
                       expression, "sort ( [3,1,4,2] )" },
                       [expected,[1,3,2,4]],
                       {value,[1,2,3,4]}]}
 Failed: 1. Skipped: 0. Passed: 0.
error
```

- This report is much more detailed
- But, it considers the complete set of tests as one

```
-module(my_sort).
-export([sort/1]).
-include_lib("eunit/include/eunit.hrl").
sort([]) -> ...
sort_test_() -> % notice trailing underscore
  [test_zero(), test_two(), test_four()].
test zero() ->
  [?_assertEqual([], sort([]))]. % notice underscores
test two() ->
  [?_assertEqual([17,42], sort([17,42])),
   ? assertEqual([17,42], sort([42,17]))].
test four() -> % erroneous test
  [?_assertEqual([1,3,2,4], sort([3,1,4,2]))].
```



- EUnit now reports accurate numbers of passed and failed test cases
- In fact, we can test EUnit generators individually



- This works only for test generator functions
   (not very impressive, as there is only one in this example)
- There are other forms that may come handy (RTFM)
   e.g. {dir,Path} to run all tests for the modules in Path

- Let us undo the error in the test\_four test,
- add one more EUnit generator with two tests,

```
another_sort_test_() ->
  [test_five()].

test_five() ->
  [?_assertEqual([1,2,3,4,5], sort([1,3,2,4,5])),
    ?_assertEqual([1,2,3,4,5], sort([1,4,5,2,3]))].
```

and run again: all tests and just the new ones.

```
15> c(my_sort).
{ok,my_sort}
16> eunit:test(my_sort).
   All 6 tests passed
ok
17> eunit:test({generator, fun my_sort:another_sort_test_/0}).
   All 2 tests passed
ok
```



#### There is more to EUnit...

- More macros
  - Utility, assert, debugging, controlling compilation
- Support to run tests in parallel
- Lazy generators
- Fixtures for adding scaffolding around tests
  - Allow to define setup and teardown functions for the state that each of the tests may need
  - Useful for testing stateful systems



### Towards automated testing

- Testing accounts for a large part of software cost
- Writing (unit) tests by hand is
  - boring and tedious
  - difficult to be convinced that all cases were covered
- Why not automate the process?
  - Yes, but how?
- One approach is property-based testing
  - Instead of writing test cases, let's write properties that we would like our software (functions) to satisfy
  - and use a tool that can automatically generate random inputs to test these properties.

## Property for the sorting program

```
-module(my_sort).
-export([sort/1]).
-include lib("proper/include/proper.hrl").
-include lib("eunit/include/eunit.hrl").
-spec sort([T]) -> [T].
sort([]) -> [];
sort([P|Xs]) ->
  sort([X | X <- Xs, X < P])
    ++ [P] ++ sort([X | X <- Xs, P < X]).
prop_ordered() ->
  ?FORALL(L, list(integer()), ordered(sort(L))).
ordered([]) -> true;
ordered([_]) -> true;
ordered([A,B|T]) -> A =< B andalso ordered([B|T]).
```



## Testing the ordered property

```
$ erl -pa /path/to/proper/ebin
Erlang/OTP 20 [erts-9.1.3] [...] ...
Eshell V9.1.3 (abort with ^G)
1 > c(my sort).
{ok,my_sort}
2> proper:quickcheck(my sort:prop ordered()).
OK: Passed 100 tests
true
3> proper:quickcheck(my_sort:prop_ordered(), 4711).
..... 4711 dots ......
OK: Passed 4711 tests
true
```

- Runs any number of "random" tests we feel like
- If all tests satisfy the property, reports that all tests passed



## Another property for sorting

```
-module(my_sort).
-export([sort/1]).
-include_lib("proper/include/proper.hrl").
-include_lib("eunit/include/eunit.hrl").
-spec sort([T]) -> [T].
sort([]) -> [];
sort([P|Xs]) ->
  sort([X | X <- Xs, X < P])
    ++ [P] ++ sort([X | | X <- Xs, P < X]).
prop ordered() ->
  ?FORALL(L, list(integer()), ordered(sort(L))).
prop_same_length() ->
  ?FORALL(L, list(integer()),
           length(L) =:= length(sort(L))).
ordered([]) -> ...
```



## Testing the same length property

```
4> c(my_sort).
{ok,my_sort}
5> proper:quickcheck(my_sort:prop_same_length()).
. . . . . . . . . . . . !
Failed: After 14 test(s).
[1,3,-3,10,-3]
Shrinking (6 time(s))
[0,0]
false
6> proper:quickcheck(my sort:prop same length()).
. . . . . . . . . . . !
Failed: After 13 test(s).
[2, -8, -3, 1, 1]
Shrinking .(1 time(s))
[1,1]
false
```

### Properties with preconditions

- Let us suppose that we actually wanted that our program only sorts lists without duplicates
- How would we write the property then?



## Custom generators

 An even better way is to try to generate lists without duplicates in the first place!

```
list no dupls(T) ->
  ?LET(L, list(T), remove_duplicates(L)).
%% better versions of remove duplicates/1 exist
remove_duplicates([]) -> [];
remove_duplicates([A|T]) ->
 case lists:member(A, T) of
   true -> remove_duplicates(T);
   false -> [A|remove_duplicates(T)]
 end.
prop_same_length() ->
  ?FORALL(L, list_no_dupls(integer()),
           length(L) =:= length(sort(L))).
```

```
7> proper:quickcheck(my_sort:prop_same_length()).
...... 100 dots ......
OK: Passed 100 tests
```



## Testing for stronger properties

- The properties we tested were quite weak.
- How about ensuring that the list after sorting has the same elements as the original one?
- We can use some 'obviously correct' function as reference implementation and test equivalence

```
prop_equiv_usort() ->
    ?FORALL(L, list(integer()),
        sort(L) =:= lists:usort(L)).
```

```
8> proper:quickcheck(my_sort:prop_equiv_usort()).
...... 100 dots ......
OK: Passed 100 tests
```

 Note: PropEr is ideally suited for easily checking equivalence of two functions and gradually refining or optimizing one of them!



## Beyond monotypic testing

- But why were we testing for lists of integers?
- We do not have to! We can test for general lists!

```
9> proper:quickcheck(my_sort:prop_equiv_usort()).
...... 100 dots ......
OK: Passed 100 tests
```



## Shrinking general terms

- How does shrinking work in this case?
- Let's modify the property to a false one and see

```
10> proper:quickcheck(my_sort:prop_equiv_sort()).
. . . . . . . . . . . . !
Failed: After 14 test(s)
[[[],[<<54,17,42:7>>],4],{},-0.05423250622902363,{},{42,<<0:3>>}]
Shrinking ...(3 time(s))
[\{\}, \{\}]
false
11> proper:quickcheck(my_sort:prop_equiv_sort()).
Failed: After 28 test(s)
[\{\},\{[],6,'f\%Co',\{42\},... A REALLY BIG COMPLICATED TERM HERE
                                  CONTAINING TWO EMPTY LISTS
Shrinking ....(4 time(s))
[[],[]]
false
```

### **Built-in generators**

- any Erlang term
- atom()
- boolean()
- integer()
- pos\_integer(), ...
- range(L,H)
   range(17,42)

- any()
- list(*G*)
- vector(Len,G)
- union(Gs)union([a,b])
- frequency(GS)
  frequency([{1,a},{4,b}])



# Testing frameworks

	Unit Testing	Property-Based Testing
Acquire a valid input	User-provided inputs	Generated semi-randomly from specification
Run the test	Automatic	Automatic
Decide if it passes	User-provided expected outputs	Partial correctness property



## More about PropEr

- Homepage: http://proper.softlab.ntua.gr
- GitHub: http://github.com/manopapad/proper





#### References

1. Manolis Papadakis and Konstantinos Sagonas. A PropEr integration of types and function specifications with property-based testing. In *Proceedings of the 10th ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on Erlang*, pages 39-50, Sept. 2011. ACM Press. doi:

10.1145/2034654.2034663