

PKD Project Peer-Review Form

Instructions

Please answer all questions. Try to give both positive comments and suggestions for improvement. Any criticism must be factual and constructive. For options marked with *, a comment is required.

Please submit your feedback via the Student Portal. You can either fill in this form electronically, or print it and submit a scanned version. Your feedback will be made available to other students.

About you

Reviewers: Name 1

Name 2

Name 3

We have reviewed the project of group number :

Name 1

Name 2

Name 3

Project title

Feedback: Oral Presentation

What was your overall impression of the presentation?

Very bad

Very good

Did the presentation cover the following items?

Project title	Yes	No
Names of all group members	Yes	No
Description of what the program should do	Yes	No
Demonstration of the program	Yes	No
Overview of how the program works	Yes	No
Description of a particularly difficult part	Yes	No

Did the presentation have a clear structure? Yes No*

How was the use of visual aids (i.e., slides or the blackboard)?

Very bad

Very good

Was the amount of material covered appropriate for the available time? Yes No*

Was the presentation shared evenly between all group members? Yes No*

What was good about the presentation?

What could be improved?

Feedback: Documentation

What is your overall impression of the documentation (report)?

Very bad

Very good

Does the documentation contain the following items?

Project title	Yes	No
Names of all group members	Yes	No
Statement of the fact that this is the project for the PKD course this year	Yes	No
Table of contents	Yes	No
Introduction	Yes	No
Summary of what the program does	Yes	No
Use cases (including key examples)	Yes	No
Description of data structures	Yes	No
Description of important algorithms	Yes	No
Description of the various program functions	Yes	No
Description of known shortcomings	Yes	No

How easy do you find it to understand what the project is, what problem has been solved?

Very difficult

Very easy

How easy do you find it to understand the solution (i.e., the program) by reading the documentation?

Very difficult

Very easy

How good is the language of the documentation (e.g., vocabulary, spelling, grammar)?

Very bad

Very good

Does the documentation have a clear structure? Yes No*

Are references used correctly? Yes To some extent* No*

What is good about the documentation?

What could be improved?