# PKD Project Peer-Review Form

### Instructions

Please answer all questions. Try to give both positive comments and suggestions for improvement. Any criticism must be factual and constructive. For options marked with \*, a comment is required.

Please submit your feedback via the Student Portal. You can either fill in this form electronically, or print it and submit a scanned version. Your feedback will be made available to other students.

## About you

Reviewers: Name 1

Name 2

Name 3

We have reviewed the project of group number

Name 1

Name 2

Name 3

Project title

### Feedback: Oral Presentation

What was your overall impression of the presentation?

Very bad Very good Did the presentation cover the following items?

Project title Yes No Names of all group members Yes No Description of what the program should do Yes No Demonstration of the program Yes No Overview of how the program works Yes No No Description of a particularly difficult part Yes

Did the presentation have a clear structure? Yes No\*

How was the use of visual aids (i.e., slides or the blackboard)?

Very bad Very good

Was the amount of material covered appropriate for the available time? Yes No\*

Was the presentation shared evenly between all group members? Yes No\*

What was good about the presentation?

What could be improved?

## Feedback: Documentation

What could be improved?

What is your overall impression of the documentation (report)?

Very bad Very good Does the documentation contain the following items? Project title Yes No Names of all group members Yes No Statement of the fact that this is the project for the PKD course this year Yes No Table of contents Yes No Introduction Yes No Summary of what the program does Yes No Use cases (including key examples) Yes No Description of data structures Yes No Description of important algorithms Yes No Description of the various program functions Yes No Description of known shortcomings Yes No How easy do you find it to understand what the project is, what problem has been solved? Very difficult Very easy How easy do you find it to understand the solution (i.e., the program) by reading the documentation? Very difficult Very easy How good is the language of the documentation (e.g., vocabulary, spelling, grammar)? Very good Very bad Does the documentation have a clear structure? Yes No\* To some extent\* No\* Are references used correctly? Yes What is good about the documentation?

Reset