


# Compiler and Language Development

## - A Multi Agent System Wargame







**Department of Computer Science  
Aalborg University**

Selma Lagerlöfs Vej 300  
DK-9220 Aalborg Øst  
Telephone +45 9940 9940  
Telefax +45 9940 9798  
<http://cs.aau.dk>

**Title:** Wargame

**Subject:** Language engineering

**Semester:** Spring Semester 2011

**Project group:** sw402a

**Participants:**

Henrik Klarup  
Kasper Møller Andersen  
Kristian Kolding Foged-Ladefoged  
Lasse Rørbæk  
Rasmus Aaen  
Simon Frandsen

**Supervisor:**

Jorge Pablo Cordero Hernandez

**Number of copies:**

**Number of pages:**

**Number of appendices:**

**Completed:** 27. May 2011

**Synopsis:**

In this project we will develop a high-level to high-level compiler and an agent oriented language, which can control agents in a multi agent system formed as a wargame. To do that we use C# to make the wargame environment, and then we make our own language and compiler that will generate C# code. When the generated code is run, it makes an XML file with data, which set up the agents in the wargame.

The language we develop (called *MAS-SIVE*) is optimized for the wargame we develop. This becomes evident from the lines of code it takes to program the agents and action patterns in *MAS-SIVE* versus in C#. Also a goal was to make the language more simple, for example by reducing the number of types. For example we have implemented a single type, `num`, to cover the types `int`, `long`, etc.

—— conclusion ——

*This report is produced by students at AAU. The content of the report is freely accessible, but publication (with source) may only be made with the authors consent.*



# Preface

This report is written in the fourth semester of the software engineering study at Aalborg University in the spring 2011.

The goal of this project is to acquire knowledge about fundamental principles of programming languages and techniques for description and translation of languages in general. Also a goal is to get a basic knowledge of central computer science and software technical subjects with a focus on language processing theories and techniques.

We will achieve these goal by designing and implementing a small language for controlling a multi agent system in the form of a wargame. We are using Visual Studio and C#, because we have used these tools in earlier semesters and are used to the C# syntax.

The report is written i L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X, and we have used Google Docs and TortoiseSVN for revision control.

Source code examples in the report is represented as follows:

---

```
1  if (spelling.ToLower().Equals(spellings[i]))
2      {
3          this.kind = i;
4          break;
5      }
```

---

Source code 1: This is a sorce code example

We expect the reader to have basic knowledge about object oriented programming and the C# language.



# Contents

|            |   |           |
|------------|---|-----------|
| <b>I</b>   | <b>Introduction</b>                     | <b>1</b>  |
| 1          | Project Introduction                    | 2         |
| 2          | Multi Agent System                      | 4         |
| 3          | Existing Environments                   | 5         |
| 3.1        | Net-Logo . . . . .                      | 5         |
| <b>II</b>  | <b>Tools</b>                            | <b>8</b>  |
| 4          | Language Components                     | 9         |
| 4.1        | Grammar . . . . .                       | 9         |
| 4.2        | Semantics . . . . .                     | 11        |
| 5          | Compiler Components                     | 13        |
| 5.1        | Scanner . . . . .                       | 14        |
| 5.2        | Parser . . . . .                        | 15        |
| 5.2.1      | Data Representation . . . . .           | 16        |
| 5.3        | Decoration . . . . .                    | 18        |
| 5.3.1      | Visitor Pattern . . . . .               | 18        |
| 5.4        | Code Generation . . . . .               | 20        |
| <b>III</b> | <b>Implementation</b>                   | <b>21</b> |
| 6          | Language Documentation                  | 22        |
| 6.1        | Grammar . . . . .                       | 22        |
| 6.2        | Semantics . . . . .                     | 23        |
| 6.3        | Usage of the MASSIVE Language . . . . . | 23        |

|           |                                       |           |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>7</b>  | <b>Graphical User Interface</b>       | <b>26</b> |
| 7.1       | Action Interpreter . . . . .          | 30        |
| 7.1.1     | Lexical Analysis . . . . .            | 30        |
| 7.1.2     | Contextual Analysis . . . . .         | 32        |
| <b>8</b>  | <b>Compiler Components</b>            | <b>33</b> |
| <b>9</b>  | <b>Language Processors</b>            | <b>34</b> |
| 9.1       | Compilers . . . . .                   | 34        |
| 9.2       | Interpreters . . . . .                | 34        |
| 9.3       | Making the Scanner . . . . .          | 35        |
| 9.4       | Making the Parser . . . . .           | 36        |
| 9.5       | The Abstract Syntax Tree . . . . .    | 37        |
| 9.6       | Decoration . . . . .                  | 39        |
| 9.6.1     | Type and scope checking . . . . .     | 39        |
| 9.6.2     | Input validation . . . . .            | 39        |
| 9.6.3     | Variable Checking . . . . .           | 40        |
| 9.7       | Code Generation . . . . .             | 40        |
| 9.8       | Error handling . . . . .              | 41        |
| <b>IV</b> | <b>Discussion</b>                     | <b>43</b> |
| <b>10</b> | <b>Language Development</b>           | <b>45</b> |
| 10.1      | Compiler language . . . . .           | 45        |
| <b>11</b> | <b>Advantages in the MAS Language</b> | <b>46</b> |
| 11.1      | OOP . . . . .                         | 46        |
| 11.2      | MASSIVE . . . . .                     | 46        |
| 11.3      | C# vs MASSIVE . . . . .               | 46        |
| <b>12</b> | <b>Use Case</b>                       | <b>48</b> |
| <b>13</b> | <b>Conclusion</b>                     | <b>49</b> |
| <b>V</b>  | <b>Epilogue</b>                       | <b>50</b> |
| <b>14</b> | <b>Future Work</b>                    | <b>52</b> |



|           |                                 |           |
|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>VI</b> | <b>Appendix</b>                 | <b>53</b> |
| <b>15</b> | <b>Appendix</b>                 | <b>54</b> |
| <b>16</b> | <b>Other Games</b>              | <b>55</b> |
| <b>17</b> | <b>Full Implemented Grammar</b> | <b>57</b> |
| 17.1      | BNF - Initialize . . . . .      | 57        |
| 17.2      | Starters . . . . .              | 58        |
| 17.3      | EBNF - Initialize . . . . .     | 60        |
| 17.4      | Action Grammar . . . . .        | 61        |

# Part I

## Introduction

# Chapter 1

## Project Introduction

*In this part there we introduce the project, and gain some background knowledge. We cover the subjects multi agent systems, agent oriented languages and existing multi agent environments. Furthermore we specify the rules and usage of the wargame we develop.*

There exist many different programming languages for different purposes, and in this report we have focus on multi agent systems. In this project we are developing a language and compiler to generate code for a multi agent system. This leads to our problem statement:

---

*How can a programming language and compiler, optimized to control logics of a multi agent wargame, be developed? How can one demonstrate this optimization?*

---

To answer these question we first need some background knowledge about multi agent systems, agent oriented languages, and the main ideas with compilers and interpreters, which will be described in the first part of the report (introduction), together with a description of the multi agent system that we are developing.

In the next part, *Tools*, we describe building block of languages and compilers.

In third part, *Implementation*, we explain how we have done the

implementation of the language, compiler and the multi agent system environment.

In the *Discussion* part we discuss some of our language development choices, and we conclude on the project as a whole.

In the *Epilogue* part we discuss what could be improved in future work, and the last part *Appendix* contains other relevant material, such as our full language grammar.

## Chapter 2

# Multi Agent System

The purpose of a Multi Agent System (MAS) is to simulate scenarios in which a number of self-interested agents make decisions that help them, or the entire group of agents, to achieve a predefined goal or condition.

In order to achieve this, a number of mechanisms are needed. First of all, need agents to be able to make decisions. This could be done randomly, however, for obvious reasons this would not produce very realistic results. In order to make smart decisions, agents, like people, need some kind of goal. These goals can be defined in a lot of different ways, one of which is to associate states with values, and make agents strive to be in a higher state.[?]

One example could be a robot with a sensor that feeds a binary input, 1 if it is warm and 0 if it is cold. If it is cold, the robot would be in the state "cold", which would have a lower value than the state "warm". If the robot then had the possibility to warm the room, it could decide to do this, in order to return to the state "warm", which is better because it has a higher value.

Another way to implement goals is by introducing a rate of utilization of the robot, again, higher utilization is better. The utilization reward given to a robot performing a task could then be calculated based on expenses associated with the job, and opportunity cost of not being able to perform other actions while performing the current. Agents are typically selfish in this setup, meaning that they will only do things that benefit their own utilization, regardless of the utilization of other agents. This does not mean that they are not able to help each other, it means that they will only do so if it benefits all the agents performing the given task.[?], [?], [?]

# Chapter 3

## Existing Environments

A lot of multi agent systems have already been developed, and we will take a look at a few of them, to get an idea of how others have designed multi agent systems.

### 3.1 Net-Logo

NetLogo is a popular and widespread environment for programming in a MAS language, developed by a man called Uri Wilensky in '99, who is currently working at the Northwestern University [?].

NetLogo features a very easy programming language for both creating Agents and defining Environments, and also provides relatively easy ways of manipulating the cosmetics of the MAS-simulation. NetLogo has the advantages that even though the programming language is very easy to learn and use, it is also very feature rich, and can create MAS's that can simulate almost any possible scenario, right from advanced traffic scenarios to how many tadpoles will survive the first week of their lives.

The code, shown in the following code-snippet, will generate a simple test with color-mixing, to simulate passing of genes.

---

```
1 to setup
2   clear-all
3   ask patches
4     [ set pcolor (random colors) * 10 + 5
5       if pcolor = 75 ;; 75 is too close to another color so
6         change it to 125
7       [ set pcolor 125 ] ]
```

```

7   reset-ticks
8   end
9
10  to go
11    ask patches [ set pcolor [pcolor] of one-of patches ]
12    tick
13  end
14
15
16  ; Copyright 1997 Uri Wilensky. All rights reserved.
17  ; The full copyright notice is in the Information tab.

```

---

NetLogo Source code 3.1: This is a NetLogo source code example

This very small code example will, together with the NetLogo GUI, create the elaborate simulation shown in 3.1. All of this simulation data is saved in NetLogo's custom file format, so that they can easily be run by someone else.




Figure 3.1: Simple Netlogo Simulation

*Our problem statement focus on how one can make a compiler and a language optimized for MASes. We have gained some background knowledge on multi agent systems (MAS), agent oriented languages (AOL) and language*

*processors. A MAS uses agents to simulate some sort of scenario, where the agents strive to achieve a goal. One example of such systems is NetLogo[?]. AOLs are a type of languages developed specific for creating these MASes.*

*WARGAME WARGAME WARGAME WARGAME WARGAME WARGAME  
WARGAME WARGAME WARGAME WARGAME WARGAME WARGAME  
WARGAME WARGAME*



# Part II

## Tools

# Chapter 4

## Language Components

*In this chapter we outline the constituents of a programming language, covering the grammar and semantics. We explain the EBNF grammar notation, and the advantages of this. Section is based on reference [?].*

### 4.1 Grammar

In this project we use BNF and EBNF notation to describe our language, and those will be outlined in this section.

BNF (Backus-Naur Form) is a formal notation technique used to describe the grammar of a context-free language [?]. There are several variations of BNF, for example Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF<sup>1</sup>) and Extended Backus-Naur Form (EBNF). EBNF is used to describe the grammar of the language developed in this project [?].

The EBNF is a mix of BNF and regular expressions (REs, see table 4.1), and thereby it combines advantages of both regular expressions and BNF. The expressive power in BNF is retained while the use of regular expression notation makes specifying some aspects of syntax more convenient.

---

<sup>1</sup>Has been popular among many Internet specifications. ABNF will not be further expanded on in this project.

|               | Regular expression | Product of expression  |
|---------------|--------------------|--|
| empty         | $\varepsilon$      | the empty string   |
| singleton     | $t$                | the string consisting of $t$ alone   |
| concatenation | $X \cdot Y$        | the concatenation of any string generated by $X$ and any string generated by $Y$ |
| alternative   | $X Y$              | any string generated either by $X$ or $Y$  |
| iteration     | $X^*$              | any string generated either by $X$ or $Y$  |
| grouping      | $(X)$              | any string generated by $X$  |

Table 4.1: Table of regular expressions [?].  $X$  and  $Y$  are arbitrary REs, and  $t$  is any terminal symbol.

Here is a few examples of the use of REs:

$A B \mid A C$  generates  $AB, AC$

$A (B \mid C)$  generates  $AB, AC$

$A^* B$  generates  $B, AB, AAB, AAAB, \dots$

### Left Factorization

Given that we have choices on the form  $AB \mid AC$ , where  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  are arbitrary extended REs, then we can replace these alternatives by the corresponding extended RE:  $A(B|C)$ . These two expressions are said to be equivalent because they generate the exact same languages.

### Elimination of Left Recursion

Here is an example of how left recursion can be eliminated with EBNF. If we have a BNF production rule  $N ::= X|NY$ , where  $N$  is a nonterminal symbol, and  $X$  and  $Y$  are arbitrary extended REs, then we can replace this with an equivalent EBNF production rule:  $N ::= X(Y)^*$ . These two rules are said to be equivalent because they generate the exact same language.

### Substitution of Nonterminal Symbols

In a EBNF production rule  $N ::= X$  we can substitute  $X$  for any occurrence of  $N$  on the right-hand side on another production rule. If we do this, and if

$N ::= X$  is nonrecursive where this rule is the only rule for  $N$ , then we can eliminate the nonterminal symbol  $N$  and the rule  $N ::= X$ .

Whether or not such substitution should be made is a matter of convenience. If  $N$  is only represented a few times, and if  $X$  is uncomplicated, then this specific substitution might simplify the grammar as a whole.

## Starter Sets

The starter set of a regular expression  $X$  ( $starters[[X]]$ ) is the set of terminal symbols that can start a string generated by  $X$ . As an example, we have the type starters  $n|N|s|S|b|B$ , where the types are **num**, **string** and **bool**. Since the starters are case insensitive, we have both the uppercase and lowercase letters in the starter set for type. The full starter set overview can be found in appendix 17.2.

## 4.2 Semantics

The semantics of a programming language is a mathematical notation that explains language behavior. It defines the behaviour of all the elements in a language [?].

As an example of semantics, we view the semantics of the language *Bims*. The first part of the language semantics are the syntactic categories, which define the different syntactic elements in the language.

- Numeric values  $n \in \text{Num}$ .
- Variables  $v \in \text{Var}$ .
- Arithmetic expressions  $a \in \text{Aexp}$ .
- Boolean expressions  $b \in \text{Bexp}$ .
- Statements  $S \in \text{Stm}$ .

The next part of the semantics are the formation rules. These rules define the different operations that can be executed in the language. Here are the rules for statements:

$$S ::= x := a \mid \text{skip} \mid S_1; S_2 \mid \text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \mid \text{while } b \text{ do } S$$

These rules imply what kind of transitions can be done in the language. A transition happens when an operation is executed, and the program is moved

into its next configuration. All transitions and configurations are defined by a transition system, which consists of three things.

- $\Gamma$  represents all possible configurations.
- $\rightarrow$  represents all possible transitions.
- $T$  represents the terminal configurations, which are the configurations with no transitions leading away from them.

The environment-store model is a way of storing variables, and it is the one we will be using in our semantics. We will therefor explain it here. The model consists of the variable environment and the store function. The variable environment is the environment where variables are referenced, mimicking memory addresses in a computer. The store function then uses the reference to find the actual value of the variable.

Finally, we will be using bigstep semantics to describe the different transition rules. Bigstep semantics represent transitions with a one to one mapping. The opposite of this is the smallstep semantic, where each transition has several semantic steps described, but we will not detail this.

The first example is the bigstep transition rule for declaring a variable.

---


$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{(VAR-DECL)} \qquad \frac{\langle D_v, env'_V, sto[l \mapsto v] \rangle \rightarrow (env'_v, sto')}{\langle varx := a; D_v, env_v, sto \rangle \rightarrow (env'_v, sto')} \\
 \text{hvor } env_{pp} = (S, env'_p)
 \end{array}$$


---

*Tail...*

# Chapter 5

## Compiler Components

*In order to give the reader a top-down understanding of our product, we find that it is very important that the reader understands basic concepts of compiling. In this chapter we will explain core concepts and ideas as to how to compile written code into executable code. This section will describe how the compiler components can be implemented, and there may be some differences between this and the way the components are actually implemented in this project.*

A basic compiler can be broken down to three simple steps, which are illustrated in ??.




Figure 5.1: Illustration of the general structure of the compiler components.

## 5.1 Scanner

The purpose of the scanner is to recognize tokens in the source program. Tokens are abstractions of the code, and the scanner simplifies the code by recognising a string as a token. For example a `+` is recognised as an OPERATOR token. This process is called *lexical analysis* and is a part of the *syntactic analysis*.

Terminal symbols are the individual characters in the code, which the scanner reads and creates an equivalent token for `[?]`. The source program contain separators, such as blank spaces and comments, which separate the tokens and make the code readable for humans. Tokens and separators are nonterminal symbols.

The development of the scanner can be divided into three steps:

1. The lexical grammar is expressed in EBNF 4.1.
2. For each EBNF production rule  $N ::= X$ , a transcription to a scanning method `scanN` is made, where the body is determined by  $X$ .
3. The scanner needs the following variables and methods:
  - (a) `currentChar`, which holds the current character to scan.
  - (b) `take()`, which compares the current character to an expected character.
  - (c) `takeIt()`, which updates the current character to the next character in the string.
  - (d) `scanN()`, as seen in step 2, though improved so it records the kind and spelling of the token as well.
  - (e) `scan()`, which scans the combination 'Separator\* Token', discarding the separator and returning the token.

See more about the BNF and EBNF notation in section 4.1 and see the full implementation of the grammar in the appendix 17.

## 5.2 Parser

The scanner 5.1 produces a stream of tokens. This stream provides an abstraction of the original input, and is used in determining the phrase structure, which is the purpose of the parser [?]. We strive to make the language unambiguous<sup>1</sup> to avoid the complication an ambiguous sentence would bring.

There are two basic parsing strategies, *bottom-up* and *top-down*, both of which produce an abstract syntax tree (AST). An AST is a representation of the phrase structure of the code, where the tokens found by the scanner are turned from a list into a tree, as defined by the structure of your grammar. We will here expand on the *top-down* strategy, because that is what we have implemented.

The *top-down* parsing algorithm is characterized by the way it builds the AST. The parser does not *need* to make an AST, but it is convenient to

---

<sup>1</sup>This means that every sentence has exactly one abstract syntax tree (AST). See section ?? for more about the abstract syntax tree.



describe the parsing strategy by making the AST. The *top-down* approach considers the terminal symbols of a string, from left to right, and constructs its AST from top to bottom (from root node to terminal node).

### 5.2.1 Data Representation

Here is an example of how the *top-down* parsing algorithm works, demonstrated with an AST [?].




Figure 5.2: The first step for the parser is to decide what to apply in the root node. Here it has only one option: "Sentence ::= Subject Verb Object."

The words that are not shaded are final elements in the AST. The words that are shaded and has a line to the previous node, is called stubs, and are not final elements, because they depend on the terminal nodes. The shaded nodes with no connection lines are the terminal symbols that are not yet examined.




Figure 5.3: In the second step the parser looks at the stub to the left. Here the correct production rule is: "Subject ::= **The** noun".

The parser chooses the production rules by examining the next input terminal symbol. If the terminal symbol in figure 5.3 had been "A" then it would have chosen the production rule: "Subject ::= **A** noun".




Figure 5.4: In third step the noun-stub is considered, and the production rule becomes: "Noun ::= man".




Figure 5.5: In fourth step the verb-stub is considered, and the production rule becomes: "Verb ::= bought".




Figure 5.6: Here is the final syntax tree when the parser is done.

This method is continued until the whole sentence has been parsed. Here the final syntax tree is quite simple, but one can imagine how the tree will grow when the input is a larger program text. See section 9.5 on how we have implemented the AST.

## 5.3 Decoration

Decoration refers to decorating the abstract syntax tree. Basically, up until this point we have only checked the structure of the code we are compiling, and decoration is the part where we do checks for validating the code itself. These are checks like type checks and scope checks.

To do this, we need a way of traversing the AST, while applying a lot of different logic to the various nodes in it. To this end, we utilize the visitor pattern.

### 5.3.1 Visitor Pattern

This design pattern is specifically used for traversing data structures and executing operations on objects without adding the logic to that object beforehand.

Using the visitor pattern is advantageous because we do not need to know the structure of the tree when it is traversed. For example, every block in the code contains a number of commands. We do not know what the type of each command is, we only know that there is a command object. When that object is "visited", the visitor is automatically redirected to the correct function based on the type of the object that is visited.

As an example, we will look at code from our own compiler. Say we are running through all the commands in a block.

---

```

1  foreach (Command c in block.commands)
2      {
3          c.visit(this, arg);
4      }

```

---

Source code 5.1: Here is the code that makes sure every command in a block is visited.

This is done from within a visitor class, so "this" refers to an instance of the visitor. The reason the visitor is sent as input, is so all the visit functions can be kept in that visitor, and multiple visitors with different functionality can be used. If say, the next command is a for-loop (which inherits from the Command class), the visit function will lead to the visitForCommand function being called.

---

```

1  public class ForCommand : Command
2      {
3          ...
4          public override object visit(Visitor v, object arg)
5          {
6              return v.visitForCommand(this, arg);
7          }
8      }

```

---

Source code 5.2: The ForCommand class from the AST.

And the visitForCommand function will then visit all the objects in the for-loop as they come.

---

```

1  internal override object visitForCommand(ForCommand forCommand,
2      object arg)
3      {
4          IdentificationTable.openScope();
5
6          // visit the declaration, the two expressions and the
7          // block.
8          forCommand.CounterDeclaration.visit(this, arg);
9          forCommand.LoopExpression.visit(this, arg);
10         forCommand.CounterExpression.visit(this, arg);
11
12         forCommand.ForBlock.visit(this, arg);
13
14         IdentificationTable.closeScope();
15
16         return null;
17     }

```

---

Source code 5.3: The visitForCommand function.

## 5.4 Code Generation

Code generation can be tricky, but because we are compiling to C#, we are utilizing the underlying memory management in C#, making the task much easier, and we won't expand on memory management for this reason. Code generation is therefor only a matter of printing the correct code.

A great tool for doing this is code templates. Code templates are recipes for what code should be written under the current circumstances, which makes the visitor pattern well suited for this task as well (see section 5.3.1).

*tail...*

# Part III

## Implementation

# Chapter 6

## Language Documentation

*header...*

### 6.1 Grammar

When defining the grammar of a programming language, one defines every component in the language. It is important that the language is not ambiguous, as this could lead to misunderstanding at compile-time. The first thing we define in the language is the different datatypes, in our language there are three types; num, string and bool. These datatypes help define what is allowed in the language. Once these are defined, they can be broken up into even smaller parts, i.e. num is made up by digits or digits followed by the char '.' followed by digits, which in the grammar looks like this;

$$number ::= digits \mid digits.digits.$$

Then this is again split into even smaller parts, taking digits defined as;

$$digits ::= digit \mid digit\ digits.$$

And then the last part;

$$digit ::= 1|2..9|0.$$

This is done for every datatype in the language.

We choose only to make these datatypes as this would make the users decision of which datatype to use easier. Num can hold both integers decimals, strings handles every aspect of text and bools is the only logical values in our language.

In the grammar it is also defined how the general structure of the program is to be build. In the grammar it is defined where each part of a program can be placed, within what sections different things can be nested. A general program written in our language must consist of a mainblock, in which everything else is contained. The mainblock will be made up by the keyword `Main`, followed by the two brackets `'( ' )'`, followed by a block. The block consists of a left bracket `''` some commands and then a right bracket `''`. In the grammar the mainblock and block look like this: `mainblock ::= Main()`  
`block ::= '' commands ''`

Each of the elements in the grammar is described this way. The full document is in the appendix 17.

## 6.2 Semantics

The semantics for the MAS language are operational semantics written in bigstep notation. The language encompasses:

- Numeric values  $n \in \text{Num}$ .
- Variables  $v \in \text{Var}$ .
- Arithmetic expressions  $a \in \text{Aexp}$ .
- Boolean expressions  $b \in \text{Bexp}$ .
- Statements  $S \in \text{Stm}$ .

## 6.3 Usage of the MASSIVE Language

MASSIVE language is made for the specific purpose of making data for a wargame in the form of xml. To start using MASSIVE one need to learn some basics of the language; functions, loops, assigning values to variables, and statements. The first thing one needs to define when writing a program in MASSIVE is the main function. This is done by writing `Main([someNumber])` where `someNumber` is the maximum unitpoints, which are then divided equally between each team. Then one can start writing the program inside the `'' ''`. There are 2 different loops in our language, the for-loop and the while-loop. The while-loop is written the following way:



---

```
1 while( /* Some expression */)
2 {
3     /* Some code */
4 }
```

---

Source code 6.1: While-loop

The for-loop can be written in the following way:

---

```
1 for(num i = 0; /* Some Expression */; i++)
2 {
3     /* Some code */
4 }
```

---

Source code 6.2: For-loop

Assigning values is an essential part of MASSIVE language, and can be done as long as the assigned value matched the datatype selected.

---

```
1 num count = 42;
```

---

Source code 6.3: Variable assignment

In MASSIVE language we have some default classes one can use, these can be assigned using the following code:

---

```
1 new agent testAgent([name as string], [rank as num]);
2 new squad testSquad([name as string]);
3 new team testTeam([name as string], [color as hex code as string
4     ]);
5 testSquad.Add(testAgent
6 testTeam.Add(testAgent);
```

---

Source code 6.4: Object assignment

There are 2 statements in MASSIVE language, the **if**-statement and the **else**-statement. The **else**-statement can only be used if it follows an **if**-statement:

---

```
1 num testNumber = 10;
2
3 if(testNumber = 20)
4 {
5     /* Some Code */
6 }
7
8 if(testNumber = 10)
9 {
10     /* Some code */
11 }
```

---

```
12  else
13  {
14      /* Some code */
15  }
```

---

#### Source code 6.5: Statements

When all the code has been written it can be run through the compiler, and it will generate an XML-file with the data entered.

*tail...*

# Chapter 7

## Graphical User Interface

The user interface is made as a windows form application<sup>1</sup>. Using Visual Studios designer tools, it is simple to make a graphical user interface with buttons, panels, and windows just the way you want.

The main idea of the design of the user interface is that it should be intuitive, which the user should not spend a lot of time figuring out what all the buttons do. Furthermore we have designed the interface so the main structure looks like other popular strategy computer games (see 16.1 and 16.2 in appendix). We have done this to make the application easy to learn how to use.

### Game Start Settings

When the game is started, a dialog box is shown where one can choose the size of the *war zone*. We have chosen to have three fixed grid sizes, because of the way we draw the grid 7.

The functions of the dialog box is:

1. *Small, Medium, Large* radio buttons - select one to choose the grid size.
2. *Start* button - starts the game.

---

<sup>1</sup>graphical application programming interface, included in the .NET Framework.




Figure 7.1: Screen shot of the game settings dialog box.

## Game Interface Functions

The functions of the game interface is:

1. *War zone* - contains the grid on which the war game unfolds.
2. *Agents* - the agents of the different teams (here with a 4-player game setup).
3. *Command center* - here the user types the commands to navigate the agents around the grid.
4. *Stats field* - shows the stats of a selected agent.
5. *Agents left* - shows how many agents are left on the teams.
6. *Combat log* - contains a combat log on who killed who in fights between agents.
7. *Command list* - contains the list of available commands the user can type in the *command center*.
8. *MousePos grid* - shows the grid point of the mouse position.
9. *Execute* button - executes the typed in command in the *command center*.
10. *End turn* button - ends the turn and gives the turn to the next player.
11. *Reset game* button - sets up a new game.
12. *Quit game* button - closes the game.




Figure 7.2: Screen shot of the game interface.

## Drawing the Grid and Agents

The program make use of GDI+ [?] to draw the grid (the war zone) on the screen. A usercontrol is added to eliminate the flickering GDI+ normally creates on windows forms, this is done with the help of double buffering. We only use GDI+ graphics inside the usercontrol DBpanel, and make sure we draw things in the correct order, as we draw the pixels untop of each other. The first thing drawn is the background, which in our case is green, with the black gridlines on top of it, to create the game grid. Next the agents are drawn, one after the another. The agent's start posistions are calculated by the following algorithm:

---

```
1      int it1 = (Grids / 2) - (agentsOnTeam1 / 2);
```

```

2      int it2 = (Grids / 2) - (agentsOnTeam2 / 2);
3      int it3 = (Grids / 2) - (agentsOnTeam3 / 2);
4      int it4 = (Grids / 2) - (agentsOnTeam4 / 2);
5      foreach (Agent a in agents)
6      {
7          Point p = new Point();
8          if (a.team.ID == 1)
9          {
10             p = getGridPixelFromGrid(new Point(it1, 0));
11         }
12         else if (a.team.ID == 2)
13         {
14             p = getGridPixelFromGrid(new Point(Grids -
15                 1, it2));
16         }
17         else if (a.team.ID == 3)
18         {
19             p = getGridPixelFromGrid(new Point(it3,
20                 Grids - 1));
21         }
22         else if (a.team.ID == 4)
23         {
24             p = getGridPixelFromGrid(new Point(0, it4));
25         }
26
27         a.posX += p.X;
28         a.posY += p.Y;
29
30         if (a.team.ID == 1)
31         {
32             it1++;
33         }
34         else if (a.team.ID == 2)
35         {
36             it2++;
37         }
38         else if (a.team.ID == 3)
39         {
40             it3++;
41         }
42         else if (a.team.ID == 4)
43         {
44             it4++;
45         }
46     }

```

---

Source code 7.1: This code snippet calculates the agent's start positions

It is the start location for each team. If the grid is 13 "grids" wide and team one consists of three agents, the starting position for team one will be  $(13/2) - (3 / 2) = 6,5 - 1,5 = 5$ .

## 7.1 Action Interpreter

The Action Interpreter, is the interface for all commands the user can give to the units in the GUI. It analyzes a single command at the time and if the command is valid, executes it directly in the GUI. A command in the Action Interpreter consists of three parts, identification, state, and option.

The identification, identifies which unit, team, or squad the user wants to operate on.

The state, indicates which state the unit should execute the command, e.g. the encounter command waits until there is an enemy unit in its parameter.

The option, identifies the coordinate or direction the unit should go to, e.g. the option up would move the unit one square up.

Some of the most simple commands in the action interpreter would be the "move" commands, e.g. "12 move 1,2" would move the unit with the ID 12 to the coordinate 1,2.

Furthermore the "encounter" command can give the user the ability, to do a certain sequence of movements whenever the unit is in range of an enemy unit, e.g. "12 encounter 1,2" would move the unit with the ID 12 to the coordinate 1,2 when its in range of an enemy unit.

### 7.1.1 Lexical Analysis

The scanner 5.1 is the first part of the *lexical analysis*, the scanner divides the source code, according to the Action Grammar found in appendix 17.4.

The scanner can best be described as an automaton 7.3, which accepts any combination of the terminal symbols defined as letters or digits, a number, or any keyword from the Action Grammar.

As an example would the phrase "Jorge move up", be analyzed as a combination of three tokens.

These three tokens are stored in a list containing all tokens the scanner finds. Since this is an interpreter the scanner only analyse one command at the time.

Therefor the AST returned by the parser is really simple, the AST created from the tokens recieved by the scanner can look like 7.4.




Figure 7.3: Automaton of the Action Interpreters language.

The parser is pretty simple and the most advanced part is the identification of the unit, which is going to be moved.

---

```

1  case (int)Token.keywords.SQUAD:
2  case (int)Token.keywords.S:
3    // Accepts the token, since its either S or Squad.
4    acceptIt();
5    squadID squad = parseSquadID();
6    return squad;
7  case (int)Token.keywords.NUMBER:
8    // If only a number has been selected, parse it as an Agent ID
9    .
10   agentID agentNum = parseagentID();
11   return agentNum;
12 case (int)Token.keywords.IDENTIFIER:
13   // If the identification is an identifier, treat it as one.
14   Identifier ident = parseIdentifier();
15   return ident;

```

---

Source code 7.2: Example of how the parser identifies the identifier.






Figure 7.4: The AST parse from the stream of tokens recieved by the scanner.

### 7.1.2 Contextual Analysis

The contextual analysis is the decoration of the AST, which is done by traversing the AST with the visitors. The first part of the decoration, is to verify the identification of the command. The

# Chapter 8

## Compiler Components

*header - in this chapter..*

# Chapter 9

## Language Processors

There are a number of different kind of language processors, however, we focus on the ones important to our project: Translators. A translator is exactly what it sounds like; it is a program that translates one language into another, this being Chinese into English, or C# into Java.

In particular, we will focus on two types of translators; Compilers and interpreters. We describe the usage of them, and differences and similarities between them.

### 9.1 Compilers

A compiler is basically a translator, typically capable of translating a language with a high level of abstraction (high level language), into a language that has a low level of abstraction (low-level language). This could for example translate the language C into runnable machine code. A compiler has the defining property that it has to translate the entire input before the result can be used, however, it will then be run at full machine speed. If the input is very large it may take quite a while to finish translating, other than that there are no disadvantages to the approach.

### 9.2 Interpreters

An interpreter is also a translator, but where the compiler has to translate the entire input before the results can be used, the interpreter runs one instruction at a time from the input, thus enabling it to start utilizing the input right when it receives it. This boosts the time it will initially take to start running the output, but reduces the speed at which it can be run.,

Because of this people would normally say that an Interpreter is best used

when the program does not have to be run a great many times, or when the program is under development, and a compiler is best used when releasing large scale distributions of program.

## 9.3 Making the Scanner

The scanner is an algorithm that converts an input stream of text into a stream of tokens and keywords. The first method of the scanner is a big switch created to sort the current word according to the token starters (which can be found in appendix 17.2). E.g. if the first character of a word is a letter, the word is automatically assigned as an identifier and a string with the word is created.

When an identifier is saved as a Token, the Token class searches for any keyword, that would be able to match the exact string, e.g. if the string spells the word "for", the Token class changes the string to a **for** token.

---

```
1 public Token(int kind, string spelling, int row, int col)
2     {
3         this.kind = kind;
4         this.spelling = spelling;
5         this.row = row;
6         this.col = col;
7
8         if (kind == (int)keywords.IDENTIFIER)
9         {
10             for (int i = (int)keywords.IF_LOOP; i <= (int)
11                 keywords.FALSE; i++)
12             {
13                 if (spelling.ToLower().Equals(spellings[i]))
14                 {
15                     this.kind = i;
16                     break;
17                 }
18             }
19         }
```

---

Source code 9.1: The token method with overloads.

In the token overload method, IF\_LOOP and FALSE is a part of an enum and then casted as an int, kind is an int identifier and spellings is a string array of the kinds of keywords and tokens available, as seen below.

---

```
1 public static string[] spellings =
2     {
```

---

```

3      "<identifier>", "<number>", "<operator>", "<string>"
      , ";", ":", "(", ")", "=", "{", "}",
4      "if", "else", "for", "while", "bool", "new", "main",
      "team", "agent", "squad", "coord", "void",
5      "actionpattern", "num", "string", "true", "false", "
      ,", ".", "<EOL>", "<EOT>", "<ERROR>"
6  };

```

---

Source code 9.2: The string array spellings.

This is the same for operators and digits, if the current word being read is an operator, the scanner builds the operator. If the operator is a boolean operator e.g. "<", ">", "<=", ">=", "==", the scanner ensures that it has built the entire operator before completing the token, in case the token build is just a "=" the scanner accepts it as the "Becomes" token.

Digits are build according to the grammar and can therefor contain both a single number og a number containing one punktuatation.

Every time the "scan()" method is called, the scanner checks if there is anything which should not be implemented in the token list, e.g. comments, spaces, end of line or indents. Whenever any of these characters has been detected, the scanner ignores all characters untill the comment has ended or there is no more spaces, end of lines or idents.

All tokens returned by the scanner is saved in a List of tokens to make it easier to go back and forth in the list of tokens.

## 9.4 Making the Parser

The parser (see section 5.2) takes the stream of tokens and keywords generated by the scanner and builds an abstract syntax tree (see section 5.2.1) from it, while also checking for grammatical correctness. To accomodate all the different tokens, each token has a unique parsing method, that is called whenever a corresponding token is checked. Each of these methods then generate their own subtree that is added to the AST.

---

```

1  public AST parse()
2      {
3      return parseMainblock();
4      }

```

---

Source code 9.3: This is the main parsing method, which parses a mainblock and returns it as the AST.

---

```

1 private AST parseMainblock()
2     {
3         Mainblock main;
4
5         accept(Token.keywords.MAIN);
6         accept(Token.keywords.LPAREN);
7         Input input = (Input)parseInput();
8         accept(Token.keywords.RPAREN);
9         main = new Mainblock(parseBlock());
10        accept(Token.keywords.EOT);
11
12        main.input = input;
13
14        return main;
15    }

```

---

Source code 9.4: This method parses a mainblock and returns a mainblock object, consisting of all subtrees created by the underlying parsing methods.

In the parseMainblock example, we see that it returns a Mainblock object (which inherits from the AST class) called main. The constructor for the Mainblock takes a Block object as its input, so main is instantiated with a parseBlock call.

The parser checks for grammatical correctness, by checking if each token is of the expected type. For example, a command should always end with a semicolon, so the parser checks for a semicolon after each command. If there isn't one, the parser returns an error saying what line the error was on, and which token did not match an expected token.

## 9.5 The Abstract Syntax Tree

The Abstract Syntax Tree (AST) is the virtual image of a compiled source code. When the scanner has scanned the input successfully and created a list of tokens, the parser, as described in section 5.2, creates a syntax tree. This syntax tree will for example parse the source code:

---

```

1 Main ( 400 )
2 {
3     new Team teamAliens("Aliens", "#FF0000");
4     new Agent agentAlice("Alice", 5);
5
6     teamAliens.add(agentAlice);
7 }

```

---

Source code 9.5: Source code example.

To the AST:




Figure 9.1: Example of the AST compiled from the source code above.

The AST can be printed by a pretty printer to give a better overview of the compiled source code. In the MAS compiler, the pretty printer prints all completed parses in the windows console, the MAS pretty printer indents whenever a new branch is added. The source code above will be printed:

```

MAS Compiler: Decorating
Main Block
  Input
    Variable: 400
  Block
    Object Declaration
      Object: Team
      Linked Identifier
        Identifier: teamAliens
      Input
        Variable: "Aliens"
      Input
        Variable: "#FF0000"
    Object Declaration
      Object: Agent
      Linked Identifier
        Identifier: agentAlice
      Input
        Variable: "Alice"
      Input
        Variable: 5
    Method Call
      Linked Identifier
        Identifier: teamAliens
      Linked Identifier
        Identifier: add
      Input
        Identifier: agentAlice
  
```

Figure 9.2: Example of the AST compiled with the MAS compiler.

## 9.6 Decoration

The decoration of the abstract syntax tree can be a large task, depending on how many checks that need to be done. To accomodate this task, we use the visitor pattern (see section 5.3.1), with several different visitors handling different parts of the task.

### 9.6.1 Type and scope checking

The first one is the `TypeAndScopeVisitor`, which visits every node of the abstract syntax tree, and checks if the types and scopes of variables in the code are correct.

Therefor, this is where type safety is enforced in the compiler. This works simply by taking the type of the variable's token and comparing it to the values it is being used with.

The scope checking is a little more complex. We want to make sure that variables are not used outside of their scopes, which is done with the `IdentificationTable` class. This class contains a list of declared variables, the current scope and methods for entering and retrieving variables and methods for changing the scope.

Every time a scope is exited, every variable that was declared inside that scope is deleted from the list. This way, the list will only contain the variables that are accessible from the current scope, so long as the scopes are updated correctly.

---

```
1  internal override object visitBlock(Block block, object arg)
2      {
3          IdentificationTable.openScope();
4          ...
5          IdentificationTable.closeScope();
6
7          return null;
8      }
```

---

Source code 9.6: A block is visited, and the scope is opened and closed respectively.

### 9.6.2 Input validation

The second decoration visitor is the `InputValidationVisitor`. The job of this visitor is to make sure that all methods and constructors in the language recieve the proper input, depending on the available overloads. The overloads



in our language represent the option for methods and constructors to work with different inputs. For example are all the following constructions legal in our language:

---

```
1  new Team teamAliens( "Aliens", "#FF0000" );
2  new Team teamRocket( "Team Rocket" );
3
4  new Agent agentJohn( "John", 5, teamAliens );
5  new Agent agentJane( "Jane", 5 );
```

---

Source code 9.7: Examples of overloads.

Every overload of every method and constructor in the language is handled as a class of its own in the compiler. The compiler then takes the information it needs to determine the validity of given input, from these classes. It's therefor easy to add new overloads to existing methods and constructors, as well as completely new methods and constructors, because you only need to create a new class for it and initialize it.

### 9.6.3 Variable Checking

The VariableVisitor is the third visitor, and its job is simply to check that the variables that are declared are also used. While this will catch every unused variable, the main reason for the creation of this visitor is to catch unused objects, so the compiler can warn of agents, squads and teams that go unused in the code.

## 9.7 Code Generation

In order to print the C# code, we must again traverse the AST, and determine what code should be printed. Therefor, as with the decoration process, we use a visitor (see section 5.3.1) to accomplish this. This visitor is the CodeGenerationVisitor and is responsible for printing out the correct C# code, such that it can be compiled and run without errors. To accomplish this, we use code templates.

A code template is basically a recipe for how the input code should be converted into C# code. Many of our templates are printed as the code is visited by the visitor. For example will a for command first have "for (" printed, followed by a type declaration, "num i = 0;", an expression, "i < 10;" and finally an assignment command, "i = i + 1" with a parenthesis to round off.

For the methods in our language, we have a different solution though. Every class for a method or constructor, see 9.6.2, in our language must define an overload for the method PrintGeneratedCode.

---

```

1 public override string PrintGeneratedCode(string one, string two
   )
2     {
3         // squad one = new squad(two)
4         return "squad " + one.ToLower() + " = new squad(" +
           two.ToLower() + ")";
5     }

```

---

Source code 9.8: The code printed for the squad constructor.

In the code for the squad constructor, two strings are given as input. One is the variable name, and two is the input given as a string. It is therefore pretty straightforward to input the strings in their proper place. A more complex example is the agent constructor, which takes both a name, a rank and a team as input.

---

```

1 public override string PrintGeneratedCode(string one, string two
   )
2     {
3         string[] input = two.Split(',');
4
5         // agent one = new agent(two);
6         // one.team = two
7         return "agent " + one.ToLower().Trim() +
8             " = new agent(" + input[0].ToLower().Trim() +
9             ", " + input[1].ToLower().Trim() + ");\n" +
10            one.ToLower().Trim() + ".team = " +
11            input[2].ToLower().Trim();
12     }

```

---

Source code 9.9: The code printed for the agent constructor taking three arguments as input.


Here the input string must be split up and put in the correct places, but the method still takes the same arguments as the other overloads. These templates are what makes it possible to easily print the code for any method or constructor used in our language.

## 9.8 Error handling

It is very important that a programmer knows if the code he is writing is correct or not, so it's very important that the compiler tells him of any errors it encounters. Our compiler can catch errors after every parsing of the code it does, and it will also complete the parse, so it can report every error encountered in that parse.

To make the compiler easier to use, we have tried to make the error messages very descriptive. The programmer also gets a choice of whether he wants to print the compilation of the code, and error markers will be printed in the correct places if he does. We have also made it such that the programmer can recompile his code once he has corrected any errors without restarting the compiler.

There are also warning messages, but these only occur during the variable check (see section ??). The programmer can choose to either recompile or continue with the current compilation when a warning has been found.



```

MASSIVE (Multiple Agent Simulation System in Virtual Environment) Compiler

Command
  Object declaration
    Object: Agent
    Linked Identifier
      Identifier: newA
    Input
      Variable: "sw402a"
    Input
      Variable: 13
Command
  Linked Identifier
    Identifier: squad0
  Linked Identifier
    Identifier: add
  Method call
    Input
      Identifier: newA
These errors were found while PARSING:
<Line 8> Token "agen" <IDENTIFIER> is not a valid object.
<Line 17> Token "int" <IDENTIFIER> is not a valid type.
Would you like to compile again? y/n
is not an option.
Would you like to compile again? y/n

```

Figure 9.3: An example of how the compiler handles errors.

*sub conclusion - in this chapter we have made...*

# Part IV

## Discussion

*header...*

# Chapter 10

## Language Development

### 10.1 Compiler language

We decided early on to develop our compiler in C#, because it is a language we have a lot of experience with, and the object oriented paradigm is helpful in developing a compiler that uses an abstract syntax tree. There were problems managing reference types in C# though. Reference types are the kinds of objects that when created refer to an existing object in memory rather than creating a new instance of the object.

Several bugs occurred due to difficulty in anticipating when something is a referenced type as opposed to a separate object.

It might therefore have been beneficial to develop the compiler in a language like Haskell, which uses the functional paradigm. This is because purely functional languages do not allow side effects in their functions, meaning that existing data is not altered. Haskell is one such language [?], where new data is created and the alterations are applied to, so reference types are of no concern.

# Chapter 11

## Advantages in the MAS Language

### 11.1 OOP

### 11.2 MASSIVE

### 11.3 C# vs MASSIVE

---

---

Source code 11.1: C# ActionPattern code example

---

```
1 Main(400)
2 {
3     new ActionPattern ap("Action1");
4     ap.add("unit move up");
5     ap.add("unit move left");
6     ap.add("unit move up");
7 }
```

---

Source code 11.2: MASSIVE ActionPattern code example

---

---

---

Source code 11.3: C# Teams code example

---

```
1 Main(
```

---

Source code 11.4: MASSIVE Teams code example

---

---

---

Source code 11.5: C# Agent code example

---

```
1  Main(400)
2  {
3  new Agent derp(
4  }
```

---

Source code 11.6: MASSIVE Agent code example

---

---

Source code 11.7: C# Squad code example

---

---

Source code 11.8: MASSIVE Squad code example

---



# Chapter 12

## Use Case

# Chapter 13

## Conclusion

*tail...*

# Part V

## Epilogue

*header...*

# Chapter 14

## Future Work

The purpose of the compiler is to provide data that can be used in our wargame. Currently this is achieved by compiling our language into C# code, which then produces XML data when run. A more efficient way of doing it could be by compiling straight to XML, so a separate file with C# would not have to be generated, compiled and run.

Currently, our compiler and wargame are also separate, and the two could be integrated further by building them into one program, making for a more consistent experience. This would allow us to skip XML generation, and generate data directly to the wargame.

Other improvements could be made to the language itself. For example are action patterns very limited in functionality right now, and introducing language constructs that would allow for conditional movements could make a big difference. Allowing users of the language to define their own encounters, like what would happen if an agent met an agent with three times as much rank, would also be a big improvement.

*tail...*

# Part VI

## Appendix

## Chapter 15

## Appendix

# Chapter 16

## Other Games



Figure 16.1: Screen shot of the game user interface in Red Alert 2.





Figure 16.2: Screen shot of the game user interface in Command and Conquer 3.

# Chapter 17

## Full Implemented Grammar

### 17.1 BNF - Initialize

Imperative:

```
type ::= num | string | bool
identifier ::= letter | identifier letter | identifier digit
letter ::= a | A | b | B | c | C | d | D | e | E | f | F | g | G | h | H | i | I | j | J
| k | K | l | L | m | M | n | N | o | O | p | P | q | Q | r | R | s | S | t | T | u |
U | v | V | w | W | x | X | z | Z
token ::= = | num | string | bool | ; | new | . | Team | Agent | Squad |
actionPattern | Coordinates | ( | ) | , | | | void | if | while | for | true | false
| Main | + | - | / | * | < | > | <= | >= | == | else

actual-string ::= "chars"
chars ::= char | char chars
char ::= Any unicode
boolean ::= true | false
number ::= digits | digits.digits
digits ::= digit | digit digits
digit ::= 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0
object ::= Team | Agent | Coordinates | Squad
operator ::= + | - | / | * | < | > | <= | >= | ==
becomes ::= =

variable ::= number | actual-string | boolean

mainblock ::= Main ( ) block
```

block ::= commands

commands ::= command ; | command ; commands

command ::= declaration | method-call | if-command | while-command | for-command | assign-command

assign-command ::= identifier becomes variable | identifier becomes expression

while-command ::= *while* ( expression ) block

if-command ::= *if* ( expression ) block | *if* ( expression ) block *else* block

for-command ::= *for* ( type-declaration ; expression ; expression ) block

expression ::= parent-expression | numeric-expression

parent-expression ::= ( numeric-expression )

numeric-expression ::= primary-expression operator primary-expression | primary-expression operator-expression | parent-expression operator primary-expression  
| parent-expression operator expression

primary-expression ::= number | identifier | boolean

declaration ::= object-declaration | type-declaration

object-declaration ::= *new* object identifier ( input )

type-declaration ::= type identifier becomes type

method-call ::= identifier ( input ) | identifier . method-call

input ::= variable | identifier | input, variable | input, identifier |  $\varepsilon$

comment ::= // Any unicode eol | /\* Any uni-code \*/

actionPattern-declaration ::= *actionPattern* identifier action-block

action-block ::= action

action ::= actual-string eol

## 17.2 Starters

starters[[letter]] ::= a | A | b | B | c | C | d | D | e | E | f | F | g | G | h | H |  
i | I | j | J | k | K | l | L | m | M | n | N | o | O | p | P | q | Q | r | R | s | S | t  
| T | u | U | v | V | w | W | x | X | z | Z

starters[[type]] ::= n | N | s | S | b | B

starters[[identifier]] ::= starters[[letter]]

```

starters[[token]] ::= starters[[type]] ; | . | , | starters[[object]] | ( | ) | | | v |
V | i | I | f | F | m | M | starters[[operator]]

starters[[string]] ::= "
starters[[chars]] ::= starters[[char]]
starters[[char]] ::= any unicode
starters[[bool]] ::= t | T | f | F
starters[[num]] ::= 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9
starters[[digit]] ::= starters[[num]]
starters[[digits]] ::= starters[[num]]

starters[[object]] ::= t | T | a | A | c | C | s | S

starters[[operator]] ::= + | - | / | * | < | > | =

starters[[object-declaration]] ::= n | N
starters[[type-declaration]] ::= starters[[type]]
starters[[actionPattern-declaration]] ::= a | A

starters[[input]] ::= starters[[letter]] | starters[[num]] | ε

starters[[method-call]] ::= starters[[letter]]

starters[[while-command]] ::= w | W
starters[[if-command]] ::= i | I
starters[[for-command]] ::= f | F

starters[[expression]] ::= starters[[primary-expression]]
starters[[primary-expression]] ::= starters[[letter]]
starters[[single-command]] ::= starters[[while-command]] | starters[[if-command]]
| starters[[for-command]]
starters[[command]] ::= starters[[letter]] | starters[[block]] | starters[[num]]
starters[[commands]] ::= starters[[command]]
starters[[block]] ::=
starters[[mainblock]] ::= m | M

starters[[comment]] ::= /

```

## 17.3 EBNF - Initialize

type ::= *num* | *string* | *bool*  
identifier ::= letter (letter | digit)\* letter ::= a | A | b | B | c | C | d | D | e  
| E | f | F | g | G | h | H | i | I | j | J | k | K | l | L | m | M | n | N | o | O | p |  
P | q | Q | r | R | s | S | t | T | u | U | v | V | w | W | x | X | z | Z  
token ::= = | *num* | *string* | *bool* | ; | *new* | . | *Team* | *Agent* | *Squad* |  
*actionPattern* | *Coordinates* | ( | ) | , | | | *void* | *if* | *while* | *for* | *true* | *false*  
| *Main* | + | - | / | \* | < | > | <= | >= | == | *else*

actual-string ::= "chars"  
chars ::= char (char)\*  
char ::= Any unicode  
boolean ::= *true* | *false*  
number ::= digits | digits.digits  
digits ::= digit (digit)\*  
digit ::= 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0  
object ::= *Team* | *Agent* | *Coordinates* | *Squad*  
becomes ::= =  
operator ::= + | - | / | \* | < (=)+ | > (=)+ | = (=)+  
variable ::= number | actual-string | boolean

mainblock ::= Main ( ) block  
block ::= commands

commands ::= (command ;)\*  
command ::= declaration | method-call | if-command | while-command | for-  
command | assign-command

assign-command ::= identifier becomes (variable | expression)

while-command ::= *while* ( expression ) block  
if-command ::= *if* ( expression ) block (*else* block)+  
for-command ::= *for* ( type-declaration ; expression ; expression ) block

expression ::= parent-expression | numeric-expression  
parent-expression ::= ( numeric-expression )  
numeric-expression ::= (primary-expression | parent-expression)+ operator  
(primary-expression | expression)+  
primary-expression ::= number | identifier | boolean

declaration ::= object-declaration | type-declaration  
 object-declaration ::= *new* object identifier ( input )  
 type-declaration ::= type identifier becomes (variable | expression)  
  
 method-call ::= (identifier .)\* identifier ( input )  
 input ::= (variable | identifier ( , variable | , identifier)\* )+  
  
 comment ::= // Any unicode eol | /\* Any uni-code \*/  
  
 actionPattern-declaration ::= *actionPattern* identifier action-block  
 action-block ::= action  
 action ::= actual-string eol

## 17.4 Action Grammar

Declarative:

action ::= single-action EOL  
 selection ::= ID | identifier  
  
 ID ::= Agent ID | Squad ID | Team ID  
 Agent ID ::= num | *AGENT* num | *A* num  
 Squad ID ::= *SQUAD* num | *S* num  
 Team ID ::= *TEAM* num | *T* num  
  
 single-action ::= selection action-option move-option  
  
 action-option ::= *MOVE* | *ENCOUNTER*  
  
 move-option ::= *UP* | *DOWN* | *LEFT* | *RIGHT* | *HOLD* | coordinate |  
 ActionPattern Name  
 coordinate ::= num , num  
  
 num ::= digits | digits.digits  
 digits ::= digit | digit digits  
 digit ::= 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9  
  
 identifier ::= letter | identifier letter | identifier digit  
  
 token ::= *IDENTIFIER* | *MOVE* | *ENCOUNTER* | *HOLD* | *UP* | *DOWN* |

*LEFT* | *RIGHT* | *EOL*