

# Counting Processes and Asymptotic Theory

Henrique Laureano

<http://leg.ufpr.br/~henrique>

*Last modification on 2020-02-27 13:37:50*



Failure Time Models,  
5nd chapter of *The Statistical Analysis of Failure Time Data*  
Kalbfleisch and Prentice, 2002

---

## Outline

- » Counting processes and intensity functions
- » Martingales



A counting process  $N = \{N(t), t \geq 0\}$

is a stochastic process with  $N(0) = 0$  and whose value at time  $t$  counts the number of events that have occurred in the interval  $(0, t]$ .

- » The sample paths of  $N$  are nondecreasing step functions that jump whenever an event (or events) occur.
- » In continuous time,

no two counting processes can jump at the same time.

- » In discrete time, they can.

Number of events that occur in the interval  $[t, t + dt)$ ?

$$dN(t) = N(t^- + dt) - N(t^-).$$

Number of events that occur at time  $t$ ?  $\Delta N(t) = N(t) - N(t^-).$

---

And what about more general counting processes where individuals may experience more than one event? Chapters 8, 9, and 10.



# Filtration: history of events

observed counting process:  $N_i = \{N_i(t), t \geq 0\}$

underlying counting process:  $\tilde{N}_i = \{\tilde{N}_i(t), 0 \leq t\}$ ,  $\tilde{N}_i(t) = \mathbf{1}(T_i \leq t)$

at-risk process:  $\{Y_i(t), t \geq 0\}$ ,  $Y_i(t) = \mathbf{1}(T_i \geq t, C_i \geq t)$

---

key concept: **filtration**

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{N_i(u), Y_i(u^+), X_i(u^+), i = 1, \dots, n; 0 \leq u \leq t\}, \quad t > 0,$$

where

$$Y_i(u^+) = \lim_{s \rightarrow u^+} Y_i(s);$$

stochastic time-dependent covariate:  $X_i(t) = \{x_i(u) : 0 \leq u \leq t\}$ .

---

The notation  $\sigma[\cdot]$  specifies the **sigma algebra of events** generated by the variables given in the brackets.



# Intensity functions

The intensities or rates for the processes  $N_i$  are defined with reference to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t$ . If the censoring process is independent, the **intensity model** for the counting process  $N_i$  is

$$\mathbb{P}[dN_i(t) = 1 | \mathcal{F}_{t-}] = Y_i(t) d\Lambda_i(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad t > 0.$$

The hazard model can be written  $d\Lambda_i(t) = \mathbb{P}[d\tilde{N}_i(t) = 1 | X_i(t), \tilde{N}_i(t^-) = 0]$ .

---

$\Lambda_i$  is called the **cumulative intensity process** of the counting process  $\tilde{N}_i$ .

- » In the continuous case,  $\mathbb{P}[dN_i(t) = 1 | \mathcal{F}_{t-}] = Y_i(t) \lambda_i(t) dt$
- » In the discrete case,  $\mathbb{P}[dN_i(a_l) = 1 | \mathcal{F}_{a_l-}] = Y_i(a_l) \lambda_{il}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots$

$\lambda_i(t)$  and  $\lambda_{il}$  are the corresponding **intensity processes**.



# Martingales: Intro

$$\begin{aligned}M_i(t) &= N_i(t) - \int_0^t Y_i(u) \lambda_i(u) du, \quad t \geq 0. \\&= \int_0^t dM_i(u), \\dM_i(t) &= dN_i(t) - Y_i(t) \lambda_i(t) dt.\end{aligned}$$

If

$$\gg \mathbb{E}[dM_i(t) | \mathcal{F}_{t-}] = 0, \quad \forall t; \quad \equiv \quad \mathbb{E}[M_i(t) | \mathcal{F}_s] = M_i(s), \quad \forall s \leq t.$$

Then,  $M_i(t)$  is a **martingale**.

---

Consequences:

- $\gg \mathbb{E}[M_i(t)] = 0, \quad \forall t;$
- $\gg$  the process  $M_i(t)$  has uncorrelated increments, i.e.,  
 $\mathbb{E}[(M_i(t) - M_i(s)) \times M_i(s)] = 0, \quad \forall 0 < s < t.$



# Decomposing $N_i(t)$ into two processes

$$N_i(t) = \underbrace{\int_0^t Y_i(u) \lambda_i(u) du}_{\text{compensator of the counting process } N_i \text{ wrt the filtration } \mathcal{F}_t} + \underbrace{M_i(t)}_{\text{counting process martingale corresponding to } N_i(t)}$$

$$dN_i(t) = Y_i(t) \lambda_i(t) dt + dM_i(t).$$

---

In the discrete case, the discrete-time martingale is

$$\begin{aligned} N_i(t) &= \int Y_i(u) d\Lambda_i(u) + M_i(t) \\ &= \sum_{a_l \leq t} Y_i(a_l) \lambda_{il} + M_i(t), \\ dN_i(a_l) &= Y_i(a_l) \lambda_{il} + dM_i(a_l). \end{aligned}$$



# More about martingales

In essence, a **martingale** is a process that has no drift and whose increments are uncorrelated.

- » We say that  $M(t)$  is a mean zero **martingale** if  $\mathbb{E}[M(0)] = 0$ , and hence  $\mathbb{E}[M(t)] = 0, \forall t$ .
  - » The martingale  $M(t)$  is said to be **square integrable** (or have finite variance) if  $\mathbb{E}[M^2(t)] = \mathbb{V}[M(t)] < \infty, \forall t \leq \tau$ .
- 

It is useful to define two technical terms applied to a stochastic process  $U = \{U(t), t \geq 0\}$ .

## Adapted

$U$  is said to be **adapted** to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t$  if  $U(t)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$  measurable for **each**  $t \in [0, \tau]$ , i.e., the value of  $U(t)$  is fixed once  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is given.

## Predictable

$U$  is said to be **predictable** wrt the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t$  if  $U(t)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{t-}$  measurable for **all**  $t \in [0, \tau]$ , i.e., the value of  $U(t)$  is fixed once  $\mathcal{F}_{t-}$  is given.



# More about martingales

The process  $\{\bar{M}(t), 0 \leq t \leq \tau\}$  is a **submartingale** wrt  $\mathcal{F}_t$  if it is **adapted** and satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[\bar{M}(t)|\mathcal{F}_s] \geq \bar{M}(s) \quad \forall s \leq t \leq \tau.$$

» A counting process  $N(t)$  is a **submartingale**.

## Predictable variation process

The **predictable variation process** of a square-integrable martingale  $M$  is

$$\langle M \rangle(t) = \int_0^t \mathbb{V}[dM(u)|\mathcal{F}_{u-}].$$

Equivalently,  $d \langle M \rangle(t) = \mathbb{V}[dM(u)|\mathcal{F}_{u-}]$ .

In statistical terms, the primary role of the **predictable variation process** is that for given  $t$ ,  $\langle M \rangle(t)$  provides a systematic approach to estimating the variance of  $M(t)$ .



## Variance of $M(t)$

$$\mathbb{V}[M(t)] = \mathbb{E}[M^2(t)] = \mathbb{E}[\langle M \rangle (t)]$$

and  $\langle M \rangle (t)$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\mathbb{V}[M(t)]$ .

Usually,  $\langle M \rangle (t)$  involves the parameters of the model.

There is an alternative estimator of  $\mathbb{V}[M(t)]$  that in some problems is a function of observed quantities only. This is the **quadratic variation** or **optional variation process**  $[M](t)$ .

$$[M](t) = \sum_{s \leq t} (\Delta M(s))^2.$$



# Comparison of regression models

## note

Exponential and Weibull regression models can be considered as special cases of both models.



# Discrete failure time models

## Discrete failure time?

- » Grouping of continuous data due to imprecise measurement;
  - » Time itself may be discrete
    - » e.g., when the response time represents the number of episodes that occur prior to a terminal event.
- 

## Discrete regression models?

- » Grouped relative risk model;
- » Discrete and continuous relative risk model;
- » Discrete logistic model.



# Discrete regression models

## » Grouped relative risk model:

Discrete baseline cumulative hazard function :  $\Lambda_0(t) = \sum_{a_i \leq t} \lambda_i$ ,

this model is the uniquely appropriate one for grouped data from the continuous relative risk model.

## » Discrete and continuous relative risk model:

$$d\Lambda(t; x) = \exp(Z^\top \beta) d\Lambda_0(t),$$

which retains the multiplicative hazard relationship.

## » Discrete logistic model:

$$\frac{d\Lambda(t; x)}{1 - d\Lambda(t; x)} = \frac{d\Lambda_0(t)}{1 - d\Lambda_0(t)} \exp(Z^\top \beta),$$

specifies a linear log odds model for the hazard probability at each potential failure time.



