

ECE 458: Engineering Software For Maintainability
Senior Design Course
Spring 2015

Evolution 1 Analysis

Brian Bolze, Jeff Day, Henrique Rusca, Wes Koorbusch

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Project Plan	2
2.1	Design Goals	2
2.2	Language Choice	2
2.3	High-Level API	3
3	Design Review	3
3.1	Status	3
3.2	Design	3
3.3	Alternate Designs	3
4	Design Process Notes	3
4.1	Designed and Conducted Experiment	3
4.2	Analyzed and Interpreted Data	4
4.3	Designed System Component to Meet Desired Needs	4
4.4	Deal with Realistic Constraints	4
4.5	Contributed to Team Work and Interacted with Team Members	5
5	Next Steps	5

1 Introduction

Good software design is often seen not only as a science but as an art. It is a craft and, like any other type of engineering, is only mastered over time. The fundamentals of good software design, however, remain sound. In this senior design course, we plan on synthesizing our four years of knowledge through the development of a robust and long-lasting software application implementing a web-based calendar. We plan on applying the core principles of good design to our code and to our design process, while continuously evaluating, refining, and improving on our skills of the software engineering trade.

For our project, we built an application using the Ruby on Rails framework. This framework aided us in developing highly modular and reusable code due to the MVC architecture and Rails' powerful web application stack. With the additional help of great documentation and a strong community, we were able to develop a functional product within weeks, despite minimal domain expertise. While our current application has noticeable areas for improvement, our robust model, well thought out API, and extensive front-end templates promise a maintainable foundation for future development.

2 Project Plan

2.1 Design Goals

In order to set ourselves up for a successful project, it was really important to us that we lay out our design goals and priorities before moving forward. We aimed at grounding our design discussions in the fundamentals, which we hoped would help us set up a solid foundation for a project of such a significant size. The core design principles that we focused on primarily were modularity (Open-Closed Principle), re-usability, and the DRY principle. Another consideration that we put a lot of thought into was our language and framework choice, which will be expanded on in the next section. Besides promoting good code design, a well-chosen framework would allow us to get up to speed quickly, which was another important criteria for our team given our experience level with web applications. During our initial stages, a particular focus was placed on developing a robust, accurate, and extensible model for our data. This would lay the groundwork for our API with the front-end components, which we saw as vital to our success down the line.

2.2 Language Choice

The language decision was extremely important for our group for a few reasons. Firstly, no one in our group had previous experience in developing web applications. Accordingly, we stressed finding a language and framework with a strong community, good documentation, and abundant resources. Secondly, seeing as our project would be maintained over an entire semester, we strived to find an environment that would support and promote good design practices. To do this, we would need a language with strong object orientation and a framework with a highly modular architecture. Lastly, we also needed the flexibility to implement our application with high customizability to meet any obscure requirements. After extensive research and testing, we found that Ruby on Rails was able to meet our needs better than any other framework.

2.3 High-Level API

stuf stuf stuf

3 Design Review

3.1 Status

stuf stuf stuf

3.2 Design

As a result of utilizing the Ruby on Rails framework, we separated our program into four distinct large scale sections: the Model, View, Controller, and Database. A browser is used to

3.3 Alternate Designs

stuf stuf stuf

4 Design Process Notes

4.1 Designed and Conducted Experiment

Brian's Contribution

One of the components of the project that I worked on heavily was the model. One of the few annoyances of Rails, however, is in how it separates out its model files from the underlying database migration files. This made it very difficult to keep track of the attributes and associations with each of the models. In order to ensure that the work on the model was accurate and would not break any other parts of the system, I decided to write a collections of tests. One of the benefits of RoR is the integrated test environment. It allows a developer to write tests for models, controllers, and other components at varying granularities, as well as seed the database with sample data using *fixtures*. After writing a suite of tests for the model and running them against a few fixtures, I was able to quickly identify and resolve some errors in the way we were performing associations in the model. After running this experiment I was motivated to continue writing tests for controller components and others, which we can check in on continuously.

Jeff's Contribution

Once the team finalized our schema for the database, we began developing individual aspects of the entire design on each of our own git branches. However, we noticed that when testing newly added features on our own individual branches, the database would for some reason roll back to an older version of the database that would cause the website to break. For a very long time, we

thought it was because the database schema and model files were being transferred over to new branches incorrectly. However, after trying to implement new features on both individual branches and on the master branch, we realized that in order to update the database layout using rake db:migrate (the rails command to automatically update the database) on an individual branch, both the migrations and the database files needed to be committed to master whereas we were only committing the schema files. After running the experiment of developing on two different branches and seeing the different outcomes (along with some help from stackoverflow), we were able to solve this bug using an experiment

4.2 Analyzed and Interpreted Data

Brian's Contribution

Most of the analysis and interpretation I performed during this stage of the project was in the tests that I wrote. One of the tests I wrote for the User Model included generating 1000 new user emails and passwords and ensuring that all 1000 saved successfully. Even with encryption of all passwords, the test was able to complete in less than a second, which was a promising result when considering the deployment environment where there may be hundreds of new users signing on every second.

Jeff's Contribution

Included in the rails framework is the ability to write tests for all of the different models that we create. In order to confirm the functionality of our models and the associated database, we developed a number of test files that would create instances of our models, manipulate them in some way (add, edit, or delete specific fields of the model), and then display the result. In confirmation of our model design and function, we developed different data schemes to test and analyzed their outcomes to make sure that our implementation was sound.

4.3 Designed System Component to Meet Desired Needs

Brian's Contribution

Jeff's Contribution

Our team as a whole spent many hours designing our database schema and model to make sure that our design had the ability to meet all the desired needs specified by the evolution document. Although not every single requirement was implemented perfectly by the specified deadline, we are confident that our design deals with every possible relationship necessary to facilitate the completion of the requirements.

4.4 Deal with Realistic Constraints

Brian's Contribution

Jeff's Contribution

The fact that we made a decision part way through the development process to switch from a Django framework to a Rails framework made the time of total development much smaller. This restraint required us to quickly design our new model in Rails while still having to consider good design principles in what we decided to implement.

4.5 Contributed to Team Work and Interacted with Team Members

Brian's Contribution

Jeff's Contribution

I attended every single team meeting and highly contributed to the initial model design. Specifically, I helped white board out the model and collaborated with Henrique on integrating aspects of how events are displayed and how the overall look and feel of the application should be.

5 Next Steps

stuf stuf stuf