Chapter 6 Relational Calculus



KHOA CÔNG NGHỆ THÔNG TIN TRƯỜNG ĐẠI HỌC KHOA HỌC TỰ NHIÊN



Content

- Introduction
- □ Tuple Relational Calculus (TRC)
- □ Domain Relational Calculus (DRC)



Introduction

Is the formal query language Introduced by Codd in 1972, "Data Base Systems", Prentice Hall, p33-98 **Properties** Nonprocedural language – declarative language Calculus expression specifies what is to be retrieved rather than how to retrieve One declarative expression to specify a retrieval request There is no description of how to evaluate query A calculus expression may be written in different way The way it is written has no bearing on how a query should be evaluated



Introduction

- Categories
 - Tuple relational calculus TRC
 - SQL
 - Domain relational calculus DRC
 - QBE (Query By Example)
 - DataLog (Database Logic)



Content

- Introduction
- Tuple relational calculus
- □ Domain relational calculus



Tuple relational calculus – TRC

A simple tuple calculus query is of the form

- ☐ t is a tuple variable
 - Its value is any individual tuple from a relation
 - t.A is a value of a tuple t at an attribute A
- (vertical bar) is used to divide the query into two parts:
 - P is a conditional expression involving t
 - P(t) has the TRUE or FALSE value depending on t
 - The result is the set of all tuples t that satisfy P(t)



☐ Find employees whose salary is larger than 30000

```
{ t | EMPLOYEE(t) ∧ t.SALARY > 30000 }

P(t)

P(t)
```

- EMPLOYEE(t) : TRUE
 - If t is an instance of relation EMPLOYEE
- t.SALARY > 30000 : TRUE
 - If the attribute SALARY of tuple *t* has a value being larger than 30000
- The result is all tuples t which satisfy:
 - **LEMPLOYEE** and t.SALARY > 30000

7



Retrieve the SSN and first name of employees whose salary is larger than 30000

{ t.SSN, t.FNAME | EMPLOYEE(t) ∧ t.SALARY > 30000 }

□ The set of SSNs and first names of employees of tuples t such that t are instances of EMPLOYEE and their values are larger than 30000 at the attribute SALARY



- Find employees (SSN) who work for the department 'Nghien cuu'
 - t.SSN | EMPLOYEE(t)
 - s ∈ DEPARTMENT∧ s.DNAME = 'Nghien cuu'
 - Select tuples t that belong to relation EMPLOYEE
 - Compare t to a certain tuple s to find employees working for the department 'Nghien cuu'
 - Use the existential quantifier

 $(\exists t)(Q(t))$

Existing a tuple t of the relation R such that the expression Q(t) is TRUE \rightarrow the result of the existential quantifier is TRUE



Find employees (SSN) who work for the department 'Nghien cuu'

```
{ t.SSN | EMPLOYEE(t) ∧

(∃s) (DEPARTMENT(s) ∧

s.DNAME = 'Nghien cuu' ∧

s.DNUMBER = t.DNO )
}
```



Find employees (FNAME) who work on projects or who have dependents

```
{ t.FNAME | EMPLOYEE(t) \land ( 
 (\existss) (WORKS_ON(s) \land (t.SSN = s.ESSN) ) \lor (\existsu) (DEPENDENT(u) \land (t.SSN = u.ESSN) ) }
```



 Retrieve the FNAME of employees who participate in projects and have dependents

```
{ t.FNAME | EMPLOYEE(t) \land (\existss) (WORKS_ON(s) \land (t.SSN = s.ESSN) ) \land (\existsu) (DEPENDENT(u) \land (t.SSN = u.ESSN) ) }
```



□ Find the FNAME of employees who work on projects and have no dependents

```
{ t.FNAME | EMPLOYEE(t) \land (\existss) (WORKS_ON(s) \land (t.SSN = s.ESSN) ) \land \neg(\existsu) (DEPENDENT(u) \land (t.SSN = u.ESSN)) }
```



□ For each project in 'TP HCM', find the project number, the department number that controls the project and the FNAME of the manager

```
{ s.PNUMBER, s.DNUM, t.FNAME | PROJECT(s)\land EMPLOYEE(t)\land (s.PLOCATION = 'TP HCM')\land (Ju) (DEPARTMENT(u)\land (u.DNUMBER = s.DNUM\land u.MGRSSN = t.SSN)) }
```



Find employees (SSN) who work on <u>all</u> projects

Use the universal quantifier

If Q is TRUE with all tuples t of relation R, the universal quantifier is TRUE; otherwise FALSE.



Example 8a

☐ Find employees whose salary is highest.

```
{ t.SSN, t.LNAME, t.FNAME | EMPLOYEE(t) \land (\foralle) (EMPLOYEE(e) (t.Salary >= e.Salary)) }
```



Find employees (SSN, FNAME, LNAME) who work on all projects

```
{ t.SSN, t.LNAME, t.FNAME | EMPLOYEE(t) \land (\foralls) (PROJECT(s) \land (\existsu) (WORKS_ON(u) \land u.PNO = s.PNUMBER \land u.ESSN = t.SSN )) }
```





Find employees (SSN, LNAME, FNAME) who work on all projects controlled by the department 4

Use the "implies" operator

 $P \Rightarrow Q$

If P then Q



□ Find employees (SSN, LNAME, FNAME) who work on all projects controlled by the department 4 { t.SSN, t.LNAME, t.FNAME | EMPLOYEE(t) ∧
(∀s) (PROJECT(s) ∧
(s.DNUM = 4) ⇒ ((∃u) (WORKS_ON(u) ∧
u.PNO = s.PNUMBER ∧
u.ESSN = t.SSN))) }



Example 9 – Solution 2

☐ Find employees (SSN, LNAME, FNAME) who work on all projects controlled by the department 4 { t.SSN, t.LNAME, t.FNAME | EMPLOYEE(t)∧ $(\forall s)$ (PROJECT(s) \land s.DNUM \neq 4 \vee (\exists u)(WORKS_ON(u) \wedge u.PNO = s.PNUMBER ^ u.ESSN = t.SSN)) }



 a. Find employees whose salary is larger than at least one employee of department 4.

□ b. Find employees whose salary is larger than all employees of department 4.



Formal definition

☐ A general expression is of the form

{
$$t_1.A_i$$
, $t_2.A_j$, ..., $t_n.A_m$ | $P(t_1, t_2, ..., t_n, ..., t_{n+m})$ }

- \Box t₁, t₂, ..., t_n are tuple variables
- \square A_i, A_j, ..., A_m are attributes of tuples t
- P is a condition or well-formed formula
 - P is made up of predicate calculus atoms



Tuple variable

☐ Free variable

```
\{ t \mid t \in \mathsf{EMPLOYEE} \land \mathsf{t.SALARY} > 30000 \}
t is a free variable
```

■ Bound variable

- ___ (i)
- t ∈ R

t ∈ EMPLOYEE

- t is a tuple variable
- R is a relation
- (ii)

 $t.A \theta s.B$

t.SSN = s.ESSN

- A is an attribute of the tuple variable t
- B is an attribute of the tuple variable s
- θ is comparison operators, eg. < , > , \le , \ge , \ne , =
- ☐ (iii)

 $t.A \theta c$

C is a constant

t.SALARY > 30000

- A is an attribute of the tuple variable t
- θ is comparison operators, eg. <, >, \le , \ge , \ne , =



Each of atoms evaluates to either TRUE or FALSE for a specific combination of tuples

☐ Formula (i)
$$t \in R$$

- TRUE value if *t* is a tuple of the specified relation *R*
- FALSE value if *t* does not belong to *R*

R	Α	В	С
	α	10	1
	α	20	1

$$t1 = \langle \alpha, 10, 1 \rangle$$
 $t1 \in R$ has the TRUE value

$$t2 = \langle \alpha, 20, 2 \rangle$$
 $t2 \in R$ has the FALSE value



☐ Formula (ii) t.A θ s.B and (iii) t.A θ c

If the tuple variables are assigned to tuples such that they satisfy the condition, then the atom is TRUE

R	Α	В	С
	α	10	1
	α	20	1

If *t* is the tuple $<\alpha$, 10, 1>

Then t.B > 5 has the TRUE value (10 > 5)



Rules

- (1) Every atom is formula
- (2) If P is a formula then
 - ☐ ¬P is a formula
 - ☐ (P) is a formula
- (3) If P₁ and P₂ are formulas then
 - □ P₁ ∨ P₂ is a formula
 - \square P₁ \wedge P₂ is a formula
 - \square P₁ \Rightarrow P₂ is a formula



Rules

- (4) If P(t) is a formula then
 - \Box $\forall t \in R (P(t))$ is a formula
 - \blacksquare TRUE when P(t) is TRUE for all tuples in R
 - FALSE when there is one tuple that makes P(t) FALSE
 - $\square \exists t \in R (P(t)) \text{ is a formula}$
 - TRUE when there exists some tuple that makes P(t) TRUE
 - FALSE when P(t) is FALSE for all tuples t in R



Rules

- □ (5) If P is an atom then
 - □ Tuple variables t in P are free variables
- □ (6) Formulas $P=P_1 \land P_2$, $P=P_1 \lor P_2$, $P=P_1 \Rightarrow P_2$
 - A variable t in P is free or bound variable will depends on its role in P₁ and P₂



Transform

- $\square (i) P_1 \wedge P_2 = \neg (\neg P_1 \vee \neg P_2)$
- \square (ii) $\forall t \in R (P(t)) = \neg \exists t \in R (\neg P(t))$
- \square (iii) $\exists t \in R (P(t)) = \neg \forall t \in R (\neg P(t))$
- \square (iv) $P \Rightarrow Q = \neg P \lor Q$



Examine

```
\{ t \mid \neg(EMPLOYEE(t)) \}
```

- Unsafe
 - Many tuples in the universe that are not EMPLOYEE tuples
 - Even though they do not exist in the database
 - The result is infinitely numerous



- Safe expression
 - Guarantee to yield a finite number of tuples
- A formula P is called safe expression
 - If its resulting values are from the domain of P
 - The domain of a tuple relational calculus expression: DOM(P)
 - The set of all values
 - Either appear as constant values in P
 - Or exist in any tuple in the relation referenced in P



Example

```
\{ t \mid EMPLOYEE(t) \land t.SALARY > 30000 \}
```

- □ DOM(EMPLOYEE(t) \wedge t.SALARY > 30000)
- The set of values
 - Lager than 30000 at the attribute SALARY
 - Other values at the remaining attributes that appear in EMPLOYEE
- Safe expression



Content

- Introduction
- ☐ Tuple relational calculus
- Domain relational calculus



Domain relational calculus

An expression of the domain calculus is of the form

$$\{ x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \mid P(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \}$$

- $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ are domain variables
 - Accepting single values from the domain of attributes
- \square P is a formula of variables $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$
 - P is formed from atoms
- The result
 - The set of values such that when assigned to variables x_i, they make P TRUE



☐ Find employees whose salary is larger than 30000

```
{ r, s | (\exists x) (EMPLOYEE(p, q, r, s, t, u, v, x, y, z) \land x > 30000 ) }
```



□ Find employees (SSN) who work for the department 'Nghien cuu'



☐ Find employees (SSN, LNAME, FNAME) who have no dependents

```
{ p, r, s | (\existss) (EMPLOYEE(p, q, r, s, t, u, v, x, y, z) \land \neg(\existsa) (DEPENDENT(a, b, c, d, e) \land a = s )) }
```



- \Box (i) $|\langle x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \rangle \in R$
 - x_i is a domain variable
 - R is a relation with *n* attributes
- □ (ii) x θ y
 - x, y are domain variables
 - Domains of *x* and *y* are identical
 - θ is comparison operators, eg. <, >, \le , \ge , \ne , =
- ☐ (iii) x θ c
 - c is a constant
 - x is a domain variable
 - θ is comparison operators, eg. < , > , \le , \ge , \ne , =



Discussion

- Atoms evaluate to either TRUE or FALSE for a set of values
 - Called the truth values of the atoms
- Rules and transforms are in the similar way to the tuple calculus



Examine

```
\{ p, r, s \mid \neg EMPLOYEE(p, q, r, s, t, u, v, x, y, z) \}
```

- Values in the result do not belong to the domain of the expression
- Unsafe



Examine

$$\{x \mid \exists y (R(x, y)) \land \exists z (\neg R(x, z) \land P(x, z)) \}$$
Formula 1 Formula 2

- R is a relation with a finite number of values
- We also have a finite number of values that does not belong to R
- Formula 1: examine values in R only
- Formula 2: could not validate cause we do not know the finite number of values of variable z



Expression

$$\{ x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \mid P(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \}$$

is safe if:

- ☐ Values that appear in tuples of the expression must belong to the domain of *P*
- \Box \exists quantifiers: expression $\exists x (Q(x))$ is TRUE if
 - Values of x belong to DOM(Q) and make Q(x) TRUE
- \Box \forall quantifiers: expression \forall x (Q(x)) is TRUE if
 - Q(x) is TRUE for all values of x belonging to DOM(Q)



