

STAT 135 Lecture 9

Henry Liev

10 July 2025

Remark 0.1

Simple vs Simple Hypothesis Testing

Two possible values, $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$

Simple vs simple hypothesis test takes the form

$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim f$

$H_0 : f = f_0$

$H_1 : f = f_1$

Lemma 0.2 (Neyman-Pearson Lemma)

Likelihood ratio tests are good in simple vs simple hypothesis testing.

Method 0.3 (Likelihood Ratio Test playbook)

1. Write down likelihood ratios (LR, Λ)
2. Find c such that $P(LR < c | H_0) = \alpha$

Example 0.4

Normal with known variance $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, σ^2 is known

$H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$

$H_1 : \mu = \mu_1$

Solution.

$$LR = \frac{\exp \left[\frac{1}{-2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_0)^2 \right]}{\exp \left[\frac{1}{-2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_1)^2 \right]} \quad \text{Take log of LR}$$

$$\log(LR) = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_0)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_1)^2 = 2n\bar{X}(\mu_0 - \mu_1) + n\mu_1^2 - n\mu_0^2$$

Reject \bar{X} large, find a c such that $P(\bar{X} > c | H_0) = \alpha$ ■

Example 0.5

Laplace example

Have 1 observation $X \sim f(x|\sigma) = \frac{1}{2\sigma} e^{-\frac{|x|}{\sigma}}$ $H_0 : \sigma = 1$ $H_1 : \sigma = 2$ **Solution.**

$$\Lambda = \frac{\frac{1}{2}e^{-|x|}}{\frac{1}{4}e^{-\frac{|x|}{2}}}$$

Reject for small values of Λ ie $-|x| + \frac{|x|}{2}$, small values $-\frac{|x|}{2}$, ie large values of $|x|$ Need to find a critical value, ie c such that $P(|X| > c | H_0) = \alpha$ Under H_0 $X \sim f(x|1) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-|x|}$

$$2 \int_c^\infty \frac{1}{2} e^{-x} dx = \alpha, \quad \alpha = 0.05 \text{ normal value of } \alpha$$

$$-e^{-x} \Big|_c^\infty = 0.05 \rightarrow c = -\log 0.05 \approx 3$$

■

Example 0.6 p -values $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{25} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$ $H_0 : \mu = 1 \quad H_1 : \mu = 2$ **Solution.** Reject for large values of \bar{X} . Under the null hypothesis $\bar{X} \sim N(1, \frac{1}{25})$

α	Reject for $\bar{X} > c$
0.10	$\bar{X} \geq 1.26$
0.05	$\bar{X} \geq 1.33$
0.03	$\bar{X} \geq 1.37$

Suppose we have that $\bar{X} = 1.37$, then we reject the null if we have $\alpha = 0.05$ To find the minimal α value where we would reject \bar{X} , we just take $1 - \text{cdf}(\bar{X})$

■

Definition 0.7 (p-value)Without setting α in advance, can look at test statistic and ask what is the smallest α for which we reject H_0 Reject if $p\text{-value} \leq \alpha$ Ways to interpret the p -value

1. Smallest α for which we would reject H_0
2. $P(\text{Observing more extreme test statistics than we observed})$
3. "Strength of evidence" against H_0

Method 0.8 (Composite Tests)

$\theta \in \Omega$
 $H_0 : \theta \in \omega_0$
 $H_1 : \theta \in \omega_1$
 $\omega_0 \cup \omega_1 = \Omega$
 $\omega_0 \cap \omega_1 = \emptyset$
 $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim f(x|\theta)$

Method 0.9 (Generalized Likelihood Ratio Test)

$$\Lambda^* = \frac{\max_{\theta \in \omega_0} f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta)}{\max_{\theta \in \omega_1} f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta)} = \frac{\text{Strongest case for } H_0}{\text{Strongest case for } H_1}$$
$$\Lambda = \frac{\max_{\theta \in \omega_0} f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta)}{\max_{\theta \in \Omega} f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta)} = \min(\Lambda^*, 1)$$

Example 0.10

$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$
 $H_0 : \mu = 5$
 $H_1 : \mu \neq 5$

Solution.

$$\omega_0 = \{5\}, \omega_1 = \mathbb{R} - \{5\}$$

$$\text{Generalized LR Stat : } \Lambda = \frac{\exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - 5)^2 \right]}{\exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{X})^2 \right]}$$

Reject for small values of Λ

Take logs etc., simplifies to

“reject for large values of $n(\bar{x} - 5)^2$ ”, $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - 5) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, $n(\bar{X} - 5)^2 \sim \chi_1^2$

Find k such that $P(n(\bar{X} - 5)^2 > k | H_0) = \alpha$, reject for large values of $n(\bar{X} - 5)^2$, same as reject large values of $|\bar{X} - 5|$ ■

Theorem 0.11 (Wilk's Theorem)

Why am I mentioning χ^2 , more generally,

$$-2 \log \Lambda \sim \chi_k^2, k = \dim \omega_1 - \dim \omega_0$$

Use the known distribution to get critical values

Reject for large values of $-2 \log \Lambda$ (quantiles of χ_k^2)