

# STAT 151A Lecture 34

Henry Liev

14 November 2025

## Remark 0.1 (Fisher Information for Logistic Regression)

Focus on simple logistic regression

$$y_i \sim \text{Bern}(\pi_i)$$

$$\pi_i = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\alpha - \beta x_i)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_n(\alpha, \beta) &= - \sum \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}^2} \log f(y_i | x_i, \alpha, \beta) \right] \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \\ &= - \sum \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2} \log f(y_i | x_i, \alpha, \beta) & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} \log f(y_i | x_i, \alpha, \beta) \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} \log f(y_i | x_i, \alpha, \beta) & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta^2} \log f(y_i | x_i, \alpha, \beta) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= - \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2} \sum \log f(y_i | x_i, \alpha, \beta) & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} \sum \log f(y_i | x_i, \alpha, \beta) \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} \sum \log f(y_i | x_i, \alpha, \beta) & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta^2} \sum \log f(y_i | x_i, \alpha, \beta) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

From Monday (Max likelihood problem):

$$\frac{\partial l(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial \alpha} = \sum y_i - \sum \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\alpha - \beta x_i)}$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \beta} = \sum y_i x_i - \sum \frac{x_i}{1 + \exp(-\alpha - \beta x_i)}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \alpha^2} = - \sum \frac{\exp(-\alpha - \beta x_i)}{[1 + \exp(-\alpha - \beta x_i)]^2} = - \sum \pi_i (1 - \pi_i)$$

$$- \sum \frac{\exp(-\alpha - \beta x_i)}{[1 + \exp(-\alpha - \beta x_i)]^2} \cdot \frac{[\exp(\alpha + \beta x_i)]^2}{[\exp(\alpha + \beta x_i)]^2} = \sum \frac{\exp(\alpha + \beta x_i)}{[1 + \exp(-\alpha - \beta x_i)]}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} = - \sum \pi_i (1 - \pi_i) x_i$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \beta^2} = \sum \pi_i (1 - \pi_i) x_i^2$$

$$\mathcal{I}_n(\alpha, \beta) = - \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} - \sum \pi_i (1 - \pi_i) & - \sum \pi_i (1 - \pi_i) x_i \\ - \sum \pi_i (1 - \pi_i) x_i & - \sum \pi_i (1 - \pi_i) x_i^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \vec{1} & \vec{x} \end{pmatrix}^T \text{diag}(\pi_i (1 - \pi_i)) \begin{pmatrix} \vec{1} & \vec{x} \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{V} = \text{diag}(\pi_i (1 - \pi_i))$$

$$\text{Var} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha} \\ \hat{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{n \rightarrow \infty}{\approx} [\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V} \mathbf{X}]^{-1}$$

---

**Remark 0.2 (Tests and CIs)**

$$H_0 : \beta_j = 0$$

From our theory, under  $H_0$

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_j - 0}{\widehat{\text{SE}}(\hat{\beta}_j)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} N(0, 1), \widehat{\text{SE}}(\hat{\beta}_j) = \sqrt{(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{X})_{jj}^{-1}}$$

In practice compute  $z_j = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{\widehat{\text{SE}}(\hat{\beta}_j)}$  Compare to  $N(0, 1)$  (Wald test)

Can get CIs:  $\hat{\beta}_j \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \cdot \widehat{\text{SE}}(\hat{\beta}_j)$

What about  $F$ -style tests?

$$H_0 : L\beta = c$$

Under  $H_0$ :  $\left[ L\hat{\beta} - c \right]^\top \left[ L\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta})L^\top \right]^{-1} (L\hat{\beta} - c) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_q^2, q = \text{Rank}(L)$

Connect to NLM:

$$H_0 : \beta_j = 0 \quad \frac{\hat{\beta}_j - 0}{\widehat{\text{SE}}(\hat{\beta}_j)} \sim t_{n-(p+1)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} N(0, 1)$$

$$H_0 : L\beta = c \quad \left[ L\hat{\beta} - c \right]^\top \left[ L\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta})L^\top \right]^{-1} (L\hat{\beta} - c) \sim F_{q, (n-(p+1))} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_q^2$$

These are all Wald tests on CIs

Based on Theorem B (Asymptotic distribution of  $\hat{\beta}$ )

Another way to do inference after MLE: based on asymptotic distribution of likelihood ratio

Let's say we fit 2 models full model:  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and reduced model:  $\mathcal{L}_0$

$$\text{Compute } -2 \log \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_0}{\mathcal{L}_1} \right] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_q^2, q = p_1 - p_0$$

In practice, Likelihood ratio test and Wald test (of same hypothesis) differ slightly, likelihood ratio test is often preferred

In R `anova(glm.full, glm.reduced, "wald" or "lrt")`

More details: Fox appendix D.6.3

Likelihood Ratio gives use  $R^2$  analog

A **saturated model** fits the data perfectly

For logistic regression, when  $y_i = 1 \Rightarrow \pi_i = 1, y_i = 0 \Rightarrow \pi_i = 0$

$$\mathcal{L}_{sat} = \prod y_i^{\pi_i} (1 - y_i)^{1-\pi_i} = \prod 1^1 \cdot 0^0 = 1$$

TotSS = RegSS for a model where  $\hat{y} = y$

$$\text{Instead of } R^2 = \frac{\text{RegSS}}{\text{TotSS}}$$

For logistic set residual deviance for model  $m$ :  $\text{ErrSS} \leftrightarrow D_m = -2 \log \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_m}{\mathcal{L}_{sat}} \right] = -2 \log \mathcal{L}_m$

$R^2$  analog:  $1 - \frac{D_m}{D_0}$ , where  $D_0$  is the residual deviance for a model with just an intercept