

Electrical Measurements on Endomembranes

Adam Bertl; Eduardo Blumwald; Roberto Coronado; Robert Eisenberg; Goeff Findlay; Dietrich Gradmann; Bertil Hille; Kurt Kohler; Hans-Albert Kolb; Enid MacRobbie; Gerhard Meissner; Christopher Miller; Erwin Neher; Philip Palade; Omar Pantoja; Dale Sanders; Julian Schroeder; Clifford Slayman; Roger Spanswick; Alan Walker; Alan Williams

Science, New Series, Vol. 258, No. 5084 (Nov. 6, 1992), 873-874.

Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0036-8075%2819921106%293%3A258%3A5084%3C873%3AEMOE%3E2.0.CO%3B2-Y

Science is currently published by American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/journals/aaas.html.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to creating and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Science

Published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS), Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in Science-including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Membership/Circulation

Director: Michael Spinella

Fulfillment: Marlene Zendell, Manager, Gwen Huddle, Assistant Manager, Mary Curry, Member Service Supervisor, Pat Butler, Helen Williams, Laurie Baker, Member Service Representatives

Promotions: Dee Valencia, Manager; Hilary Baar,

Angela Mumeka, Coordinators

Washington, D.C.: 202-326-6417

Research: Kathleen Markey, Manager; Robert

Smariga, Assistant
Financial Analyst: Jacquelyn Roberts Administrative Assistant: Nina Araujo de Kobes

Science Member Services Marion, Ohio: 800-347-6969

Advertising and Finance

Associate Publisher: Beth Rosner Advertising Sales Manager: Susan A. Meredith Recruitment Advertising Manager: Janis Crowley Advertising Business Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold

Financial: Julie Eastland, Manager, Andrew Joyce,

Marketing Manager: Laurie Hallowell

Traffic Manager: Tina Turano

Recruitment: Michele Pearl, Operations Manager, Dan Moran, Traffic Manager, Debbie Cummings, Millie Muñoz-Cumming, Angela Wheeler Sales

Reprints Manager: Corrine Harris Permissions Manager: Arlene Ennis

Advertising Assistants: Allison Pritchard, Kelly Nickerson

Send materials to Science Advertising, 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005, or FAX 202-682-0816.

SALES: Northeast/E. Canada: Fred Dieffenbach, 802-867-5581, FAX 802-867-4464 • Mid-Atlantic: Richard Teeling, 201-904-9774, FAX 201-904-9701 • Southeast: Mark Anderson, 305-856-8567, FAX 305-856-1056 • Midwest: Donald Holbrook, 708-386-6921, FAX 708-386-6950 · West Coast/W. Canada: Neil Boylan, 415-673-9265, FAX 415-673-9267 • Germany/Świtzerland/Austria: Ric Bessford, World Media Services, Germany; +49-089-39-00-55, FAX +49-089-39-00-15 • Japan and Far East: Mashy Yoshikawa, Orient Echo, Inc., Japan; +3 3235-5961, FAX +3 3235-5852 • UK, Scandinavia, France, Italy, Belgium, The Netherlands: Andrew Davies, Great Britain; +44-457-838-519. FAX +44-457-838-898

European Recruitment: AnneMarie Vis; +44-223-424-695, FAX +44-223-424-695 • Other: For recruitment advertising inquiries contact Science Advertising: 202-326-6555; For product advertising inquiries contact 202-326-6544, FAX 202-682-0816.

Information for Contributors appears on pages 600-602 of the 31 July 1992 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Science Telephone: 202-326-6500. TDD 202-408-7770. London office: 071-435-4291. Other AAAS Programs: 202-326-6400.

LETTERS

Electrical Measurements on Endomembranes

In recent years, patch-electrode and lipid bilayer techniques have fostered rapidly expanding electrophysiological research on endomembranes (membranes from intracellular organelles), particularly those of the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) and the plant vacuole. However, the use of different sign conventions for designating transmembrane voltages, currents, and transporter functions has made the resulting literature unnecessarily difficult to read. We therefore wish to propose adoption of the following uniform convention

Specifically, let the potential difference $(\Delta \Psi)$, or voltage (V), across the endomembrane (m) be calculated as

$$\Delta \Psi = V_{\rm m} = V_{\rm cytosol} - V_{\rm noncytosol}$$

which, in the case of plant vacuoles, would give the vacuolar membrane (tonoplast) voltage as

$$\Delta\Psi = V_m = V_{cytosol} - V_{vacuole}$$
 and in the case of the SR

$$\Delta \Psi = V_{\rm m} = V_{\rm cytosol} - V_{\rm lumen}$$

This convention treats the tonoplast and the SR membrane as electrically equivalent to cell plasma membranes, for which membrane voltage has generally been written

$$V_{\rm m} = V_{\rm cytosol} - V_{\rm out}$$

There are three principal reasons for applying this convention to endomembranes. (i) In the topology of development, the side of the membranes that contacts cytosol is homologous for plasmalemma, vacuoles, SR, endoplasmic reticulum, Golgi, endocytotic and exocytotic vesicles, and other simple endo-organelles. (ii) In many cases, the organellar lumen is functionally equivalent to extracellular space. (iii) Most of the terminology needed to describe transport processes in endomembranes already exists for the cell plasma membrane and can be transferred directly for use with endomembranes, provided

 $V_{\rm m}$ is taken as $V_{\rm cytosol} - V_{\rm lumen}$. Some useful additional definitions that arise naturally from the above, and from corresponding usages at plasma membranes, are as follows. Positive or outward currents across the endomembrane would represent cation flow out of the cytosol (to the organellar lumen), and outward rectifiers (channels or "carriers") would permit current preferentially out of the cytosol, that is, would open as $V_{\rm m}$

moves in the positive direction. Conversely, negative or inward currents across the endomembrane would represent cation flow into the cytosol (from the organellar lumen), and inward rectifiers would admit current preferentially into the cytosol, that is, would open with negative-going $V_{\rm m}$. (In plots and drawings, positive quantities should be depicted upward or to the right, and negative quantities downward or to the left.)

The proposed convention specifically rejects use of the electrical ground or amplifier input as points of reference, because most recording techniques permit different physical orientations of membranes relative to the amplifier terminals. The use of such nonanatomic references has resulted in descriptions that are inconsistent even within single research reports.

In circumstances where the sum of plasma-membrane and endomembrane voltages is measured, voltage should be reported as

$$V_{\text{total}} = V_{\text{lumen}} - V_{\text{out}}$$

That is, extracellular space is treated as the ultimate reference point. (This situation often arises, for example, in experiments made on whole plant cells with conventional penetrating electrodes where, de facto, V_{vacuole} has come to mean $V_{cellsap} - V_{out}$.) Individual transport systems reside in single membranes, however, so measurements across the pair of membranes (plasma membrane plus endomembrane) do not allow a simple and consistent terminology for currents and conductances.

This general recommendation is being made after informal discussions among many concerned laboratories. For practical reasons, these discussions have not settled on a satisfactory sign convention to use with the more complicated organelles, such as mitochrondria and chloroplasts, where the intermembrane spaces (and the thylakoidal interior) are anatomically equivalent to the cell exterior.

Adam Bertl, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06510; Eduardo Blumwald, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3B2, Canada; Roberto Coronado, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706; Robert Eisenberg, Rush Medical College, Chicago, IL 60612; Goeff Findlay, Flinders University of South Australia, Adelaide, Australia 5001; Dietrich Gradmann, Universität Göttin-gen, D3400 Göttingen, Germany; Bertil Hille, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195; Kurt Köhler, Universität Bonn, D5300 Bonn, Germany; Hans-Albert Kolb, Universität Konstanz, D7750 Konstanz, Germany; Enid MacRobbie, Cambridge University, Cambridge CB2 3EA, United Kingdom; Gerhard Meissner, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599; Christopher Miller, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02254; Erwin Neher, Max-Planck-Institut für Biophysikalische Chemie, D3400 Göttingen, Germany; Philip Palade, University of Texas, Galveston, TX 77550; Omar Pantoja, Oxford University, Oxford OX1 3RB, United Kingdom; Dale Sanders, University of York, Heslington, York YO1 5DD, United Kingdom; Julian Schroeder, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093; Clifford Slayman,* Yale University, New Haven, CT 06510; Roger Spanswick, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853; Alan Walker, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia 2006; Alan Williams, National Heart and Lung Institute, London SW3 6L4, United Kingdom.

*Corresponding author.

Bioremediation Review

Richard Stone's article about the use of biore-mediation for destroying oil on the beach in Alaska after the 1989 Exxon Valdez oil spill in Prince William Sound (News & Comment, 17 July, p. 320) is somewhat misleading with regard to the technical review of the project by the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) Science Advisory Board (SAB). The headline and the text at the beginning of the article imply that the SAB draft report concluded that bioremediation was generally ineffective. In fact, the draft SAB report and EPA's own study clearly state that bioremediation was effective, but not at all sites. Given

that this is the first detailed, full-scale assessment in the field of bioremediation of an oil spill of great magnitude, the finding that bioremediation worked at two of the sites is considered to be a positive and significant accomplishment. Field research in heterogeneous environments exposed to highly variable conditions frequently does not give identical results at different sites or at different times.

Admittedly, the studies and evaluations conducted by EPA have several limitations. Many of these limitations were known to the researchers involved in the field and laboratory assessments. Many are pointed out in the SAB report. In contrast to the text of the article, the SAB did not conclude "that the treatment's efficacy wasn't all it was cracked up to be." We did, however, seek to further define the limitations of the program, as establishing those deficiencies and shortcomings is a necessary step in increasing the frequency of success of bioremediation.

The SAB considers this EPA project to be a significant accomplishment that should lay the foundation for improved research and planning for emergency responses in the future. Implementation of the SAB recommendations by EPA should contribute to that understanding. In addition, the SAB urges EPA to join with other informed parties in sharing data and developing guidance and principles to respond to future oil spills.

Martin Alexander*
Department of Soil, Crop and
Atmospheric Sciences,
Cornell University,
Ithaca, NY 14853
Raymond C. Loehr†
Department of Civil Engineering,
University of Texas,
Austin, TX 78712

*Chair, Alaskan Bioremediation Task Group, Science Advisory Board, Environmental Protection Agency. †Chair, Executive Committee, Science Advisory Board, Environmental Protection Agency.

Biomimesis: An Apology

National science policy has been a primary focus of mine for many years. It is a subject to which I have dedicated considerable time and energy. The issue of overselling science is an issue in national science policy that deserves not only mine but others' time and energy. Over the past year, I have conducted a public debate with editors from *Nature*, *The Scientist*, and *Research/Penn State* about overselling science regarding biomimesis and bio-derived materials (Research News, 30 Aug. 1991, p. 968).

However, as a part of that debate, I am afraid that a colleague of mine, Patricia Bianconi, may have been unfairly caught in the middle, and to the extent that she feels her research has been a victim in this debate, I extend to her this apology, as I never intended for her research itself to be the focus of the debate.

In the policy memo I privately circulated to various agencies and persons, I used the world "duplicating." The statement was, "this result—duplicating work precipitating very small crystals of any one of a dozen phases including CdS in an inorganic gel. ..." While I believe the work derives from the general experiments done by many on crystallization in gels, Bianconi's work had the special feature that she obtained an organized array of crystals of cadmium sulfide in an organic host. In this respect her work did not duplicate earlier research and contains novel and unreported findings. The significance of this work will, as in all science, be determined over the course of time. I recognize that some well-respected scientists find her results to be quite significant.

It was also imprecise for me to state that Bianconi had not "read or cited" the literature. I had no first-hand knowledge of whether she had or had not read the literature. It was not cited. In large part, the literature to

WE'LL SHIP YOUR OLIGO IN 48 HOURS, OR WE'LL EAT THE NEXT ONE.

You get your primer or probe on time, or you get your next one for free. No questions, no quibbles. For \$5.00 a base (and \$20 setup charge), you'll receive a research-ready, cartridge-purified product, complete with PAGE gel pedigree. And you'll get it on time, or we'll be eating more than our words.

SPECIAL OFFER! Call 1-800-2345-DNA, or fax a copy of this coupon, and we'll drop the setup charges on you first order. That's a savings of \$20 per oligo! (If you don't need an oligos at the moment, we'll send you a coupon for future use.)	s ır y
Name Title	_
Institution/Company	_
Address	_
City State Zip	_
Telephone	_
Genosys Biotechnologies, Inc. 8701A New Trails Drive, The Woodlands, Texas 77381-424 Phone: (713) 363-3693 (800) 2345-DNA Fax: (713) 363-2212	1

Circle No. 3 on Readers' Service Card