

ON VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLES FOR POLARIZATION RESPONSES IN ELECTROMECHANICAL SYSTEMS

BOB EISENBERG*, CHUN LIU†, AND YIWEI WANG‡

Abstract. Electromechanical systems can be found in many physical and biological applications, such as ion transport in membranes, batteries, and dielectric elastomers. Classical electrodynamics uses a dielectric constant to describe the polarization response of an electromechanical system to charges in an electric field. We generalize that description to include a wide variety of responses to charges in the electric field by a unified, thermodynamically consistent, variational framework. This framework is motivated and developed using the classical energetic variational approach (EnVarA). The coupling between the electrical part and the chemo-mechanical parts of the system is described either by Lagrange multipliers or various energy relaxations. The classical polarization and its dielectrics and dielectric constants appear as outputs of this analysis. The Maxwell equations then become universal conservation laws of charge and current, conjoined to an electromechanical description of the polarization of materials.

1. Introduction

Electromagnetism is often described by Maxwell field equations that form a general and precise description of electrodynamics in the absence of matter, with only two parameters, both of which are true constants that are remarkably constant in a wide range of conditions. In the presence of matter, like dielectrics, things are more complicated, because the field changes things that are charged and the charge changes the field [36]. These interactions depend on the mechanical properties of the system, the distribution of charge and mass, and the Maxwell equations themselves.

Classical electrodynamics included a particularly simple idealized model of electromechanical charge in insulating dielectrics and so was a constitutive model depending on the properties of materials. In the ideal linear dielectrics, interactions are described by a dielectric constant ε_r , a single real number, in the Maxwell equations. That classical model is, however, unable to adequately describe the complicated interaction between charge and field in most materials [1, 2, 5, 11, 12, 18, 22, 25, 37, 38]. It should not be a surprise that a model adequate to deal with measurements available in the 1850's (typically on a time scale of a tenth of a second) would need revision in the 2020's when time scales of 10^{-9} s are commonplace in experiments and applications.

Other electromechanical systems (beyond insulating dielectrics) are even more complicated because other forces—like diffusion and convection—come into play. One of the most important electro-mechanical systems is the transport of charged particles in dilute solutions, which is often described by a Poisson-Nernst-Planck (PNP) equation [9]. The movement of charged particles is a mechanical process, involving diffusion and convection, but the motion of the charges changes their positions, forms an electrical current, and thus changes the electric field in the system, which in turn changes the motion of the charged particles themselves.

In systems involving diffusion, the particles interact through the electric field and use concentration gradients to create a PNP system [13, 21]. The classical PNP equation

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL 60616, USA; Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Rush University, 1750 W. Harrison, Chicago IL 60612. (beisenbe@rush.edu).

†Department of Applied Mathematics, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL 60616, USA. (cliu124@iit.edu)

‡Corresponding author. Department of Applied Mathematics, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL 60616, USA. (ywang487@iit.edu).

can be written as [21]

$$\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (D_i (\nabla c_i + \frac{q z_i}{k_B T} c_i \nabla \varphi)), \quad -\Delta \varphi = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n q z_i c_i + \rho_0(\mathbf{x}) \right), \quad (1.1)$$

where $c_i(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the number density of the i -th species of ions, $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the electrostatic potential, $\rho_0(\mathbf{x})$ represents the density of any immobile background charge, q is the elementary charge, z_i is the electric charge of one molecule of the i -th species, and ε is the permittivity that measures electric polarizability of the solution. Here the effects of magnetic fields are totally neglected, which is only true when there are no time-dependent magnetic fields so $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \varphi$ is curl-free. The interaction between ions¹ and field is imposed through the Poisson equation not the Maxwell equations.

There exists a large literature developing variational theories for electromechanical and magnetomechanical coupling in a range of systems of this type (excluding diffusion for the most part) [4, 7, 15–17, 24, 27, 29, 31–33, 41–43]. Inspired by these, we build a thermodynamically consistent variational description of general electromechanical systems and extend it to include diffusion. The framework is motivated and developed using the classical energetic variational approach (EnVarA) that allows consistent incorporation of other fields, e.g., chemical reactions [44] and even temperature [26]. The key point is to isolate material properties in the Maxwell equations and use the classical theories of mechanics and diffusion to describe those material properties, using the EnVarA functional formulation. In this paper, dynamics and fluctuation are imposed in the mechanical part only. The electrical part of electromechanical coupling is imposed through models of the system of interest, or by a Lagrange multiplier in a way less dependent on a specific model. The constitutive properties are separated from the Maxwell equations in this approach, allowing the Maxwell equations to be universal and exact, and the constitutive equations to describe (electro)material properties. As an illustration, we re-derive the classical PNP system in the proposed framework.

2. Preliminary

2.1. Mechanics: energy variational approach Mechanical systems can often be described by their energy and the rate of energy dissipation [19]. One of the simplest mechanical systems is a spring-mass system

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{v}, \quad m \mathbf{v}_t = -\gamma \mathbf{x}_t - \nabla V(\mathbf{x}), \quad (2.1)$$

where \mathbf{v} is the velocity and $V(\mathbf{x})$ is the potential energy. For a linear spring, $V(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} k |\mathbf{x}|^2$. It is straightforward to show that the spring-mass system (2.1) satisfies an energy-dissipation identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{m}{2} |\mathbf{x}_t|^2 + V(\mathbf{x}) \right) = -\gamma |\mathbf{x}_t|^2, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\mathcal{K} = \frac{m}{2} |\mathbf{x}_t|^2$ is the kinetic energy, $\mathcal{U} = V(\mathbf{x})$ is the internal energy and $\gamma |\mathbf{x}_t|^2$ is the rate of energy dissipation due to the friction. If the system also involves a stochastic force, modeled by a Gaussian white noise, then the dynamics becomes

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{v}, \quad m \mathbf{v}_t = -\gamma \mathbf{v} - \nabla V(\mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\xi}(t), \quad (2.3)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi}(t)$ is a stochastic force satisfying $\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}' \rangle = 2k_B T m^{-1} \gamma \delta(t - t')$ due to the fluctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT) [28]. The FDT ensures the system admits an

¹Holes and electrons in semiconductors share many of the properties of ions in solutions.

energy-dissipation law and reaches the correct equilibrium state [28]. Here we adopt a Langevin representation, understanding fully well that this description is a constitutive model that needs to be confirmed by experiment and simulations of atomic motion. Let $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t)$ be the probability of a particle in location \mathbf{x} with velocity \mathbf{v} , the Fokker-Planck equation of $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t)$ corresponding to the Langevin dynamics (2.3) is given by

$$\partial_t f + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot (\mathbf{v} f) + \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \left(\left(-\frac{\gamma}{m} \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{m} \nabla V \right) f \right) = \frac{k_B T \gamma}{m^2} \Delta_{\mathbf{v}} f. \quad (2.4)$$

Note that this is the full Langevin equation including the acceleration term. Direct calculation reveals that the Fokker-Planck equation (2.4) for the full Langevin equation satisfies an energy-dissipation identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \frac{m}{2} f |\mathbf{v}|^2 + k_B T f \ln f + V f d\mathbf{v} d\mathbf{x} = \int -\gamma f |\mathbf{v} + k_B T m^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \ln f|^2 d\mathbf{v} d\mathbf{x} \leq 0. \quad (2.5)$$

The $(k_B T)(f \ln f)$ mixing term comes from the noise, which corresponds to the entropy $T\mathcal{S}$ in classical thermodynamics. In an overdamped region ($\gamma \gg m$), the inertial term in (2.3) can be ignored and the dynamics can be reduced to an overdamped Langevin equation (after rescaling but keeping the same notation) [14, 20, 39, 40, 45]

$$\gamma \mathbf{x}_t = -\nabla V(\mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\xi}(t), \quad (2.6)$$

where $\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}' \rangle = 2k_B T \gamma \delta(t - t')$. The corresponding Fokker-Planck equation of $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is

$$\rho_t = \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} (k_B T \nabla \rho + \rho \nabla V) \right), \quad (2.7)$$

where $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the probability distribution of finding the particle at location \mathbf{x} . If we define the average velocity as $\mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \nabla (k_B T (\ln \rho + 1) + V)$, then the energy-dissipation law of the Fokker-Planck equation (2.7) can be formulated as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int (k_B T \rho \ln \rho + V(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} = - \int \gamma \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 d\mathbf{x}. \quad (2.8)$$

Again, the mixing term $k_B T \rho \ln \rho$ term corresponds to $-T\mathcal{S}$ with $\mathcal{S} = -\rho \ln \rho$ being the entropy [8].

In general, as in previous examples, an isothermal mechanical system can be well defined through an energy-dissipation law

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{F}) = -\Delta, \quad (2.9)$$

along with the kinematics of the employed variables. Here \mathcal{K} is the kinetic energy, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{U} - T\mathcal{S}$ is the Helmholtz free energy, and Δ is the rate of the energy dissipation, which is the entropy production in the system [19]. From the energy-dissipation law (2.13), the corresponding evolution equation can be derived by the energetic variational approach (EnVarA). In more detail: EnVarA consists of two distinct variational processes: the Least Action Principle (LAP) and the Maximum Dissipation Principle (MDP) [19]. The LAP states that the dynamics of a Hamiltonian system are determined as a critical point of the action functional $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^T (\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{F}) dt$ with respect to $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{X}, t)$ (the trajectory for mechanical systems, where \mathbf{X} are Lagrangian coordinates) [19], i.e., $\delta \mathcal{A} = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega(t)} (f_{\text{inertial}} - f_{\text{conv}}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{x} d\mathbf{x} dt$. The dissipative force in such a system can be viewed as a penalty function for the LAP determined by minimizing the dissipation

functional $\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta$ with respect to the “rate” \mathbf{x}_t in the linear response regime [6], i.e., $\delta \mathcal{D} = \int_{\Omega(t)} f_{\text{diss}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}_t \, d\mathbf{x}$. This principle is known as Onsager’s MDP [34, 35]. According to force balance, we have, in Eulerian coordinates,

$$\frac{\delta A}{\delta \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{D}}{\delta \mathbf{x}_t} \quad (2.10)$$

This describes the dynamics of the system. It is worth mentioning that in principle, mechanical systems are totally determined by the trajectory or the flow map $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{X}, t)$, as indicated by the above variational procedure.

The PNP equation (1.1) can be derived from the energy-dissipation law [9]

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \sum_{i=1}^n k_B T c_i (\ln c_i - 1) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 = - \int \frac{k_B T}{D_i} c_i |\mathbf{u}_i|^2 d\mathbf{x} \quad (2.11)$$

with the constraint $-\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon (\nabla \varphi)) = (\sum_{i=1}^n q z_i c_i + \rho_0(\mathbf{x}))$, which is a differential form of the Gauss’s law. We refer the interested readers to [9] for detailed derivation.

2.2. Electricity: Maxwell field equations in vacuum The fundamental equations in classical electromagnetism are Maxwell’s field equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E}) &= 0, & \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} &= -(\nabla \times \mathbf{E}), & \nabla \times \mathbf{B} &= \mu_0 \left(\varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

in vacuum, where \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} are electric and magnetic field, ε_0 is the electrical constant also called the permittivity of free space and μ_0 is the magnetic constant, also called the permeability of free space. Direct calculations show the Maxwell equations (2.12) satisfy the energy-dissipation identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} |\mathbf{E}|^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu_0} |\mathbf{B}|^2 \right) d\mathbf{x} = - \int \frac{1}{\mu_0} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}) d\mathbf{x} = - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{\mu_0} (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} dS, \quad (2.13)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is the outer normal of Ω . Motivated by the above calculation, we can define the **electromagnetic** field energy density $e_F(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B})$ as

$$e_F(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}) = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} |\mathbf{E}|^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu_0} |\mathbf{B}|^2. \quad (2.14)$$

The vector $\frac{1}{\mu_0} \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ is the Poynting vector that represents the directional energy flux (the energy transfer per unit area per unit time) of an electromagnetic field.

Conventionally, one introduces the electric and magnetic displacement vectors \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{H} , defined by $\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E}$, $\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \mathbf{B}$, which are known as Lorentz-Maxwell æther relations. Note that

$$\mathbf{D} = \frac{\partial e_F}{\partial \mathbf{E}}, \quad \mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial e_F}{\partial \mathbf{B}}, \quad (2.15)$$

which provides an energetic variational formulation for \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{E} connecting the energies and these classic fields.

REMARK 2.1. *The field energy e_F per unit volume can be formulated with different primitive variables. For instance, in [16], the field energy e_F is defined as*

$$e_F(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{D}) = \frac{|\mathbf{B}|^2}{2\mu_0} + \frac{|\mathbf{D}|^2}{2\varepsilon_0} \quad (2.16)$$

by using \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{D} as independent variables. The electric and magnetic field \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} can be defined as

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{\partial e_F}{\partial \mathbf{D}} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \mathbf{D}, \quad \mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial e_F}{\partial \mathbf{B}} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \mathbf{H}. \quad (2.17)$$

We refer the interested readers to [4] for detailed discussions of different variational formulations.

REMARK 2.2. The classical case describes an idealized dielectric $\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon_0 \epsilon_r \mathbf{E}$ in which the dielectric constant ϵ_r is a single real number. Small magnetic fields are described by a single real number the permeability μ giving $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{B}/\mu$ in some types of matter (diamagnetic and paramagnetic). When nothing is known about the actual behavior of a dielectric or a dia- or paramagnetic material, it is customary and reasonable to use the classical approximation, even today.

For a particle of charge q_k and velocity \mathbf{v}_k , the Lorentz force on the particle is

$$\mathbf{f} = q_k(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_k \times \mathbf{B}). \quad (2.18)$$

Then the movement of the particle can be described by

$$m\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_k = q_k(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_k \times \mathbf{B}), \quad (2.19)$$

where $\mathbf{v}_k = \dot{\mathbf{x}}_k$ is the velocity of the particle. Notice that $(\mathbf{v}_k \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{v}_k = 0$, it is straightforward to show that (2.19) satisfies the energy identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} m \mathbf{v}_k^2 \right) = q_k \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{v}_k. \quad (2.20)$$

The Maxwell field equation in this case can be formulated as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E}) &= \rho, & \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} &= -(\nabla \times \mathbf{E}), & \nabla \times \mathbf{B} &= \mu_0 \left(\varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{j} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where the charge density ρ and the (particle) current density \mathbf{j} [10, 25] is defined by $\rho = q_k \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k)$ and $\mathbf{j} = q_k \mathbf{v}_k \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k) = \rho \mathbf{v}_k$, with δ being the Dirac delta function. Here, we assume that placing a charged particle in a vacuum does not change the permittivity.

The equation (2.21) satisfies the following energy identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} |\mathbf{E}|^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu_0} |\mathbf{B}|^2 d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} -\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{j} d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{\mu_0} (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} dS. \quad (2.22)$$

Combining (2.20) with (2.22), we obtain the energy-dissipation law of the total electromechanical system

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \frac{m}{2} |\mathbf{v}_k|^2 \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k) + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} |\mathbf{E}|^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu_0} |\mathbf{B}|^2 d\mathbf{x} = 0. \quad (2.23)$$

We can define $c = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k)$ as the **number density** of the charged particles. Then formally, the energy-dissipation law can be written using $u(\mathbf{x}_k) = \mathbf{v}_k$.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \left(\frac{m}{2} c |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} |\mathbf{E}|^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu_0} |\mathbf{B}|^2 \right) d\mathbf{x} = 0 \quad (2.24)$$

REMARK 2.3. Similarly, if a charged particle is placed in a medium and satisfies the ordinary differential equation (the force balance between mechanical and Lorentz force)

$$m\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_k + \gamma\dot{\mathbf{x}}_k + \nabla V(\mathbf{x}_k) = q_k(\mathbf{E} + \dot{\mathbf{x}}_k \times \mathbf{B}), \quad (2.25)$$

then the energy-dissipation law is formally given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \left(\frac{mc(\mathbf{x})}{2} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + c(\mathbf{x})V(\mathbf{x}) + W(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}) \right) d\mathbf{x} = - \int \gamma c(\mathbf{x}) |\mathbf{u}|^2 d\mathbf{x}, \quad (2.26)$$

where $c(\mathbf{x}) = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k)$, $u(\mathbf{x}_k) = \mathbf{v}_k$, $V(\mathbf{x})$ is the potential energy and $W(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B})$ is the electromagnetic field energy. The formulation also works for the case with N -particles although one must be careful in evaluating interactions.

3. Variational treatment of general electro-mechanical systems

The EnVarA framework allows a general treatment of the response of a dielectric to a change of the electric field. It includes classical polarization of an ideal dielectric and electromechanical systems that involve diffusion and translation, and other energy sources not present in the classical Maxwell equations. [Throughout this section, we assume that \$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0\$.](#) The approach starts with a general electromechanical free energy

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{E}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}) = \int W(\mathbf{E}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}) d\mathbf{x}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $W(\mathbf{E}, \boldsymbol{\zeta})$ is the electromechanical free energy per unit volume, \mathbf{E} is the electric field and $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ represents other mechanical variables, such as densities of ions, the deformation tensor, order parameters in liquid crystals. We can generalize the definition of electric displacement field \mathbf{D} in vacuum (2.15) and define \mathbf{D} as [4, 25, 27, 42]

$$\mathbf{D} = \frac{\partial W(\mathbf{E}, \boldsymbol{\zeta})}{\partial \mathbf{E}}. \quad (3.2)$$

Consequently, the electric polarization field \mathbf{P} is defined by [17], $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{D} - \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E}$ so both \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{P} are derived from the electromechanical free energy $W(\mathbf{E}, \boldsymbol{\zeta})$. The different $W(\mathbf{E}, \boldsymbol{\zeta})$ correspond to different constitutive relations between \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{E} . For a ‘linear’ dielectric, we have $W(\mathbf{E}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}) = \omega(\boldsymbol{\zeta}) + \frac{\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\zeta})}{2} |\mathbf{E}|^2$. Then $\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\zeta}) \mathbf{E}$ and $\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\zeta}) = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$ is the conventional permittivity and $\varepsilon_r(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$ is the dielectric constant. The form of free energy $W(\mathbf{E}, \boldsymbol{\zeta})$ can be obtained from experiments by solving some inverse problems or from a more-detailed model [30, 46]. In general, the relation between \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{E} can be fully nonlinear and nonlocal.

As an illustration, let us first consider dielectric fluids. We can take ρ and \mathbf{E} as the state variables, and assume the free energy is given by [41]

$$\mathcal{F}(\rho, \mathbf{E}) = \mathcal{F}_M(\rho) + \mathcal{F}_{\text{elec}}(\rho, \mathbf{E}), \quad (3.3)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_M(\rho) = \int \omega(\rho) d\mathbf{x}$ is a purely mechanical component of the free energy, i.e., the free energy of the system in the absence of the electric field \mathbf{E} . $\mathcal{F}_{\text{elec}}(\rho, \mathbf{E})$ is the electromechanical energy, which is assumed to be $\mathcal{F}_{\text{elec}}(\rho, \mathbf{E}) = \frac{\varepsilon(\rho)}{2} |\mathbf{E}|^2$ for linear dielectrics. Then, the variational procedure (3.2) leads to $\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon(\rho) \mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{D} - \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E}$. For the pure dielectric case without any free charges, we have

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = 0, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

which indicates that there exists an electrostatic potential φ such that $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\varphi$ and φ satisfies the Poisson equation $-\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon(\rho)\nabla\varphi) = 0$.

The electrostatic potential φ can be viewed as a Lagrange multiplier for the constraint $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = 0$, along with the quasi-equilibrium condition, i.e., \mathbf{E} minimize the free energy (3.3) without delay [25]. Indeed, we can introduce a Lagrange multiplier φ for the constraint $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = 0$, which leads to

$$\mathcal{F}(\rho, \mathbf{E}; \varphi) = \mathcal{F}_M(\rho) + \frac{\varepsilon(\rho)}{2} |\mathbf{E}|^2 - \varphi(\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon(\rho)\mathbf{E})). \quad (3.5)$$

By assuming that the electric field reaches equilibrium without delay, we have

$$0 = \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}(\rho, \mathbf{E}; \varphi)}{\delta \mathbf{E}} = \varepsilon(\rho)\mathbf{E} + \varepsilon(\rho)\nabla\varphi, \quad (3.6)$$

which leads to $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\varphi$, and $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0$. In the delay case, one can impose a dynamics $\eta \mathbf{E}_t = -(\varepsilon(\rho)\mathbf{E} + \varepsilon(\rho)\nabla\varphi)$, then \mathbf{E} may not be curl-free and one has to consider the full Maxwell equation. We will explore this case in future work.

Next, we discuss the dynamics of the system, which is described by a suitable dissipation functional on the mechanical part. A simple choice of the dissipation is $\Delta = 2\mathcal{D} = \int \eta(\rho)|\mathbf{u}|^2 d\mathbf{x}$, where $\eta(\rho)$ is the friction coefficients. By a standard variational procedure [19], we have $-\eta(\rho)\mathbf{u} = \rho\nabla(\omega'(\rho) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon'(\rho)|\mathbf{E}|^2)$, which is equivalent to the results in [25] [page 68, eq. (15.12)].

For systems involving free charges, the electric displacement field \mathbf{D} satisfies a differential version of Gauss's law $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho_f(\mathbf{x})$, where $\rho_f(\mathbf{x})$ is the total (electric) free charge density at \mathbf{x} . From the mechanical part of the system, one can calculate the (mechanical) charge density $\hat{\rho}_f(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$. However, in general, $\hat{\rho}_f(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$ may not be exactly the same as ρ_f in the Gauss's law. For instance, polarization arises from the separation of the centers of positive and negative charges, which produces a difference between the mechanical charge density $\hat{\rho}_f(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$ and the electric charge density $\rho_f(\mathbf{x})$. In the energetic variational formulation, $\rho_f(\mathbf{x})$ and $\hat{\rho}_f(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$ can be linked by either a Lagrange multiplier or various energy relaxations. Energy relaxations are a general way of describing electromechanical coupling.

In the following, we illustrate both approaches by modeling the transportation of charged particles in dilute solutions. We assume the free energy is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{E}) = \int \sum_{i=1}^n K_B T c_i (\ln c_i - 1) + W_{\text{elec}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{E}) d\mathbf{x}, \quad (3.7)$$

and $\mathbf{D} = \frac{\partial W_{\text{elec}}}{\partial \mathbf{E}}$. From the mechanical part of the system, one can calculate the (mechanical) charge density as

$$\hat{\rho}_f(\mathbf{c}) = \sum_{i=1}^n q z_i c_i + \rho_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad (3.8)$$

$\rho_0(\mathbf{x})$ is the density of any immobile background charge, z_i is the electric variance of i -th species, and q is the elementary charge. Both approaches can lead to PNP type systems with suitable dissipations.

3.1. PNP equation with a Lagrange multiplier

In the first approach, we can introduce a Lagrange multiplier to link $\hat{\rho}_f(\mathbf{c})$ and $\rho_f(\mathbf{x})$ [3, 23, 25, 29], i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F}(\rho, \mathbf{E}; \varphi) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n K_B T c_i (\ln c_i - 1) + W_{\text{elec}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{E}) - \varphi(\nabla \cdot (\frac{\partial W_{\text{elec}}}{\partial \mathbf{E}}) - \sum_{i=1}^n q z_i c_i - \rho_0(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x}, \quad (3.9)$$

where φ is the Lagrange multiplier. To derive the classical PNP equation (1.1), we take $W_{\text{elec}}(\mathbf{E})$ as $W_{\text{elec}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}|\mathbf{E}|^2$, with ε being a constant, and $\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon\mathbf{E}$. In the situation when the electric part can reach equilibrium without delay, we will have $\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\mathbf{E}} = 0$, which leads to $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\varphi$. The Lagrange multiplier φ is the usual electrostatic potential φ .

Next, we look at the dynamics of the system, which are on the mechanical part only. As we did in eq.(2.11), we can impose the dissipation as $\Delta = 2\mathcal{D} = \int \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{k_B T}{D_i} c_i |\mathbf{u}_i|^2 d\mathbf{x}$, where \mathbf{u}_i is the average velocity of i -th species. By a standard energetic variational approach, we obtain $\frac{k_B T}{D_i} c_i \mathbf{u} = -c_i \nabla \mu_i$, where $\mu_i = \frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta c_i} = k_B T \ln c_i + \varphi c_i q$. The final PNP equation can be written as

$$\partial_t c_i = \nabla \cdot (D_i (\nabla c_i + \frac{q z_i}{k_B T} c_i \nabla \varphi)), \quad -\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \nabla \varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^n q z_i c_i + \rho_0(\mathbf{x}). \quad (3.10)$$

3.2. PNP equation with an energy relaxation

As mentioned earlier, $\rho_f(\mathbf{x})$ may not be exactly the same as the mechanical charge $\hat{\rho}_f(\mathbf{c})$. Instead of using a Lagrange multiplier, which forces $\rho_f(\mathbf{x})$ to be the same to $\hat{\rho}_f(\mathbf{c})$, we can use the following form of the free energy

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{E}) = \int_{\Omega} k_B T \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (\ln c_i - 1) + W_{\text{elec}}(\mathbf{E}) + \frac{M}{2} (\nabla \cdot (\frac{\partial W_{\text{elec}}}{\partial \mathbf{E}}) - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i c_i q - \rho_0(\mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x}, \quad (3.11)$$

where the last term is the energy cost for the difference between mechanical charge density $\hat{\rho}_f(\mathbf{c})$ and the electric charge density $\rho_f(\mathbf{x})$ and M is a phenomenological parameter.

Again, we take $W_{\text{elec}}(\mathbf{E})$ as $W_{\text{elec}}(\mathbf{E}) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}|\mathbf{E}|^2$ to illustrate the idea. Similar to the previous calculations, in the case when the electrical part can immediately go to equilibrium, we have

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{E})}{\delta\mathbf{E}} = \varepsilon\mathbf{E} - \varepsilon\nabla(M(\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon\mathbf{E}) - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i c_i q - \rho_0(\mathbf{x}))) = 0, \quad (3.12)$$

which leads to $\mathbf{E} = \nabla(M(\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon\mathbf{E}) - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i c_i q - \rho_0(\mathbf{x})))$. According to (3.2), we take

$$\varphi = -(M(\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon\mathbf{E}) - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i c_i q - \rho_0(\mathbf{x}))), \quad (3.13)$$

which corresponds to usual definition of electrostatic potential formulations. From (3.13), we can then obtain an equation for φ , given by

$$-\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \nabla \varphi) - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i c_i q - \rho_0(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{-\varphi - C}{M} \quad (3.14)$$

for any constant C that can be taken as 0. Formally, we can recover the Poisson equation $-\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \nabla \varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i c_i q + \rho_0(\mathbf{x})$ for the limit $M \rightarrow \infty$.

For the mechanical part, the chemical potential of each species can be computed as $\mu_i = k_B T \ln c_i - M(\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon\mathbf{E}) - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i c_i q - \rho_0(\mathbf{x})) z_i q = \ln c_i + \varphi z_i q$, which is exactly the same as that in the classical PNP case. The final PNP system is given by

$$\partial_t c_i = \nabla \cdot (D_i (\nabla c_i + \frac{q z_i}{k_B T} c_i \nabla \varphi)), \quad -\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \nabla \varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i c_i q + \rho_0(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{-\varphi}{M}. \quad (3.15)$$

with the dissipation in (2.11).

It is worth mentioning that the energy relaxation is not unique. Here we choose the simplest form for an illustration. In general, energy relaxation will depend on the structure of the system, including the measurement apparatus, and the boundary properties of the structure.

REMARK 3.1. *We focus on $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0$ in this section. For general systems with $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} \neq 0$, one can introduce another Lagrange multiplier or a penalization for the constraint $\partial_t \mathbf{B} = -(\nabla \times \mathbf{E})$. We will study this in future work.*

4. Conclusion In this paper, we develop a new electric-field based variational formulation of the polarization response of dielectrics to changes in the electric field. We view the polarization response as a general electromechanical system and include diffusion processes. This framework is motivated and developed using the classical EnVarA. The dynamics and fluctuation are imposed in the mechanical part only. The coupling between the electric part and the diffusion-mechanical part is described either by Lagrange multipliers or various energy relaxations. As an illustration, we re-derive the classical PNP system by both approaches and show consistency with previous formulations. The variational formulation can be applied to more general electromechanical systems and opens a new door for developing structure-preserving numerical methods.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. N. BANWELL, *Fundamentals of molecular spectroscopy*, (1972). 1
- [2] E. BARSOUKOV AND J. R. MACDONALD, *Impedance spectroscopy theory, experiment, and applications*, Applications, 2nd ed. (Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2005), (2005). 1
- [3] Y. BRENIER AND I. MOYANO, *Relaxed solutions for incompressible inviscid flows: A variational and gravitational approximation to the initial value problem*, (2021). 3.1
- [4] R. BUSTAMANTE, A. DORFMANN, AND R. W. OGDEN, *Nonlinear electroelastostatics: a variational framework*, *Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik*, 60 (2009), pp. 154–177. 1, 2.1, 3
- [5] M. E. CRENSHAW, *The theory of electrodynamics in a linear dielectric*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1303.1412, (2013). 1
- [6] S. R. DE GROOT AND P. MAZUR, *Non-equilibrium thermodynamics*, Courier Corporation, 2013. 2.1
- [7] A. DORFMANN AND R. W. OGDEN, *Nonlinear electroelasticity*, *Acta mechanica*, 174 (2005), pp. 167–183. 1
- [8] W. E, T. LI, AND E. VANDEN-EIJNDEN, *Applied stochastic analysis*, vol. 199, American Mathematical Soc., 2021. 2.1
- [9] B. EISENBERG, Y. HYON, AND C. LIU, *Energy variational analysis of ions in water and channels: Field theory for primitive models of complex ionic fluids*, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 133 (2010), p. 104104. 1, 2.1, 2.1
- [10] B. EISENBERG, X. ORIOLS, AND D. FERRY, *Dynamics of current, charge and mass*, *Computational and Mathematical Biophysics*, 5 (2017), pp. 78–115. 2.2
- [11] R. EISENBERG, *Electrical structure of biological cells and tissues: impedance spectroscopy, stereology, and singular perturbation theory*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.01339, (2015). 1
- [12] ———, *Dielectric dilemma*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.10805, (2019). 1
- [13] R. S. EISENBERG, *Computing the field in proteins and channels*, *J. Membrane Biol.*, 150 (1996), pp. 1–25. 1
- [14] R. S. EISENBERG, M. KL/OSEK, AND Z. SCHUSS, *Diffusion as a chemical reaction: Stochastic trajectories between fixed concentrations*, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 102 (1995), pp. 1767–1780. 2.1
- [15] J. ERICKSEN, *Electromagnetic effects in thermoelastic materials*, *Mathematics and mechanics of solids*, 7 (2002), pp. 165–189. 1
- [16] ———, *Theory of elastic dielectrics revisited.*, *Archive for Rational Mechanics & Analysis*, 183 (2007). 1, 2.1
- [17] A. C. ERINGEN, *On the foundations of electroelastostatics*, *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 1 (1963), pp. 127–153. 1, 3
- [18] S. J. FIEDZIUSZKO, I. C. HUNTER, T. ITOH, Y. KOBAYASHI, T. NISHIKAWA, S. N. STITZER, AND

- K. WAKINO, *Dielectric materials, devices, and circuits*, IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech., 50 (2002), pp. 706–720. [1](#)
- [19] M. H. GIGA, A. KIRSSTEIN, AND C. LIU, *Variational modeling and complex fluids*, in Handbook of Mathematical Analysis in Mechanics of Viscous Fluids, Springer International Publishing, 2018, pp. 73–113. [2.1](#), [2.1](#), [3](#)
- [20] T. GRASSER, T.-W. TANG, H. KOSINA, AND S. SELBERHERR, *A review of hydrodynamic and energy-transport models for semiconductor device simulation*, Proceedings of the IEEE, 91 (2003), pp. 251–274. [2.1](#)
- [21] B. E. GRIFFITH AND C. S. PESKIN, *Electrophysiology*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 66 (2013), pp. 1837–1913. [1](#)
- [22] M. M. GUDARZI AND S. H. ABOUTALEBI, *Self-consistent dielectric functions of materials: Toward accurate computation of casimir–van der waals forces*, Sci. Adv., 7 (2021), p. eabg2272. [1](#)
- [23] V. JADHAO, F. J. SOLIS, AND M. O. DE LA CRUZ, *Free-energy functionals of the electrostatic potential for poisson-boltzmann theory*, Physical Review E, 88 (2013), p. 022305. [3.1](#)
- [24] A. JELIĆ, M. HÜTTER, AND H. C. ÖTTINGER, *Dissipative electromagnetism from a nonequilibrium thermodynamics perspective*, Physical Review E, 74 (2006), p. 041126. [1](#)
- [25] L. D. LANDAU, J. BELL, M. KEARSLEY, L. PITAEVSKII, E. LIFSHITZ, AND J. SYKES, *Electrodynamics of continuous media*, vol. 8, elsevier, 2013. [1](#), [2.2](#), [3](#), [3](#), [3](#), [3.1](#)
- [26] C. LIU AND J.-E. SULZBACH, *The brinkman-fourier system with ideal gas equilibrium*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.07304, (2020). [1](#)
- [27] L. LIU, *On energy formulations of electrostatics for continuum media*, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 61 (2013), pp. 968–990. [1](#), [3](#)
- [28] L. MA, X. LI, AND C. LIU, *The derivation and approximation of coarse-grained dynamics from langevin dynamics*, The Journal of chemical physics, 145 (2016), p. 204117. [2.1](#)
- [29] A. MAGGS, *A minimizing principle for the poisson-boltzmann equation*, EPL (Europhysics Letters), 98 (2012), p. 16012. [1](#), [3.1](#)
- [30] J. M. MARTIN, K. T. DELANEY, AND G. H. FREDRICKSON, *Effect of an electric field on the stability of binary dielectric fluid mixtures*, The Journal of chemical physics, 152 (2020), p. 234901. [3](#)
- [31] R. M. MCMEEKING, C. M. LANDIS, AND S. M. JIMENEZ, *A principle of virtual work for combined electrostatic and mechanical loading of materials*, International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics, 42 (2007), pp. 831–838. [1](#)
- [32] M. MEHNERT, M. HOSSAIN, AND P. STEINMANN, *On nonlinear thermo-electro-elasticity*, Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 472 (2016), p. 20160170. [1](#)
- [33] R. OGDEN AND D. STEIGMANN, *Mechanics and electrodynamics of magneto-and electro-elastic materials*, vol. 527, Springer Science & Business Media, 2011. [1](#)
- [34] L. ONSAGER, *Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes. I.*, Phys. Rev., 37 (1931), p. 405. [2.1](#)
- [35] ———, *Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes. II.*, Phys. Rev., 38 (1931), p. 2265. [2.1](#)
- [36] J. R. OPPENHEIMER, *Note on the theory of the interaction of field and matter*, Physical Review, 35 (1930), p. 461. [1](#)
- [37] V. RAICU AND Y. FELDMAN, *Dielectric relaxation in biological systems: Physical principles, methods, and applications*, Oxford University Press, USA, 2015. [1](#)
- [38] K. N. RAO, *Molecular spectroscopy: modern research*, Elsevier, 2012. [1](#)
- [39] Z. SCHUSS, *Singular perturbation methods in stochastic differential equations of mathematical physics*, Siam Review, 22 (1980), pp. 119–155. [2.1](#)
- [40] Z. SCHUSS, B. NADLER, AND R. EISENBERG, *Derivation of poisson and nernst-planck equations in a bath and channel from a molecular model*, Physical Review E, 64 (2001), p. 036116. [2.1](#)
- [41] M. SPRIK, *Continuum model of the simple dielectric fluid: consistency between density based and continuum mechanics methods*, Molecular Physics, (2021), p. e1887950. [1](#), [3](#)
- [42] Z. SUO, X. ZHAO, AND W. H. GREENE, *A nonlinear field theory of deformable dielectrics*, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 56 (2008), pp. 467–486. [1](#), [3](#)
- [43] P. VÁGNER, M. PAVELKA, AND O. ESEN, *Multiscale thermodynamics of charged mixtures*, Continuum Mechanics and Thermodynamics, 33 (2021), pp. 237–268. [1](#)
- [44] Y. WANG, C. LIU, P. LIU, AND B. EISENBERG, *Field theory of reaction-diffusion: Law of mass action with an energetic variational approach*, Physical Review E, 102 (2020), p. 062147. [1](#)
- [45] H. WU, T.-C. LIN, AND C. LIU, *Diffusion limit of kinetic equations for multiple species charged particles*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 215 (2015), pp. 419–441. [2.1](#)
- [46] B. ZHUANG, G. RAMANAUSKAITE, Z. Y. KOA, AND Z.-G. WANG, *Like dissolves like: A first-principles theory for predicting liquid miscibility and mixture dielectric constant*, Science Advances, 7 (2021), p. eabe7275. [3](#)