

Analysis Fall 2025: Homework 3

Henry Zheng

If V is a Banach space, an operator (on V) is a linear function $T : V \rightarrow V$. T is called bounded if $\|T\| := \sup_{\|v\|=1} \|T(v)\| < \infty$. T is called compact if $T(B(0, 1))$ is precompact in V , where $B(0, 1) = \{v \in V : \|v\| \leq 1\}$ is the unit ball in V .

Problem 1

Show that if an operator is compact, then it is bounded.

Let T be a compact operator. Then note that $\{v \in V : \|v\| = 1\} \subseteq B(0, 1)$, and further note that $T(B(0, 1))$ is a precompact set.

By the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, $T(B(0, 1))$ is bounded and equicontinuous; i.e., there exists some $M \in \mathbb{R} : \forall T(v)$ where $\|v\| \leq 1$, then $T(v) \leq M$.

We know $\|T\| := \sup_{\|v\|=1} \|T(v)\| < \infty$. The above definition thus obviously implies that there exists some $M \in \mathbb{R} : \|v\| = 1 \Rightarrow T(v) \leq M$. Then $\|T\| = \sup_{\|v\|=1} \|T(v)\|$ is not infinity.

Problem 2

Show that the indefinite integration function I is a compact operator on $C^0([0, 1])$ (with the C^0 -norm), where

$$(I(f))(x) := \int_0^x f(t) dt$$

(You must both show that it is an operator and compact) *Find the image I , and prove your answer is correct.

We argue that I is an operator.

We argue that $I(f + g) = I(f) + I(g)$ for $f, g \in C^0([0, 1])$.

$$I(f + g) = \int_0^x f(t) + g(t) dt = \int_0^x f(t) dt + \int_0^x g(t) dt = I(f) + I(g)$$

We argue that $I(\lambda f) = \lambda I(f)$ for $f \in C^0([0, 1])$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$I(\lambda f) = \int_0^x \lambda f(t) dt = \lambda \int_0^x f(t) dt = \lambda I(f)$$

We argue that I is a compact operator; i.e., $I(B(0, 1))$ is precompact. By the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, it suffices to prove $I(B(0, 1))$ is bounded and equicontinuous.

We argue $I(B(0, 1))$ is bounded.

Let $M = 1$. Let $F \in I(B(0, 1))$. Then note that $F' = f$ where $f(x) \leq 1$ for all x .

Since integration preserves ordering, then $F(x) - F(0) \leq x$. Additionally, note that $F(0) = \int_0^0 f(t) dt = 0$; and thus, $F(x) \leq x$. Finally, note that $x \leq 1$.

Therefore, $\sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |F(x)| \leq 1 \Rightarrow \|F\| \leq 1$. Thus $I(B(0, 1))$ is bounded.

We argue $I(B(0, 1))$ is equicontinuous.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $\delta = \varepsilon$. Let $x, y \in [0, 1]$ such that $|x - y| < \delta$.

Let $F \in I(B(0, 1))$. We argue that $|F(x) - F(y)| < \varepsilon$.

Note that $F' = f$ for some $f \in B(0, 1)$. Therefore, $\forall x : F'(x) \leq 1$. By the Mean Value Theorem, this implies that $\frac{F(x) - F(y)}{x - y} \leq 1$.

Therefore, $|F(x) - F(y)| \leq |x - y| < \delta = \varepsilon$.

Problem 3

Show that the function $T : C^0([a, b]) \rightarrow C^0([a, b])$ defined by

$$(T(f))(x) = f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$$

is a bounded operator on $C^0([a, b])$ (with the C^0 -norm), but not compact.

Let $f \in C^0([a, b])$ such that $\|f\| = 1$. Then $\sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x)| = 1$. Note that $\forall x \in [a, b] \ f(x) \leq 1$. Then $f^{(x/2)} \leq 1$ on $[a, b]$ as well. Therefore T must be a bounded operator.

We argue that T is not compact. It suffices to find a sequence in $T(B(0, 1))$ that has no convergent subsequence.

Let $f_n(x) = \cos(nx)$. This has no convergent subsequence; as n gets infinitely large, the sequence oscillates rapidly, and will never converge. Note that $T(f_n) = \cos(n/2x)$, which suffers from the same problem.

Problem 4

Show that if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq C^1([a, b])$ is C^1 -closed, C^1 -bounded and the collection $\{f' : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is equicontinuous, then \mathcal{F} is compact (with respect to the C^1 -norm). [Note: You can use the C^0 -version of Arzela-Ascoli in your proof]

We argue \mathcal{F} is compact with respect to the C^1 norm. Let (f_n) be a sequence in \mathcal{F} . We argue (f_n) has a convergent subsequence with respect to the C^1 norm.

We argue that the sequence $(f_n)'$ has a convergent subsequence with respect to the C^0 norm.

Because \mathcal{F} is C^1 -bounded, then $\exists M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|f_n\|_{C^1} \leq M$; thus, $\|f'_n\|_{C^0} \leq M$ for all M . Further note that this sequence is equicontinuous, as given in the problem statement. Thus, by the C^0 Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, it has a convergent subsequence with respect to the C^0 norm.

We further argue the sequence (f_n) has a convergent subsequence with respect to the C^0 norm.

Note that because \mathcal{F} is C^1 -bounded, then $\exists M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\forall n f'_n \leq M$. Then each (f_n) is Lipschitz with a coefficient of M ; i.e., (f_n) is equicontinuous. Furthermore, because \mathcal{F} is C^1 -bounded, it must be C^0 -bounded, so by the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, it has a convergent subsequence.

Finally, we argue (f_n) has a convergent subsequence with respect to the C^1 norm.

Suppose (f_n) has a convergent subsequence $f_{n_k} \rightarrow f$ and $(f_n)'$ has a convergent subsequence $f'_{n_k} \rightarrow v$ with respect to the C^0 -norm. Then note that $v = f'$, and further, the distance metric

$$\|f_n - f_{n_k}\|_{C^1} = \|f_n - f_{n_k}\|_{C^0} + \|f'_n - f'_{n_k}\|_{C^0}$$

Because each term becomes arbitrarily small, the overall distance becomes arbitrarily small. Thus (f_{n_k}) converges in the C^1 norm.

Problem 5

Show that if a subset $\mathcal{F} \subseteq C^1([a, b])$ is compact (with respect to the C^1 -norm), then it is C^1 -closed, C^1 -bounded and the collection $\{f' : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is equicontinuous. [Note: You can use the C^0 -version of Arzela-Ascoli in your proof]

Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq C^1([a, b])$ is compact with respect to the C^1 -norm.

We argue \mathcal{F} is C^1 -closed. This has been proved in class: every compact set is closed.

We argue \mathcal{F} is C^1 -bounded.

Fix $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Note that because the distance between any two points is finite, then the collection

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B(0, n)$$

forms an open cover of \mathcal{F} . Because \mathcal{F} is compact, given any open cover of \mathcal{F} , there exists a finite subcover of \mathcal{F} . Then there must exist some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^k B(0, n)$$

still forms an open cover of \mathcal{F} . Then k is an upper bound on the distance between 0 and \mathcal{F} , and thus \mathcal{F} is bounded.

We argue that $\mathcal{F}' = \{f' : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is equicontinuous.

Note that our definition of equicontinuity is not dependent on the norm of the function; i.e., the set is equicontinuous in C^1 if and only if it is equicontinuous in C^0 . By the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, it suffices to prove that \mathcal{F}' is compact in C^0 .

Note that the image of a compact set must be compact. We know that \mathcal{F} is C^1 -compact and C^0 -compact. Further note that \mathcal{F}' is the image of \mathcal{F} under the function $(D(f))(x) = f'(x)$. It thus suffices to show that D is continuous.

We have previously proven that every linear operator is continuous. Note that the derivative is a linear operator:

1. $(f + g)' = f' + g'$
2. $(\lambda f)' = \lambda f'$

...and thus the derivative is continuous.