

# Analysis Fall 2025: Homework 3

Henry Zheng

If  $V$  is a Banach space, an operator (on  $V$ ) is a linear function  $T : V \rightarrow V$ .  $T$  is called bounded if  $\|T\| := \sup_{\|v\|=1} \|T(v)\| < \infty$ .  $T$  is called compact if  $T(B(0, 1))$  is precompact in  $V$ , where  $B(0, 1) = \{v \in V : \|v\| \leq 1\}$  is the unit ball in  $V$ .

### Problem 1

Show that if an operator is compact, then it is bounded.

---

Let  $T$  be a compact operator. Then note that  $\{v \in V : \|v\| = 1\} \subseteq B(0, 1)$ , and further note that  $T(B(0, 1))$  is a precompact set.

By the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem,  $T(B(0, 1))$  is bounded and equicontinuous; i.e., there exists some  $M \in \mathbb{R} : \forall T(v)$  where  $\|v\| \leq 1$ , then  $\|T(v)\| \leq M$ .

We know  $\|T\| := \sup_{\|v\|=1} \|T(v)\| < \infty$ . The above definition thus obviously implies that there exists some  $M \in \mathbb{R} : \|v\| = 1 \Rightarrow \|T(v)\| \leq M$ . Then  $\|T\| = \sup_{\|v\|=1} \|T(v)\|$  is not infinity.

## Problem 2

Show that the indefinite integration function  $I$  is a compact operator on  $C^0([0, 1])$  (with the  $C^0$ -norm), where

$$(I(f))(x) := \int_0^x f(t) \, dt$$

(You must both show that it is an operator and compact) \*Find the image  $I$ , and prove your answer is correct.

---

We argue that  $I$  is an operator.

We argue that  $I(f + g) = I(f) + I(g)$  for  $f, g \in C^0([0, 1])$ .

$$I(f + g) = \int_0^x f(t) + g(t) \, dt = \int_0^x f(t) \, dt + \int_0^x g(t) \, dt = I(f) + I(g)$$

We argue that  $I(\lambda f) = \lambda I(f)$  for  $f \in C^0([0, 1])$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$I(\lambda f) = \int_0^x \lambda f(t) \, dt = \lambda \int_0^x f(t) \, dt = \lambda I(f)$$

We argue that  $I$  is a compact operator; i.e.,  $I(B(0, 1))$  is precompact. By the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, it suffices to prove  $I(B(0, 1))$  is bounded and equicontinuous.

We argue  $I(B(0, 1))$  is bounded.

Let  $M = 1$ . Let  $F \in I(B(0, 1))$ . Then note that  $F' = f$  where  $f(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x$ . Since integration preserves ordering, then  $F(x) - F(0) \leq x$ . Additionally, note that  $F(0) = \int_0^0 f(t) \, dt = 0$ ; and thus,  $F(x) \leq x$ . Finally, note that  $x \leq 1$ . Therefore,  $\sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |F(x)| \leq 1 \Rightarrow \|F\| \leq 1$ . Thus  $I(B(0, 1))$  is bounded.

We argue  $I(B(0, 1))$  is equicontinuous.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\delta = \varepsilon$ . Let  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  such that  $|x - y| < \delta$ .

Let  $F \in I(B(0, 1))$ . We argue that  $|F(x) - F(y)| < \varepsilon$ .

Note that  $F' = f$  for some  $f \in B(0, 1)$ . Therefore,  $\forall x : F'(x) \leq 1$ . By the Mean Value Theorem, this implies that  $\frac{F(x) - F(y)}{x - y} \leq 1$ .

Therefore,  $|F(x) - F(y)| \leq |x - y| < \delta = \varepsilon$ .

### Problem 3

Show that the function  $T : C^0([a, b]) \rightarrow C^0([a, b])$  defined by

$$(T(f))(x) = f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$$

is a bounded operator on  $C^0([a, b])$  (with the  $C^0$ -norm), but not compact.

---

Let  $f \in C^0([a, b])$  such that  $\|f\| = 1$ . Then  $\sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x)| = 1$ . Note that  $\forall x \in [a, b]$   $f(x) \leq 1$ . Then  $f(x/2) \leq 1$  on  $[a, b]$  as well. Therefore  $T$  must be a bounded operator.

We argue that  $T$  is not compact. It suffices to find a sequence in  $T(B(0, 1))$  that has no convergent subsequence.

Let  $f_n(x) = \cos(nx)$ . This has no convergent subsequence; as  $n$  gets infinitely large, the sequence oscillates rapidly, and will never converge. Note that  $T(f_n) = \cos(n/2x)$ , which suffers from the same problem.

#### Problem 4

Show that if  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq C^1([a, b])$  is  $C^1$ -closed,  $C^1$ -bounded and the collection  $\{f' : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is equicontinuous, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is compact (with respect to the  $C^1$ -norm). [Note: You can use the  $C^0$ -version of Arzela-Ascoli in your proof]

---

We argue  $\mathcal{F}$  is compact with respect to the  $C^1$  norm. Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{F}$ . We argue  $(f_n)$  has a convergent subsequence with respect to the  $C^1$  norm.

We argue that the sequence  $(f_n)'$  has a convergent subsequence with respect to the  $C^0$  norm.

Because  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $C^1$ -bounded, then  $\exists M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|f_n\|_{C^1} \leq M$ ; thus,  $\|f_n'\|_{C^0} \leq M$  for all  $n$ . Further note that this sequence is equicontinuous, as given in the problem statement. Thus, by the  $C^0$  Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, it has a convergent subsequence with respect to the  $C^0$  norm.

We further argue the sequence  $(f_n)$  has a convergent subsequence with respect to the  $C^0$  norm.

Note that because  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $C^1$ -bounded, then  $\exists M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\forall n, f_n' \leq M$ . Then each  $(f_n)$  is Lipschitz with a coefficient of  $M$ ; i.e.,  $(f_n)$  is equicontinuous. Furthermore, because  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $C^1$ -bounded, it must be  $C^0$ -bounded, so by the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, it has a convergent subsequence.

Finally, we argue  $(f_n)$  has a convergent subsequence with respect to the  $C^1$  norm.

Suppose  $(f_n)$  has a convergent subsequence  $f_{n_k} \rightarrow f$  and  $(f_n)'$  has a convergent subsequence  $f_{n_k}' \rightarrow v$  with respect to the  $C^0$ -norm. Then note that  $v = f'$ , and further, the distance metric

$$\|f_n - f_{n_k}\|_{C^1} = \|f_n - f_{n_k}\|_{C^0} + \|f_n' - f_{n_k}'\|_{C^0}$$

Because each term becomes arbitrarily small, the overall distance becomes arbitrarily small. Thus  $(f_{n_k})$  converges in the  $C^1$  norm.

## Problem 5

Show that if a subset  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq C^1([a, b])$  is compact (with respect to the  $C^1$ -norm), then it is  $C^1$ -closed,  $C^1$ -bounded and the collection  $\{f' : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is equicontinuous. [Note: You can use the  $C^0$ -version of Arzela-Ascoli in your proof]

---

Suppose that  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq C^1([a, b])$  is compact with respect to the  $C^1$ -norm.

We argue  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $C^1$ -closed. This has been proved in class: every compact set is closed.

We argue  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $C^1$ -bounded.

Fix  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Note that because the distance between any two points is finite, then the collection

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B(0, n)$$

forms an open cover of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Because  $\mathcal{F}$  is compact, given any open cover of  $\mathcal{F}$ , there exists a finite subcover of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then there must exist some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^k B(0, n)$$

still forms an open cover of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $k$  is an upper bound on the distance between 0 and  $\mathcal{F}$ , and thus  $\mathcal{F}$  is bounded.

We argue that  $\mathcal{F}' = \{f' : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is equicontinuous.

Note that our definition of equicontinuity is not dependent on the norm of the function; i.e., the set is equicontinuous in  $C^1$  if and only if it is equicontinuous in  $C^0$ . By the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, it suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{F}'$  is compact in  $C^0$ .

Note that the image of a compact set must be compact. We know that  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $C^1$ -compact and  $C^0$ -compact. Further note that  $\mathcal{F}'$  is the image of  $\mathcal{F}$  under the function  $(D(f))(x) = f'(x)$ . It thus suffices to show that  $D$  is continuous.

We have previously proven that every linear operator is continuous. Note that the derivative is a linear operator:

1.  $(f + g)' = f' + g'$
2.  $(\lambda f)' = \lambda f'$

...and thus the derivative is continuous.