

- Exceeds expectations
 - Meets expectations
-

CS-370-1-teamreview-6-a-1-Feedback

(2 points)

Eagle Rating

This question pertains to the project **Eagle Rating**, presented by the team **A***. The team members are **Henry Dierkes (hdierke)**, **Jonathan Wang (rwan388)**, **Tung Dinh (tvdinh)**, **Tuan Vinh (tvinh)**, **Wenzhuo Ma (wma44)**.

Please provide constructive feedback on the team's project and presentation. You may want to address some of the following questions:

- What is going well? What should the team *continue* doing?
- What parts of the project should be reconsidered or changed?
- Was the team's presentation clear and informative? What do you wish they had covered differently?
- Any miscellaneous suggestions?

Your feedback must be professional, polite, and respectful. Your feedback will be anonymously shared with the class.

Submitted answers:

- seems to have a lot of development in the backend, a lot of work has been done in the past week.
got a somewhat detailed plan for what will be due in the future sprints
- What is going well? UI looks good, perhaps add more colors?
What should be reconsidered? Don't show API keys during the presentation
Everything was very clear and informative
No further suggestions
- I like the current revamped UI. You mentioned that it wasn't perfect so I'm excited to see what's in store.

Very organized showcasing of the backend. I can see all the components such as ratingCount, comments etc..

Interesting to see some API in action.

- Not sure about the User verification section? What is the difference you mentioned?

Nice landing page, it looks like the Emory official website.

Could say something more about the database section.

Nice sprint board management

- They improved on their design and staying away from their rate my professor design. They started work on their backend with their user class.

- The review and addressal of feedback at the start of the presentation was clear and helpful in understanding the mindset of the team in this first week of development.

They explained how the use of a wireframe is very helpful in the development of the UI. This was posed as a lesson that they learned, and also as advice to the group, which was a useful component of the presentation.

They also showed a rundown of the backend and calls to it that was helpful in understanding their process of instantiating it.

Finally, the plans for future sprints was helpful in visualizing future progress to be made. This is an aspect that should be continued to be included in future presentations.

- Great responses to the feedback I think user retention would be key with the post/rating platform

I think the revamp of the UI was great as it separates you from RMP

Presentation was clear great progress

One problem I would highlight is how would you know the person rating has even visited the place their rating and not just making a fake review

Also please speak louder

- I like how informative the presentation is and how you had visual iamges for each part of ya project you wanted to show. There is not anything i would reccommend you guys changing.

- I liked that they are changing their UI so it looks less like a copied RMP. They should focus more on how to moderate the product.

- NICE LOGO

Very clean UI and organization

How do you test the current database by prototyping? Slightly confused about that part

- Great progress for the backend!
Your sprint board is very well organized.
I think that the presentation is a little unorganized.
 - Perhaps using slides is better than switching screens back and forth?

- The website looks really great but I hope the team can show some of the functionalities by clicking through buttons and links.
The team made a lot of progress on backend.
I like that the team went over the actual API in detail.

- The front end looked much better than prototype
The backend database is very well-organized. I didn't know that the github has project balcklog page and definitely think it organize tasks well
The front and back end all seems progressing well

- Eagle Rating's adaptation to feedback and improvements on its UI are commendable.
Furthermore, the group has taken measures such as utilizing PostmanAPI to address previously raised issues. Thus, the team's trajectory looks promising at the end of Sprint 1 but the team must execute a greater degree of change in upcoming sprints for optimal efficacy.

- Active response to feedbacks from last week.
Basic elements of home-page set-up.
Successfully connected to DB and set up a foundation framework for backend, and prove it is successfully running which is in good state for first week.
Overall, this group is in a good starting state and have approachable plans for future sprnts.

- The team did a good job addressing previous feedback/concerns. As a product owner, it makes me confident that the team will deliver something that meets my expectations.
The demo has a "Change Campus" button. Are you considering expanding to other univeristies? I also think you can make the font bigger.
The backend is very organized.
I think it is very cool that the team designed their own APIs.
I think your "future sprint" slides can incorporate more tasks in the "user stories" format.

- Very good progress on the front end, looks good
Good progress on the database as well

I thought the team did a good job of responding to feedback; I think maybe a positive goal of the project is the fact that they are making third spaces accessible to others, which are scarce at this point in time.

- Backend is well defined
Good structure overall
Frontend needs a little work

- I like the new design of the platform
... also was nice to see the actual website and its basic structure

As you mentioned, would probably be better to iron out a mockup (Figma) of the website before continuing with actual web design
... This will make it much easier to make any changes to the overall look before starting to code/implement it

I like the structure of the MongoDB... will users be required to enter all of this information when adding a location to the platform or is some optional?

I'm looking forward to seeing the overall 'flow' of how the site works for next sprint

- I like your positive response to user feedback especially the overhaul of the UI as most of the class wanted

Great backend progress, however I would like to hear your approach and explanation of how you did it,
i think this would help not only us understand your project more, but it will also be educational for the class.

- Your home page is good so far. It is good that you are creating a more unique user interface, but I recommend adding some design that differentiates your project and website not just from rate my professor, but also from Emory's landing pages.
I would suggest a unique navigation bar or logo that makes it more clear.

- Like: Changed it to be not-so RMP. UI looks a ton better!!
Change: Would've also enjoyed seeing the backlog.
Pres: Good speaking, lots of visuals.
Misc: Nice use of tech tools (Showdoc, Postman) esp for pre-merging of front and backend

- Great progress on the front and back end. I appreciate the decision to tailor the rating system platform to the product owners' feedback. The hierarchial organization of the different api designs is very efficient.

•
The updated UI design was very clean and looked easy to navigate.
Good backend progress, well organized in MongoDB.
Will there be any incentive for users to rate places that have little to no reviews?

-
- New logo looks very "America fourth of July" not swoop?
 - Make tasks more precise
 - Clear image of where you are plan moving forward

•
Nice presentation
Good progress, very clear
I like that they had a timeline

•
Doing well: I liked the redesigned UI much more, and the schema design will definitely make for much easier development later down the line
Reconsider/Change: Definitely don't store user passwords as plaintext strings - instead, maybe store them as SHA256 hashes as you'll only need to check a hashed input password with the hash you have on the backend
Clear/Informative: The backend demo was very nice, and coverage of what y'all were planning on doing next few sprints was comprehensive
Miscellaneous: None

-
- We expressed the whole workflow well
 - Might need to improve on more organized workflow and backlog
 - Making presentation more organized(some accidents occur)
 - Speak louder next time
 - Yes, I think overall our presentation is informative

•
The initial web site looks nice and well put together. They have clearly progressed significantly on the backend.
I hope to see a feature within the next two sprints just to see how the backend might interact with the front end.

•
The presentation is very clear since they made a google slides to include everything they are talking about for this sprint.
They also addressed the feedback from last presentation.

-
- improvement in uniqueness and appeal of site design
 - solid progress on the technical side
 - speak louder please
 - agree with refining backlog tasks will be applying this to my own group thank you
 - i think we could see you api keys briefly!

- Ww should definitely spend more time next sprint developing the frontend, making it interactive and then start connecting with our backend
We had a solid start for the backend, connecting to the database.

- Reiteration of product premise and goal is good.
I like the UI landing page and website website aesthetic.
Backend has been planned out thoroughly.

Overall MVC logic and future plans look good.

- going well
good timeline
nice frontend website
good database and backend structure
good respond to the feedbacks

countinue doing
add code and apis
continue working on more frontend pages

clear and informative
good explanation what did and what is going to do
clear presentation
good info provided what they did and how it will work

covered differently
what functions they are going to do
the frontend code

suggestions
doing well and cooperate more to help each other
do more frontend code showing

- I like how my team can present what they have done with the backend very clearly and demonstrate how the database will store the authorization tokens of new users, and passwords live to the audience. I think that our group needs to work on the front end and change our approach to the design: we must focus on designing a framework on how different elements will interact and flow first before concentrating on small aesthetic decisions, such as how to make the website fancy. My team's presentation was relatively clear and informative; however, I wish that some of our members had projected their voices or were more confident, as doing so would have helped deliver better what our product entails.

- Really good
Great progress on the frontend

•
I think the originality is way better now.
Great progress on the front end.

•
The initial UI design the group presents is very aesthetic and impressive.
If the group continues to make positive progress towards the prototype
they presented in the project proposal, I'd very like to try the final product
and use it on a daily basis.

•
Their front end looks good. They already set up a database of information about
places, which is good.
They also set up a back end that will store user accounts.
They designed an API which is very impressive. They also have a very organized
product backlog and sprint board.
They have a clear plan for the next sprint. The presentation is also clear and
informative. I think they are off to a good start!

•
The demo is indeed better than the prototype in proposal
The meet the team part might not be necessary in the final product
Database & Backend progress is also evidenced
However, the code display is not very readable and the sharing as a whole is
not very informative for other groups (low engagement level)
The api design part is good hope they can share more about what they have done
on that aspect for educational purpose
Detailed sprint board that show frequent usages and timely updates
Plans for future sprints are detailed (have different categories)
I think the workflow adjustment section is a good meta retrospection efforts
that can improve the 'teams efficiency for future sprint weeks look forwards to
updates on this regards next week

•
What is going well? What should the team continue doing?
liked how they directly addressed the feedback
I liked the change in the design of the website looks well
liked the explanation of the back-end and the set up of user accounts
What parts of the project should be reconsidered or changed?
n/a
Was the team's presentation clear and informative? What do you wish they had
covered differently?
was very clear and informative, I liked how they covered each individual aspect
of the website (frontend, backend, database)
they presented their code thoroughly and also provided examples to show how they
worked
Any miscellaneous suggestions?

•
Starting with feedback and ending with a clear plan of what their next goals
are is good to see as a product owner.

The frontend of the website looks good but doesn't look like it has much functionality yet.

It seems like the next thing to do is connect the front and backend and bring the whole website together.

The backend and database showcase was pretty good and showed that they've done a decent amount of preparing for actual data to start being put into the website.

CS-370-1-teamreview-6-a-2-Rating

(1 points)

Eagle Rating

This question pertains to the project **Eagle Rating**, presented by the team **A***. The team members are **Henry Dierkes (hdierke)**, **Jonathan Wang (rwan388)**, **Tung Dinh (tvdinh)**, **Tuan Vinh (tvinh)**, **Wenzhuo Ma (wma44)**.

Taking into account *only* this week's work, how do you rate the team's progress and presentation?

Your feedback will be anonymously shared with the class.

Select one:

- Exceeds expectations
- Meets expectations
- Needs improvement

Submitted answers:

- Exceeds expectations
- Meets expectations
- Exceeds expectations
- Meets expectations
- Exceeds expectations
- Meets expectations
-