

- Meets expectations
 - Meets expectations
-

CS-370-1-teamreview-6-a-1-Feedback

(2 points)

Eagle Rating

This question pertains to the project **Eagle Rating**, presented by the team **A***. The team members are **Henry Dierkes (hdierke)**, **Jonathan Wang (rwan388)**, **Tung Dinh (tvdinh)**, **Tuan Vinh (tvinh)**, **Wenzhuo Ma (wma44)**.

Please provide constructive feedback on the team's project and presentation. You may want to address some of the following questions:

- What is going well? What should the team *continue* doing?
- What parts of the project should be reconsidered or changed?
- Was the team's presentation clear and informative? What do you wish they had covered differently?
- Any miscellaneous suggestions?

Your feedback must be professional, polite, and respectful. Your feedback will be anonymously shared with the class.

Submitted answers:

- I like that it targets just the student body. It can easily become an officially emory affiliated app, similar to how OSU has the OSU app.

I would consider making it a mobile app.

Yes! The most entertaining presentation yet haha!

Misc: What are the good toilet spots on campus?
- I think it will be difficult for students to initially get on this app. I wonder if this is really a need for students.
The design of their webpage was put together really well and it looks really good.
I think they need to think about the drawbacks and potential difficulties more.
-

I like this idea as it makes study room selection and housing more convenient
I think there is a real need for something like this especially on large
campuses as it is nearly impossible to know everything about each building
Your presentation was clear but my only problem would be what separates you
from individual ratings on buildings such as on Google and other campus social
media apps that use buildings in their
I would possibly even suggest a function where users can communicate with one
another and maybe meet up in places

•
interesting website showing the ratings of different locations
however it seems like this app is rather limited, as it is heavily dependent on
the userbase.
maybe expand to more than just Emory.

•
I like the idea of having a community / forum that is open for college students
to chat and interact. The recommendations options seem very appropriate
for the platform, and I like how the design aligns with the RateMyProfessor
platform (I do hope to see a collaboration between the two). I think this is
a very mature proposal and I hope to see its progress in the coming weeks

•
I always have trouble finding interesting places to study, so I am very
invested in the idea for this project.

I think this project would be great for navigating campus, especially for newer
students.

I could see many freshmen using this project very often.

I think the community would definitely connect well with this idea, and hope to
see it developed well so that it can be used on campus.

Emory is notorious for not having very accessible information for students, so
this is a great issue to tackle.

I am a fan of the UI, and love that it makes use of Emory's natural beauty and
architecture.

The tag feature seems incredibly useful for navigating, and I'm glad that it's
an option.

The UI reminds me of RateMyProfessor, which is a very clean UI, so I think that
is a great choice. It also fits thematically with the idea.

•
How do you differentiate possible same places with different names. For
example, people may upload library study room with different names. (group
study room, study room)
Will you incorporate a map into the website to specify the location of the
places.
I think the idea is novel and it will make our community more interactive.

•

A rating app on different locations on Emory

The user interface is very similar to RateMyProfessor, which I think is a great starting point.

Very clear structure

•

The UI design is good and the presentation is clear.

Is this application only for Emory students? If it is, I suggest them to expand their users to other colleges as well.

•

Eagle Ratings

Working:

- nice idea
- fosters community at emory

Not Working:

- apps like moves have low retention rates- how will you keep up user retention?
- would people go to this app instead of asking friends?
- would people want to go to places that are trending?
- Why wouldn't you make an app instead of a website, people want to review things quickly

Clear and informative presentation

•

Are the places that are being rated only limited to dorms and study spaces. How will the website be organized. I think yall should keep track of the user's history of places they have already viewed. As a body we would really benefit from a food and dining rating tab and being more detailed in the contexts of study spaces (i.e. what time is libs 1st floor busy or what floor of Raoul Hall is best or why). For improved accessibility there should be a mobile app option as students at emory live a on-the-go lifestyle.

•

Their proof of need was actually funny and it did show the need for eagle rating. The team seems to have great chemistry and flow. Their mock up could use work on colors and style but overall good idea with the project.

•

Humorous usage of evidence to support the need for EagleRating.

I think this app would harm people that like to study in places that aren't widely known or often visited because they prefer that environment.

I like how the UI is similar to ratemyprofessor because it adds a sense of familiarity.

Eagle Rating is a cohesive project with a distinct target demographic and purpose;
it provides Emory students with a much-needed utility that I can see being widely implemented. However, given the breadth of locations on any college campus, I am concerned over how they plan to manage fraudulent user entries.

I think that the idea of creating a shared platform for rating different places at Emory is pretty cool!

How do you define "places" to start with, though? Do users upload places? Do you take them from the Google Map?

- If users upload them, what happens if multiple users are actually referring to the same place but using different descriptors?
- Can you tell that they are actually the same just by image they take?

I like the prototype and UI, it is clean and seems easy to use/

Filtering results and different categories is a great idea as well as location based results.

How will posts and comments be scanned for malicious content and inappropriate remarks?

Interesting idea and proto-type is well done!

- I like how in depth the mock up is. I can really see the potential of the project.
- Something I think you can consider is to make the style of the website less similar to RateMyProfessor. I think this would help with having a more unique platform.
- During the presentation, I think you could have elaborated on how you will restrict non Emory students from posting on the website.
- I think you could consider what happens when students rate places that are only accessible to people with certain credentials (for example freshman dorms).
- I also like how to highlight the comment response feature that makes it different from RateMyProfessor.

A* group presented the purpose, need and demand for this product very well. They conveyed this idea that would fill this product need on college campuses that does not currently exist.

The emphasis within the presentation of this being in a centralized site was particularly compelling, as a current problem is that such reviews are scattered online.

The platform mockup and the presentation of how the user would interact with the website was very comprehensive. The site's sense of community that was conveyed (reacting to posts, commenting, etc.) was intriguing and would be an aspect of the product that would draw users to it, and allow the group to retain users. This aspect of the product should be continued to be emphasized in development.

I saw no problems with the presentation, as the explanation of the proposed development to support the backend/frontend of the app seemed comprehensive to support the framework for Eagle Rating.

The group also seemed receptive to new ideas within the Q&A, which, as a social media website, indicates a successful development of the site.

•

The presentation was very clear and informative. It gave convincing reasoning to using their app.

The prototype is very well made.

I think this idea is very interesting because it targets a small group of people and there isn't any similar apps out there, so it would be very applicable and useful.

•

Eagle rating

What is going well? What should the team continue doing?

-i like the idea of improving

What parts of the project should be reconsidered or changed?

-how will change be implemented?

Was the team's presentation clear and informative? What do you wish they had covered differently?

-for name - will it be "x" rating for other universities or eagle rating?

Any miscellaneous suggestions?

-n/a

•

I think google map has the same function

I think Fizz has the same or similar usage.

Can people outside emory use it? If they can, how to make sure that the message does not have maybe malicious content or ads?

The presentation is amazing

It will be better with a filter funcion.

•

How can this appilcation cultivate a sense of community, this makes me confused, I persinally don't see this as a benefit.

How to make sure the categories incoporate all possible cases? What if a user wants to add a place that doesn't belong to any of the categories available.

What are the restrictions of the places can be added?
As mentioned in the risk slide of the presentation, how to ensure users have incentive to post and be involved?
Like the idea of comment on top of comments.

This is overall a clear and informative presentation.

I think the project idea is actually useful; I know things like wheretogo in NYC are popular, and it would be interesting if this could be expanded to other colleges. I also would be more inclined to use it if they focused on the 'foot traffic' feature discussed during the question stage.

I don't see why people would use this more than a campus reddit
the campus doesn't feel expansive enough for this to be much more effective than asking other people
there may be an issue specifically with studying, generally the best study spots are the most empty, so bringing people there will actually make it worse giving it worse reviews

good thought put into making a community

Market research is a good idea

For like parking lot, the available space are largely dependent on time in a day, will you reflect that in your project design?
The prototype front page looks pretty, rating page looks too much like rate my professor that I'm not sure if it will discourage some potential users
I also feel like only the already popular study spots will receive a rating, cause people always want to keep their favorite study spot private & quiet. so i guess the question is how do you encourage people to rate new study space
Could have stated more explicitly how will the intended users benefit from the platform
how can users add new study spots or is it predefined by you all the developers
I like how the time is displayed on the comment part -- cause it could also vary greatly by time of the year
great idea in getting feedbacks from the more general public

How will you foster engagement on campus?

Will there be community discussion forums in addition to ratings of specific locations?

Will comments be monitored or scanned for malicious content?

If someone wants to rate a location that doesn't belong to an existing category, can they create a new tag?

•
Very good presentation with good graphics and clearly explains the purpose/need. Demo looks very promising and well designed, the UI looks very clean and easy to use.

Could you maybe also integrate it with the Emory emails or something to automatically detect which building users can enter?

How do you plan to get enough ratings to get off the ground (mentioned but I think it needs more thought)?

•
Doing well: Really liked the mockup with all the most integral parts of the application

Reconsider/Changes: Using MongoDB is probably the right choice, but since the majority of your information hosted is images, you may need consider locally hosted MongoDB instance

Clear & Informative: Great coverage of potential risks especially with the user-input nature of the content

Any misc suggestions: Definitely create minimum viable products and get user feedback

•
Nice mockup and overall presentation! Your idea was communicated clearly.

Is this platform solely for rating places or for sharing general Emory thoughts? ... I saw a comment on the mockup about a CHEM 203 exam, not about a location.

Will all information on the platform be user generated or will it be launched with a set starting list of locations and information?

Is there a limit to the types of locations a user can upload? Would a plot of grass on the quad be allowed?

What if one user puts Woodruff Library as a location, and another user puts 3rd Floor of Woodruff Library...

... would you categorize these as the same or would they be unique and have separate cards?

•
app that describes best locations on campus for diff things like parking studying bathrooms etc

Just to begin, I want to mention that I really love this idea as it is something I would use.

One thing I would like you guys to incorporate would be a way to verify if a reviewer is an Emory student or faculty member so that reviews are and stay relevant.

Or if you guys plan to also incorporate reviews from visitors, how would you address fake reviews? Would you verify that the user actually visited Emory?

You mentioned market research so one suggestion is that please make sure that this research does not interfere with your progress.

One thing in the future that I Would like you guys to consider is preventing users from purposefully leaving many bad reviews to prevent people from going to that place.

•

What I think it is going well: Risk solutions
What parts should be reconsidered: Why Emory only? MongoDB cant store large images
Presentation was very clear and informative
No further suggestions

•

I feel like an elevator pitch. It was concise and I got the general premise of the application. I also like how the mockup was very detailed.

I think a big thing you guys should look at is that people will gatekeeper their favorite spot so expecting some people to share will be hard. Also, the mockup looks a lot like my professors so try and change it up.
Also the UI kind of looks like rate my professors ui, like really similar.

I believe that this presentation met expectations.

•

•

Good and easy-to-use interface
Where do the things you rate stop?
Rating process seems too tedious, people won't take the effort
Great answers to all questions, well thpught out project

•

Like: community-based, I like how places are focused on how locations are memories and experiences, not just geographical.
Improve: why can't you just ask your friends? also, what's wrong with reddit and discord already? Not sure if we need a whole platform for this.
Presentation: like the developed MVP.
Misc: I feel like we generally know what places exist at emory so we don't need a rating platform, but it could be interesting for those who aren't emory students coming to campus. Also, i feel like there could be too many places for this where a lot will be empty (e.g. watercooler on second floor at ESC).

•

What is going well? What should the team continue doing?
-being informative;
-good demonstration
-detailed evaluation of risk

What parts of the project should be reconsidered or changed?

-Permission part

Was the team's presentation clear and informative? What do you wish they had covered differently?

-Yes, I think we cover most stuff and evaluate our project comprehensively

Any miscellaneous suggestions?

-consider comments from Q&A and improve them later;

-note down risks in user stories

-further detailed interface

•

What does this team do?

My team is making Eagle Rating, a website for Emory University Students to Rate Places on Campus.

What does this team do well?

I liked how smoothly our presentation went; there were initial worries about how we would transition each slide and division of parts the members would talk about, but I was happy that we could concisely deliver our points to the audience. I was very proud of our prototype. Even though it was a static markup of our intended website, we let the user or stakeholders see what we envisioned for our product and how the website would function. Furthermore, I was delighted as we identified the need for our product and clarified that we were in a very early part of our development timeline and needed to know the answers. I conveyed that we will produce a minimum viable product (our priority), see how the customer or user base responds, and incrementally improve on our product. Moreover, my team addressed the risks associated with our project very well. We answered how we will manage moderation for potentially harmful posts.

What I'm worried about:

Since we are going to focus on building a minimum viable product to see how the customers are going to respond, I think the following weeks will be crucial for us, as we are going to have to define the constraints and narrow our focus so that we can produce a working prototype as efficient as possible; in the presentation itself, I clarified that we only have a vague understanding of what the customer wants so for the next few weeks as well as I should have mentioned on the presentation as well was to clarify our area of focus as I believe executing our plan and seeing how the customers will respond will be the tricky part.

•

Does this kind of thing already exist? I guess this is more organized

Have you thought about scaling this to different colleges

I feel like Rate My Professor has a summary version of this already, this just feels like an extension of it, not too sure about the demand that it might have on college students

The target audience seems like they will be very familiar with information about the place in question already

I think that the team has a good idea and a good design for now but with the level that their final product shows it seems like it might be a lot to do all the features in the time allotted.

It seems like they care a lot about people using the site and how people will use the site so I want to make sure they continue and uphold the promise of doing market research and testing.

Because user retention is so important for this project, I hope that the UI will continue to receive updates regarding its appearance. At the moment certain parts are a bit busy and could have a different look depending on how people use the site. (That's what the testing is for it seems)

I liked that you show the current alternative for your product and why your product is necessary.

I would consider if there will be an issue with false or malicious reviews, made by people who want to gatekeep or have an alternate agenda. People may leave bad reviews in their favorite study spots to get rid of crowds.

Impressive prototype. Your site is well organized, with a layout and design that seems to be intuitive and not confusing.

Overall, I personally consider this as a great idea that I believe emory students can relate to the need of such product and more applicable to a greater user base compared to other proposals .

I do agree that it would be more convenient and applicable to have a mobile application

Research (academic support)/ reddit posts (first-hand "testimony/evidence from potential users) support is more persuasive than listing the need for this platform in bullet points on the slide and I think this is a refreshing and helpful idea that I would consider adopting for future project proposal presentation.

I am wondering whether this product will be able to provide customized experience for users. For example, will they be able to have multiple collection of different set of places they want to visit for different needs? Will there be a recommendation system that automatically recommends places that particular users are more likely to visit compared to regular, popular places? (Etc. I like to study outdoor despite the library is a good place to study at) The web interface demo is detailed and immersive.

Additionally, they are able to elaborate on the potential concerns of their selected tech stack and address respective concerns based on their utilization of the tools, which is unseen in other presentations.

PS: cute Jujutsu Kaisen characters in the demo :33!

Gonna have to keep in mind self selection people with particularly bad/good experiences are the most likely to review locations maybe skews ratings
How are you going to get users to regularly review places or think to come to your app so that it keeps user engagement
How are you going to delineate locations or moderate the locations people add (avoiding redundancy/inappropriate)

•
going well

What we are going well is the project we proposed and thinking about.

Everyone will benefit from this.

Clear prototype provided for us to work further on.

continue doing

We need to think about more about how to solve the bad data uploaded by the users.

We need to think more about how to deal with the problem that the data goes larger and how to solve this problem.

Reconsidered or changed

We need to reconsider that some of the room or buildings cannot be reserved or accessed by some of the people,

it may need us to add some of the feature to remind people for that.

People may confused on where the room or building is, it will be a good idea to add the map plugins.

We may need some of the recommendation algorithm to help people to find some of the category of the place they would like to use.

Clear and informative.

Good analysis of what tools we may use.

Clear declaration on the benefit.

How the future data control and how to deal with the user base goes up.

suggestions

The lookings are similar to rate my professor, may need to change.

Let people to use as soon as possible to help app iteration

•
What is going well? What should the team continue doing?

The team explains well why there is a need and the benefits of the project.

What parts of the project should be reconsidered or changed?

The design looks a little bit too similar to rate my professor. Copyright issues?

Was the team's presentation clear and informative? What do you wish they had covered differently?

The presentation is clear and informative. They included everything that was needed.

Any miscellaneous suggestions?

Could you include how busy the study room/place is? How accessible is the place (close to the shuttle, food places, water refill, etc.)? Could you generate a summary of the study place based on the comments (what keywords show up the most)?

•
What is going well? What should the team continue doing?

like the inclusion of real life testimonials and evidence, mock-up of the product was very well done

What parts of the project should be reconsidered or changed?
it looks like rate my professor, possibly false information by the users (how
will it be monitored etc.)
Was the team's presentation clear and informative? What do you wish they had
covered differently?
i enjoyed it it was very clear and i think overall the form
Any miscellaneous suggestions?

CS-370-1-teamreview-6-a-2-Rating

(1 points)

Eagle Rating

This question pertains to the project **Eagle Rating**, presented by the team **A***. The team members are **Henry Dierkes (hdierke)**, **Jonathan Wang (rwan388)**, **Tung Dinh (tvdinh)**, **Tuan Vinh (tvinh)**, **Wenzhuo Ma (wma44)**.

Taking into account *only* this week's work, how do you rate the team's progress and presentation?

Your feedback will be anonymously shared with the class.

Select one:

- Exceeds expectations
- Meets expectations
- Needs improvement

Submitted answers:

- Exceeds expectations
- Exceeds expectations
- Exceeds expectations
- Exceeds expectations
- Exceeds expectations
- Meets expectations
-