Model Predictive Project Write Up

The model predictive method defines the duration of the trajectory and set N points in the duration with a duration of delta_t. The define the current state as:

$$[x_1, y_1, \psi_1, v_1, cte_1, e\psi_1]$$

Use the following update function and to get the state function in the N points:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t+1} &= x_t + v_t * \cos(\psi_t) * dt \\ y_{t+1} &= y_t + v_t * \sin(\psi_t) * dt \\ \psi_{t+1} &= \psi_t + \frac{v_t}{L_f} * \delta_t * dt \\ v_{t+1} &= v_t + a_t * dt \\ cte_{t+1} &= f(x_t) - y_t + v_t * \sin(e\psi_t) * dt \\ e\psi_{t+1} &= \psi_t - \psi des_t + \frac{v_t}{L_f} * \delta_t * dt \end{aligned}$$

Then the cost function is defined to characterize the difference the predicted state and the target state. By minimizing the cost function, the optimized actuator, which is a vector of acceleration and steer angle with constraints for each prediction is obtain, then update the state with the first prediction.

Why smaller dt is better?

Smaller dt provides the model with finer resolution.

Why larger N isn't always better?

Larger N means predictions more points, so for the same duration of trajectory, the computational time is larger.

How does time horizon (N*dt) affect the predicted path?

Time horizon N*dt relates to the car speed. If car speed is large, the N*dt should be smaller to reduce the possibility of large cost function.

3rd order polynomial is used to fit the waypoints:

```
for (int i = 0; i < ptsx.size(); i++) {
    double dx = ptsx[i] - px;
    double dy = ptsy[i] - py;
    waypoints_x.push_back(dx * cos(-psi) - dy * sin(-psi));
    waypoints_y.push_back(dx * sin(-psi) + dy * cos(-psi));
}

double* ptrx = &waypoints_x[0];
double* ptry = &waypoints_y[0];
Eigen::Map<Eigen::VectorXd> waypoints_x_eig(ptrx, 6);
Eigen::Map<Eigen::VectorXd> waypoints_y_eig(ptry, 6);
auto coeffs = polyfit(waypoints_x_eig, waypoints_y_eig, 3);
```

To solve the issue of latency, previous actuation is used:

```
// Only consider the actuation at time t.
AD<double> delta = vars[delta_start + t - 1];
AD<double> a = vars[a_start + t - 1];
if (t > 1) { // use previous actuations (to account for latency)
    delta = vars[delta_start + t - 2];
    a = vars[a_start + t - 2];
}
```