

Numerical Aspects of LQCD

The goal of numerical calculations of Lattice QCD is to solve the Feynman Path integral.

$$\langle O \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D}U \mathcal{D}\Psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi} e^{-S} O[U, \Psi, \bar{\Psi}]$$

This integral is highly dimensional. This poses a problem because even with largest computers, resources are limited. To perform any calculation on any computer, the computer needs to:

- retrieve data/information (communication)
- compute cycles
- Memory to store input/output.

From a computational perspective, to solve this integral we require two resources: memory and compute cycles.

An efficient calculation needs to balance the use of these resources.

Communications can become a limiting factor as well.

We can look at the fermion action to get a sense of the numerical problem.

$$S_F^0[\psi, \bar{\psi}] = a^4 \sum_{n \in \Lambda} \bar{\psi}(n) \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^4 \gamma_{\mu} \frac{\psi(n + \hat{\mu}) - \psi(n - \hat{\mu})}{2a} + m \psi(n) \right)$$

The above form of the action shows that for a position n , only the nearest neighbors are involved.

Exercise 1,

Write the above equation as $\bar{\Psi} D \Psi$ and find the form of D . Use $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

$$\Psi_a D_{ab} \Psi_b$$

$$(\bar{\psi}_1 \bar{\psi}_2 \bar{\psi}_3 \bar{\psi}_4) \alpha^\mu \sum_{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} m & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & m & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & m & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\alpha^\mu \sum_{\mu} (\bar{\psi}_1 m + \bar{\psi}_2, \bar{\psi}_1 + m \bar{\psi}_2 + \bar{\psi}_3, \bar{\psi}_2 + \bar{\psi}_3 m + \bar{\psi}_4, \bar{\psi}_3 + m \bar{\psi}_4) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \bar{\psi}_1 \psi_1 m + \bar{\psi}_2 \psi_1 + \bar{\psi}_1 \psi_2 + m \bar{\psi}_2 \psi_2 + \bar{\psi}_2 \psi_3 + \bar{\psi}_3 \psi_1 m + \bar{\psi}_4 \psi_3 \\ + \bar{\psi}_3 \psi_4 + m \bar{\psi}_4 \psi_4$$

The above exercise shows that the Dirac operator is a sparse matrix. In practice, it makes more sense to define the action of \mathcal{D} on ψ as a function instead of an operation.

$$\begin{aligned}
& T(U'|U) \exp(-S[U]) \\
&= T_0(U'|U) \min \left(1, \frac{T_0(U|U') \exp(-S[U'])}{T_0(U'|U) \exp(-S[U])} \right) \exp(-S[U]) \\
&= \min(T_0(U'|U) \exp(-S[U]), T_0(U|U') \exp(-S[U'])) \\
&= T(U|U') \exp(-S[U'])
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Prove that detailed balance is satisfied.

$$\begin{aligned}
T(U'|U) e^{-S[U]} &= T_0(U'|U) \min \left(1, \frac{T_0(U|U')}{T_0(U'|U)} \frac{e^{-S[U']}}{e^{-S[U]}} \right) e^{-S[U]} \\
&= \min(T_0(U'|U) e^{-S[U]}, T_0(U|U') e^{-S[U']})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T(U|U') e^{-S[U']} &= T_0(U|U') \min \left(1, \frac{T_0(U',U)}{T_0(U|U')} \frac{e^{-S[U]}}{e^{-S[U']}} \right) e^{-S[U']} \\
&= \min(T_0(U|U') e^{-S[U]}, T_0(U',U) e^{-S[U']})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow T(U|U') e^{-S[U]} = T(U'|U) e^{-S[U']}$$

