Moving from for to foreach

Henry Scharf November 20, 2014

${\bf Contents}$

testing slide	2
Why?	2
Options in R	2
Why foreach?	2
Goal: transform a traditional for loop into a foreach loop	2
The data and research question	3
some nice figures	3
Fitting generalized linear models and extracting prediction error	3
K fold CV with a for loop	4
K fold CV with an apply function	4
K fold CV with a foreach loop	5
Results	5
Components of a foreach loop	5
terators	5
Our same example with an iterator instead of a list	5
<pre># set global chunk options Library(knitr) opts_chunk\$set(cache=TRUE) Library(highr) cmd_mine = highr:::cmd_html cmd_mine[2,] <- c('','') opts_knit\$set(highr.opts = list(markup = cmd_mine)) sum(1:2)</pre>	

[1] 3

testing slide

text

Why?

Embarassingly parallel tasks

- computational tasks which involve many, separate, independently executable calculations
- common statistical examples of embarassingly parallel processes:
- bootstrapping
 - cross-validation
 - simulating independent random variables (dorng)
- non-parallel processes:
 - MCMC algorithms
 - stepwise model selection (e.g.: step())
- For loops that do not explicitly involve dependent calculations are wasteful, especially if we have multiple processors available
- Perhaps even worse, the time cost of using a wasteful approach can put some useful statistical tools beyond our reach!

Options in R

- changing from a for loop to one of the apply() functions can help, but still doesn't use multiple processors
- parallel package (thanks, Miranda!)
- don't use R

Why foreach?

We would like to find a way to make use of our whole computer, and make useful tasks like bootstrapping available to use, but without having to invest large amounts of time in learning new programming languages. Enter foreach, which keeps the structure of a for loop, but allows us to drop two key assumptions:

- · sequentiality
- single processor architecture

Goal: transform a traditional for loop into a foreach loop

We will begin with a simple chunk of R code involving a for loop, and transform it. Along the way, we'll take a look at the equivalent computation done with an apply() function, and see that using foreach and multiple processors outperforms this too.

The data and research question

We are going to look at data from the New York City bikeshare program (Citibike).

- 7 busiest (on average) locations from May 2014
- response is # of arrivals each hour of every day in the month
- covariates are hour of the day, and whether the day is a weekend

Goal: find a model which can offer good prediction. Start with a few plausible models and use K fold cross validation to decide which one to use.

some nice figures

<—! loess smoother —>

Fitting generalized linear models and extracting prediction error

We now suppose that we're considering three increasingly complex models of the arrival behavior. In order to compare the three models prediction error, we'll use K fold cross validation, where we use the same folds for all three models.

Here's some familiar code that sets things up:

```
{\bf source("`~/git/fixschewitt/foreach/citibike\_new\_york/EDA/subsetting\_arrivals\_attributes.R")}
```

```
## Loading required package: iterators
## Loading required package: parallel
```

```
get.errs <- function(test.set = NULL,</pre>
                      discarded = NULL) {
    sml.glm <- glm(arrivals ~</pre>
                    bs(hour, degree = 4)
                    + weekend
                    + as.factor(id),
                    data = arrivals.sub[-c(discarded, test.set), ],
                    family = "poisson")
    med.glm <- glm(arrivals ~</pre>
                    bs(hour, degree = 4)*weekend
                    + as.factor(id),
                    data = arrivals.sub[-c(discarded, test.set), ],
                    family = "poisson")
    big.glm <- glm(arrivals ~</pre>
                    bs(hour, degree = 4)*weekend
                    + bs(hour, degree = 4)*as.factor(id),
                    data = arrivals.sub[-c(discarded, test.set), ],
                    family = "poisson")
    sml.err <- mean((predict(object = sml.glm,</pre>
                              newdata = arrivals.sub[test.set, -7],
                               type = "response") -
                      arrivals[test.set, 7])^2)
```

Next, we make our K-fold test sets (and implicitly, our training sets):

```
K <- 50
N <- dim(arrivals.sub)[1]
## kill off 8 observations and make cv test sets
set.seed(1985)
discarded <- sample(1:N, size = 8)
cv.test.sets <- matrix(sample((1:N)[-discarded], size = N - 8), ncol = K)</pre>
```

K fold CV with a for loop

Using a naive for loop, we could implement this as:

```
## user system elapsed
## 18.064 1.071 19.650
```

K fold CV with an apply function

If you're good with apply() functions you might upgrade to

```
## user system elapsed
## 18.765 1.097 20.979
```

Neither of the first two methods take advantage of multiple processors. While apply() functions avoid the inherently sluggish nature of for loops in R, they are still ignorant of the processor structure. We want to chop the job into halves, fourths, etc. and use the *whole* computer!

K fold CV with a foreach loop

Here is the same computation written with a foreach() loop

Results

Components of a foreach loop

- %do% and %dopar%
- arguments to consider
 - .combine can take on the intuitive values c, cbind,
 - inorder

iterators

Sometimes the list or vector that we are iterating over (in the above case, the vector 1:N) can be a very large object. In our case, the vector is quite reasonable in size, but perhaps the object we were iterating over was a multi-dimensional object, with many values, and a high level of precision. In this case, we'd be storing a massive object, which could potentiall fill up our useable memory and slow things down. We could save memory by only keeping the piece of our list we need for a given calculation, and dumping the rest. This is the idea behind the iterators package in R.

Our same example with an iterator instead of a list

```
## user system elapsed
## 27.958 1.881 9.895
```