

About This Lesson

Linear Regression: Example 1

Quantitative Predicting Variables:

 X_1 = the amount (in hundreds of dollars) spent on advertising

 X_2 = the total amount of bonuses paid

 X_3 = the market share in each territory

 X_4 = the largest competitor's sales

Qualitative Predicting Variable:

 X_5 = a variable to indicate the region in which office is located (1 = south, 2 = west, 3 = midwest)

Response Variable:

Y = yearly sales (in thousands of dollars)









_

Example 1: Estimation & Interpretation

- a. Fit a linear regression with all predictors. What are the estimated regression coefficients and the estimated regression line?
- b. Interpret the coefficients. Compare the estimated coefficient for the advertisement expenditure variable under the conditional (full) model vs. the marginal (one predictor) model.
- c. What change does the full regression model predict for yearly sales as the advertisement expenditure increases by an additional \$1,000? Is this prediction different when compared to that from the simple linear model with the advertisement expenditure variable only?
- d. What is the estimate of the error variance under the full model? Is it different from that under the simple linear regression model? Why?



Example 1: Estimation & Interpretation

meddcor=read.table("meddcor.txt", sep = "", header=FALSE)
colnames(meddcor) = c("sales", "advertising", "bonuses", "marketshare", "largestcomp", "region")
meddcor\$region = as.factor(meddcor\$region)
model = Im(sales ~ ., data = meddcor)
summary(model)

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	117.0200	192.9732	0.606	0.5518
advertising	1.4092	0.2687	5.244	5.49e-05
bonuses	1.0123	0.4641	2.181	0.0427
marketshare	3.1548	2.9802	1.059	0.3038
largestcomp	-0.2354	0.2338	-1.007	0.3275
region2	53.6285	34.7359	1.544	0.1400
region3	267.9569	47.5577	5.634	2.40e-05 ***

Residual standard error: 55.57 on 18 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.9555, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9407 F-statistic: 64.42 on 6 and 18 DF, p-value: 3.466e-11

Georgia Tech

5

Example 1: Estimation & Interpretation

meddcor=read.table("meddcor.txt", sep="", header=FALSE)
colnames(meddcor)=c("sales", "advertising", "bonuses", "marketshare", "largestcomp", "region")

meddcor\$region = as.factor(meddcor\$region) model = Im(sales ~ ., data = meddcor) summary(model)

Coefficients:

Occinicionis.				
1010	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	117.0200	192.9732	0.606	0.5518
advertising	1.4092	0.2687	5.244	5.49e-05
bonuses	1.0123	0.4641	2.181	0.0427
marketshare	3.1548	2.9802	1.059	0.3038
largestcomp	-0.2354	0.2338	-1.007	0.3275
region2	53.6285	34.7359	1.544	0.1400
region3	267.9569	47.5577	5.634	2.40e-05 **

Residual standard error: 55.57 on 18 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.9555, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9407

F-statistic: 64.42 on 6 and 18 DF, p-value: 3.466e-11

a. Estimated Regression Coefficients

b. Conditional model:

 $\hat{\beta}_{adv} = 1.4092$

The expected additional gain in sales in thousands for \$100 additional expenditure in advertisement while holding all other fixed.

Marginal model:

 $\hat{\beta}_{adv} = 2.772$

The expected additional gain in sales in thousands for \$100 additional expenditure in advertisement not accounting for other predicting variables.



Example 1: Estimation & Interpretation

meddcor = read.table("meddcor.txt", sep = "", header = FALSE)

colnames(meddcor) = c("sales", "advertising", "bonuses", "marketshare", "largestcomp", "region")

meddcor\$region = as.factor(meddcor\$region)

model = Im(sales ~ ., data = meddcor) summary(model)

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	117.0200	192.9732	0.606	0.5518
advertising	1.4092	0.2687	5.244	5.49e-05
bonuses	1.0123	0.4641	2.181	0.0427
marketshare	3.1548	2.9802	1.059	0.3038
largestcomp	-0.2354	0.2338	-1.007	0.3275
region2	53.6285	34.7359	1.544	0.1400
region3	267.9569	47.5577	5.634	2.40e-05 **

Residual standard error, 55.57 on 18 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.9555, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9407 F-statistic: 64.42 on 6 and 18 DF, p-value: 3.466e-11

c. An additional \$1,000 in advertising expenditures results in \$14,092 additional sales under the full model and \$27,720 additional sales under the simple linear model

Which is more meaningful? Because sales varies with other factors, the interpretation based on multiple regression is more meaningful.

d. Under the full model, the variance estimate is (55.57)². Under the simple linear model, the variance estimate was (101.4)².

Why? More variability in the response is explained when including multiple predicting variables versus only one.

Georgia Tech

Linear Regression: Example 2

Explanatory Factors:

- X_2 = Median income of families of test takers, in hundreds of dollars
- X₃ = Average number of years that test takers had in social sciences, natural sciences, and humanities
- X₄ = % of test takers who attended public schools
- X₅ = State expenditure on secondary schools, in hundreds of dollars per student

Controlling Factors:

- X_1 = % of total eligible students in the state who took the exam
- X₆ = Median percentile of ranking of test takers within their secondary school classes



SAT Mean Score by State — Year 1982 790 (South Carolina) – 1088 (Iowa)

> Georgia Tech

