

Regression Analysis

Model Selection

Nicoleta Serban, Ph.D.

Professor

Stewart School of Industrial and Systems Engineering

Prediction Risk Estimation

About This Lesson



Bias-Variance Tradeoff

- **Variable Selection:** Bias vs. Variance
 - Many covariates
 - Low bias, high variance
 - Few covariates
 - High bias, low variance
 - Too few covariates
 - High bias, high variance
- **Prediction Risk:** Measure of the Bias-Variance Tradeoff

$$R(S) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(\hat{Y}_i(S) - Y_i^*)^2$$

with $\hat{Y}_i(S)$ the fitted response for submodel S and Y_i^* the future observation

We cannot obtain the prediction risk because we do not have the future observations.

How to estimate?

Training Risk

- Replace future observations with actual observations

$$R_{\text{tr}}(S) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{Y}_i(S) - Y_i)^2$$

with $\hat{Y}_i(S)$ the fitted response for submodel S and Y_i the actual observation

- Uses data twice (data snooping): upward bias in prediction risk estimate
- Always prefers/selects larger/more complex model

→ Correcting for the bias

$$R_{\text{tr}}(S) + \textit{Complexity Penalty}$$

Variable Selection Criteria

→ **Correcting for the bias:** $R_{\text{tr}}(S) + \text{Complexity Penalty}$

- **Mallow's Cp:** *Complexity Penalty* =
$$\frac{2|S|\hat{\sigma}^2}{n}$$

where $|S|$ is the model size (number of predictors) and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is the estimated variance based on the full model.

- **Akaike Information Criterion (AIC):** *Complexity Penalty* =
$$\frac{2|S|\sigma^2}{n}$$

where $|S|$ is the model size and σ^2 is the true variance.

- For AIC, we need to replace σ^2 with an estimate (from the full model or from the S submodel).

Variable Selection Criteria (cont'd)

→ **Correcting for the bias:** $R_{\text{tr}}(S) + \text{Complexity Penalty}$

- **Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC):**

$$\text{Complexity Penalty} = \frac{|S|\sigma^2 \log(n)}{n}$$

where $|S|$ is the model size and σ^2 is the true variance

- For BIC, we need to replace σ^2 with an estimate (from the full model or from the S submodel)
- BIC penalizes complexity more than other approaches
 - Preferred in model selection for prediction

Variable Selection Criteria (cont'd)

→ **Correcting for the bias:** $R_{\text{tr}}(S) + \text{Complexity Penalty}$

- **Leave-one-out Cross Validation**

$$R_{\text{CV}}(S) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{Y}_{(i)}(S) - Y_i)^2$$

where $\hat{Y}_{(i)}(S)$ is the i -th predicted value from the S submodel without the i -th observation

- **Leave-one-out Cross Validation Approximation**

$$\hat{R}_{\text{CV}}(S) \approx R_{\text{tr}}(S) + \frac{2|S|\hat{\sigma}^2(S)}{n}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}^2(S)$ is the estimated variance based on the S submodel.

Generalized Linear Models

Training Risk for Generalized Linear Models (including for logistic regression and Poisson regression)

$$R_{\text{tr}}(S) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 2 Y_i \log[Y_i / \hat{Y}_i(S)] + 2(n_i - Y_i) \log[(n_i - Y_i) / (n_i - \hat{Y}_i(S))]$$

where $\hat{Y}_i(S)$ the fitted response for submodel S and Y_i the actual observation

→ **Correcting for the bias:** $R_{\text{tr}}(S) + \text{Complexity Penalty}$

- AIC & BIC are commonly used for model selection for GLMs

- 

Model Selection Criteria Using R

```
library(CombMSC)
n = nrow(datasat)
```

full model

```
c(Cp(regression.line, S2=summary(regression.line)$sigma^2),
  AIC(regression.line, k=2), AIC(regression.line, k=log(n)))
[1] 7.016756 471.698197 486.994381
```

reduced model

```
c(Cp(regression.red, S2=summary(regression.line)$sigma^2),
  AIC(regression.red, k=2), AIC(regression.red, k=log(n)))
[1] 29.67045 490.59880 498.24689
```

- Mallow's C_p : $\hat{\sigma} = 24.86$ is the estimated standard deviation for the full model
 - Use the estimated variance, $\hat{\sigma}^2$, as the S2 parameter value
- **BIC**: Similar to **AIC**, but the AIC complexity is further penalized by $\log(n)/2$
- The values of the three criteria are different and not comparable
- The full model is better according to all three criteria

Summary

