



_

Linear Regression Analysis in R

```
regression.line = Im(sat ~ takers + rank + income + years + public + expend)
summary(regression.line)
```

Coefficients:

```
Estimate Std.
                             Error t value
                                             Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -94.659109 211.509584
                                    -0.448 0.656731
            -0.480080
                         0.693711
                                    -0.692 0.492628
takers
            8.476217
                         2.107807
                                     4.021 0.000230 ***
rank
income
            -0.008195
                         0.152358 -0.054 0.957353
           22.610082
                         6.314577
                                     3.581 0.000866 ***
years
public
            -0.464152
                         0.579104 -0.802 0.427249
            2.212005
                         0.845972
                                     2.615 0.012263 *
expend
```

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 26.34 on 43 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.8787, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8618

F-statistic: 51.91 on 6 and 43 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

Georgia Tech

3

Linear Regression Analysis in R

```
regression.line = Im(sat ~ takers + rank + income + years + public + expend) summary(regression.line)
```

Coefficients:

```
Estimate Std.
                             Error t value
                                              Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -94.659109 211.509584
                                     -0.448 0.656731
takers
            -0.480080
                         0.693711
                                     -0.692 0.492628
rank
            8.476217
                         2.107807
                                     4.021 0.000230 ***
income
            -0.008195
                         0.152358 -0.054 0.957353
           22.610082
                         6.314577
                                     3.581 0.000866 ***
years
                                    -0.802 0.427249
public
            -0.464152
                         0.579104
                                    2.615 0.012263 *
expend
            2.212005
                         0.845972
```

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 "*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error 26.34 on 43 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.8787,

F-statistic: 51.91 on 6 and 43 DF,

p-value: < 2.2e-16

```
Test for statistical significance:
```

```
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \widehat{\beta}_{takers} & \Pr(>|\mathbf{t}|) \approx \\ \widehat{\beta}_{rank} & \Pr(>|\mathbf{t}|) \approx \\ \widehat{\beta}_{income} & \Pr(>|\mathbf{t}|) \approx \\ \widehat{\beta}_{years} & \Pr(>|\mathbf{t}|) \approx \\ \widehat{\beta}_{years} & \Pr(>|\mathbf{t}|) \approx \\ \widehat{\beta}_{public} & \Pr(>|\mathbf{t}|) \approx \\ \widehat{\beta}_{expend} & \Pr(>|\mathbf{t}|) \approx \\ \end{array}
```

```
\hat{\sigma} = 26.34, df = n-p-1 = 43
R<sup>2</sup> \approx 0.879 \Rightarrow 87.9\% of variability explained
```

Georgia Tech

4

Testing for Subsets of Coefficients

Compare models: reduced with controlling variables only vs. full with all variables

anova(regression.line) Analysis of Variance Table

Response: sat

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
takers	1	181024	181024	260.8380	< 2.2e-16 ***
rank	1	11209	11209	16.1512	0.0002313 ***
income	1	2858	2858	4.1182	0.0486431 *
years	1	16080	16080	23.1701	1.86e-05 ***
public	1	252	252	0.3631	0.5499447
expend	1	4745	4745	6.8369	0.0122629 *
Residuals 43		29842	694		

compute partial-F statistic

fstat = ((2858+16080+252+4745)/4)/(29842/43) pvalue = 1-pf(fstat,4,43) pvalue

[1] 3.349778e-05 Georgia Tech

5

Testing for Subsets of Coefficients

Test:
$$H_0$$
: $\beta_{income} = \beta_{public} = \beta_{years} = \beta_{expend} = 0$

How were the F-statistic and the p-value computed?

$$F\text{--statistic} = \frac{SS_{Reg}(income, public, years, expend \mid takers, rank)/4}{SSE/(50-6-1)}$$

$$Pr(F_{4.43} > F-statistic) = 1 - Pr(F_{4.43} < F-statistic)$$

Interpretation: The p-value is approximately 0, thus reject the null hypothesis. We conclude that at least one other predictor among the four predictors (*income*, *years*, *public* and *expend*) will be significantly associated to the state-average SAT score.



Using Residuals to Create Better Rankings

Bias Selection: Some state universities require the SAT and some require a competing exam. States with a high proportion of takers probably have "in state" requirements for the SAT. In states without this requirement, only the more elite students will take the SAT, causing a bias.

```
## Consider model with the two controlling factors to correct for bias
reduced.line = Im(sat ~ takers + rank)
## obtain the order of states by the residuals of the reduced model
order.vec = order(reduced.line$res, decreasing = TRUE)
## Reorder states. Create table including state name, new and old order.
states = factor(data[order.vec, 1])
new table = data.frame(State = states, Residual = as.numeric(round(reduced.line$res[order.vec],
1)), oldrank = (1:50)[order.vec])
new table
```

Georgia Tech

7

Using Residuals to Create Better Rankings State Residual oldrank After controlling for selection 1 Connecticut 53.9 35 bias, Connecticut moved from 2 low a 53.5 1 35th to 1st. 45.8 28 3 New Hampshire Massachusetts 41.9 41 5 36 New York 40.9 7 6 Minnesota 40.6 7 Kansas 35.8 4 8 SouthDakota 33.4 2 -31.2 12 43 Arkansas 44 WestVirginia -38.925 45 Nevada -45.4 30 After controlling for selection 46 Mississippi -49.3 16 bias, Mississippi moved from 47 Texas -50.345 16th to 46th. 48 Georgia -63.0 49 49 NorthCarolina -71.3 48 50 SouthCarolina -98.5 50

