Federating Distributed Storage For Clouds In ATLAS

Berghaus F¹, Casteels K¹, Di Girolamo A², Driemel C¹, Ebert M¹, Furano F², Galindo F³, Lassnig M², Leavett-Brown C¹, Paterson M¹, Serfon C⁴, Seuster R¹, Sobie R¹, Tafirout R³, Taylor R P¹

- ¹ University of Victoria, Canada
- ² CERN, Switzerland
- ³ TRIUMF, Canada
- ⁴ University of Oslo, Norway

E-mail: frank.berghaus@cern.ch

Abstract. Input data for applications that run in cloud computing centres can be stored at distant repositories, often with multiple copies of the popular data stored at many sites. Locating and retrieving the remote data can be challenging, and we believe that federating the storage can address this problem. A federation would locate the closest copy of the data on the basis of GeoIP information. Currently we are using the dynamic data federation DynaFed [1], a software solution developed by CERN IT. DynaFed supports several industry standards for connection protocols like Amazon's S3, Microsoft's Azure, as well as WebDAV and HTTP. Dynafed functions as an abstraction layer under which protocol-dependent authentication details are hidden from the user, requiring the user to only provide an X509 certificate.

1. Introduction

We aim to run data-intensive applications on globally distributed opportunistic resources that have no local grid storage. The ATLAS experiment leverages a globally distributed system of infrastructure as a service (IaaS) clouds as part of its distributed computing system. These resources are integrated into the ATLAS distributed computing system using the Cloud Scheduler [3] technology developed at the University of Victoria. These IaaS resources are used opportunistically, and do not support any local grid infrastructure.

The workflows executed by high energy physics experiments often demand large volumes of input data or produce a significant volume of output data. We aim to use a data federation, such as DynaFed, to redirect the applications running on opportunistic resources to the optimal storage endpoint to retrieve input or deposit output data. We also aim to integrate storage solutions offered by cloud providers into the ATLAS distributed data management system using DynaFed.

In this paper we explain a system leveraging Cloud Scheduler and Dynafed which successfully executed functional test jobs as part of the ATLAS distributed computing system on the CERN OpenStack [4] cloud resource that read their input from and wrote their output to an object store implemented using Ceph [5] and exposing an S3 [6] interface.

2. Conceptual Design

The ATLAS experiment leverages the resources of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid, WLCG [7]. The computing centres that are part of the WLCG and support ATLAS provide




Figure 1. The dynamic federation is connected to multiple endpoints. Each endpoint may be a file system or an object store accessible using a protocol which allows redirection, such as WebDAV or HTTP. The dynamic federation provides a namespace that is a union of all the namespaces of the endpoints. That namespace is presented as a regular directory structure by the Dynafed. The content of a displayed directory is calculated when accessed.

a global storage infrastructure for the experiment data and simulated events. They may be accessed using standard protocols such as HTTP and WebDAV. Dynafed supports storage backends that offer HTTP and WebDAV access and promises sufficient scalability to create the appearance of a single virtual namespace for the entire ATLAS data catalogue. Figure 1 shows how Dynafed could unify the namespaces of attached storage elements into a single namespace.

Cloud storage systems are object stores that expose a well-defined interface such as S3, Swift, or Azure. DynaFed supports these protocols as storage backends. On the user-facing side DynaFed still presents an HTTP or WebDAV interface implementing authentication and authorization through public key infrastructure with grid extensions [8]. When DynaFed forwards clients to cloud storage systems, it translates their grid credentials to pre-signed URL that permit, for a limited time, access to the cloud storage system.

3. Data Access

The dynamic federation used for this work was configured to use three endpoints: one at CERN, one at TRIUMF, and one at the University of Victoria. Each endpoint was a CephS3 object store. Table 1 illustrates the task division within the dynamic federation to handle client requests.

To access data through DynaFed a client makes a request for a file using WebDAV or HTTP.We will focus on WebDAV from here on. In our configuration the DynaFed only allows access to members of the ATLAS Virtual Organization. The client must provide X509 credentials with the request. The credential must be signed by a trusted certificate authority. If the credential contains VOMS extensions certifying the user to be a member of the ATLAS collaboration, access is granted. Without VOMS extensions the DynaFed checks the credential against all current members of the ATLAS collaboration and grants access if a match is found. Administrators and data management services have privileged accounts. Authorized clients are redirected to a signed URL on the closest CephS3 endpoint. Authorization is granted explicitly

Table 1. The dynamic web federation is an Apache server running the LCGDM implementation of WebDAV. The namespace usually managed by LCGDM has been replaced by the uniform general redirector (UGR) which translates the requests to the web file system to the connected endpoints. The endpoint modules handle the communication with the configured endpoints. All requests are cached in memory on the server as well as in a second-level cache which may be shared across multiple load-balanced servers.

1	
Component	Purpose
Apache	Load the lcgdm_dav module and start up a WebDAV server
lcgdm_dav	Configure dmlite and load the uniform general redirector
dmlite	as namespace plugin
UGR	Configure authentication and endpoints
dmlite ⋅ value webDAV/HTTP S3 Azure	Communicate with endpoints on request
Memcached	Cache recent redirects to distributed object caching system

for reading, writing, listing, and/or deleting operations.

When a file is requested the dynamic federation checks whether the locations of the file are already in its cache. If so the cached entry is used, otherwise each endpoint is queried for the file after name translation to that endpoint. DynaFed waits for responses¹ to collect and cache. The resulting endpoints are evaluated for proximity to the client and the client is redirected to the closest copy. The DynaFed regularly polls all connected endpoints to determine if they are reachable. Should an endpoint be unresponsive, requests will not be forwarded to it.

4. Application Workflow

In order to integrate the dynamic federation into the ATLAS distributed computing and data management system, it was defined as a storage element associated with the CERN-EXTENSION grid site. It was configured to be accessible using WebDAV and flagged as special in the ATLAS grid information system to allow Rucio [?] to select a n implementation of a copy tool that does not move or rename files.

The input datasets for analysis and production functional tests were transferred to the dynamic federation using the file transfer service at CERN. Once the transfers completed successfully the data was registered manually in the Rucio data catalogue.

With the input data registered in the data catalogue it was possible to run grid jobs against the data in the dynamic federation. The jobs were executed on virtual machines hosted on the CERN OpenStack using the Cloud Scheduler technology as illustrated in figure 2. The resulting data and logs were uploaded to the CephS3 storage via DynaFed upon job completion.

Some additional development is required for full integration of cloud storage into the ATLAS distributed data management: bulk transfers negotiated between storage endpoints using the HTTP or WebDAV protocols must be fully supported, and the data management system must be able to parse the checksums of files on cloud storage.

5. Summary

It was shown that ATLAS jobs can retrieve and deposit their data on a cloud storage system accessed via a dynamic federation using the WebDAV protocol. The jobs ran on virtual machine instances in a cloud and could be scheduled anywhere in the distributed cloud system currently

 $^{^{1}}$ Up to a given timeout set to 3 seconds here




Figure 2. A client (AutoPyFactory or Harvester) submits pilot wrapper scripts to an HTCondor queue. The queue is monitored by a Cloud Scheduler. The Cloud Scheduler makes requests to connected cloud interfaces in response to queued jobs. The cloud infrastructures create virtual machine instances and provide user-data to cloud-init running in the virtual machines for configuration. The VM instances are configured to connect to HTCondor and start consuming jobs from the queue. The pilot wrapper scripts run on the virtual machines and download the pilot which drains tasks from a PanDA queue. The pilot uses Rucio to download input data and upload results. Rucio is configured to contact the dynamic federation using the WebDAV protocol. The federation forwards Rucio to the closest available storage element.

running as part of the ATLAS production system. Further development is necessary to allow the execution of production or analysis jobs against the dynamic federation. Work is ongoing to integrate the dynamic federation with the Belle-II experiment and the DIRAC workload management system. While this development is being pursued against opportunistic cloud resources it should also be useful in the context of volunteer resources [14].

References

- [1] Dynafed [Compute Software] 2017 http://cern.ch/lcgdm/dynafed-dynamic-federation-project
- [2] Maeno T 2008 Pan
DA : Distributed Production and Distributed Analysis System for ATLAS
 $\it Journal\ for\ Physics\ 119\ 6$
- [3] Cloud Scheduler [Computer Software] 2017 http://cloudscheduler.org
- [4] OpenStack [Computer Software] 2017 https://www.openstack.org
- [5] Ceph [Computer Software] 2017 http://ceph.com
- [6] Amazon Simple Storage Service [Computer Software] 2006 https://aws.amazon.com/s3
- [7] Bird I 2011 Computing for the Large Hadron Collider Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 61 99
- [8] Foster I, Kesselman C and Tuecke S 2001 The Anatomy of the Grid: Enabling Scalable Virtual Organizations International Journal of Supercomputer Applications 15 3 200 - 222
- [9] Rucio [Computer Software] 2017 http://rucio.cern.ch
- [10] Cameron D and Wu W 2017 LHC@home http://lhcathome.web.cern.ch