Calculation of \mathcal{V}_{fin} in the FKS framework: an example using POWHEG-BOX scales

February 23, 2011

Abstract

We show how to calculate the \mathcal{V}_{fin} term needed by the POWHEG-BOX program, starting from a generic expression for the virtual term \mathcal{V}_b . We show this in the case of DY processes.

We start from FNO, eq.7.190, which is taken from the original paper by Altarelli, Ellis and Martinelli:

$$\mathcal{V}_{b,\,q\bar{q}} = \left(\frac{4\pi\mu_{\rm R}^2}{s}\right)^{\epsilon} \frac{\Gamma(1+\epsilon)\,\Gamma(1-\epsilon)^2}{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)} \frac{\alpha_{\rm S}}{2\pi} \,C_{\rm F} \left[-\frac{2}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{3}{\epsilon} - 8 + \pi^2 \right] \mathcal{B}_{q\bar{q}},\tag{1}$$

Here $\mu_{\rm R}$ stands for the renormalization scale, and $s=2(k_{\oplus}\cdot k_{\ominus})$. To extract $\mathcal{V}_{\rm fin}$ from eq. (1), we need to equal the expression in (1) to the following one (FNO, eq.2.92):

$$\mathcal{V}_{b} = \mathcal{N} \frac{\alpha_{S}}{2\pi} \left[-\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} C_{f_{i}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \gamma_{f_{i}} \right) \mathcal{B} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\substack{i,j \in \mathcal{I} \\ i \neq j}} \log \frac{2k_{i} \cdot k_{j}}{Q^{2}} \mathcal{B}_{ij} + \mathcal{V}_{fin} \right]$$
(2)

where

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{(4\pi)^{\epsilon}}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)} \left(\frac{\mu_{\rm R}^2}{Q^2}\right)^{\epsilon}.$$
 (3)

For the case at hand, equation (2) reduces to

$$\mathcal{V}_{b,q\bar{q}} = \left(\frac{4\pi\mu_{\rm R}^2}{Q^2}\right)^{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)} \frac{\alpha_{\rm S}}{2\pi} \left[-\left(\frac{2}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{3}{\epsilon} - \frac{2}{\epsilon}\log\frac{s}{Q^2}\right) C_{\rm F} \mathcal{B}_{q\bar{q}} + \mathcal{V}_{\rm fin,q\bar{q}} \right]. \tag{4}$$

where we used the fact that the only colored partons involved are the two incoming quarks, and we also have that $\mathcal{B}_{\oplus \ominus}^{q\bar{q}} = C_{\text{F}} \mathcal{B}_{q\bar{q}}$.

We notice that Q^2 is here an arbitrary scale. In the FKS approach, the soft-virtual term is obtained summing to \mathcal{V}_{fin} a quantity that is Q^2 dependent (see FNO, eq. 2.99, 2.100 and 2.101). In the POWHEG-BOX code, this operation is authomatized and it is performed in a file that the user is not supposed to edit. Therefore, an explicit choice for this scale was needed. Since we choosed

$$Q^2 = \mu_{\rm R}^2 \,, \tag{5}$$

it is important to use the same value for Q^2 in eq. (4), in order to extract a consistent expression for \mathcal{V}_{fin} .

Therefore we evaluate the expression in (4) with $Q^2 = \mu_R^2$, and equal it to the r.h.s. of eq. (1), obtaining:

$$(4\pi)^{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)} \left[-\left(\frac{2}{\epsilon^{2}} + \frac{3}{\epsilon} - \frac{2}{\epsilon} \log \frac{s}{\mu_{R}^{2}}\right) \mathcal{B}_{q\bar{q}} + \frac{\mathcal{V}_{fin,q\bar{q}}}{C_{F}} \right] = \left(\frac{4\pi\mu_{R}^{2}}{s}\right)^{\epsilon} \frac{\Gamma(1+\epsilon)\Gamma(1-\epsilon)^{2}}{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)} \left[-\frac{2}{\epsilon^{2}} - \frac{3}{\epsilon} - 8 + \pi^{2} \right] \mathcal{B}_{q\bar{q}}.$$
 (6)

By using the fact that

$$\frac{\Gamma(1+\epsilon)\Gamma(1-\epsilon)^2}{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^3\right),\tag{7}$$

we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{V}_{\text{fin},q\bar{q}}}{C_{\text{F}}} = \left[\left(\frac{\mu_{\text{R}}^2}{s} \right)^{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{2}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{3}{\epsilon} - 8 + \pi^2 \right) + \left(\frac{2}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{3}{\epsilon} - \frac{2}{\epsilon} \log \frac{s}{\mu_{\text{R}}^2} \right) \right] \mathcal{B}_{q\bar{q}}, \tag{8}$$

that reduces to

$$V_{\text{fin}, q\bar{q}} = \mathcal{B}_{q\bar{q}} \left[\pi^2 - 8 - 3\log\frac{\mu_{\text{R}}^2}{s} - \log^2\frac{\mu_{\text{R}}^2}{s} \right] C_{\text{F}}$$
 (9)

after expanding $(\mu_R^2/s)^{\epsilon}$ as usual. The expression in eq. (9) is the one needed by the POWHEG-BOX program, and it has to be coded inside the subroutine setvirtual.