The Z/γ^* EW NLO & QCD production in the POWHEG-BOX-V2 user manual: svn v3616

Mauro Chiesa

INFN, Sezione di Pavia, Via A. Bassi 6, 27100 Pavia, Italy E-mail: Mauro.Chiesa@physik.uni-wuerzburg.de

Homero Martinez

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia and INFN, Sezione di Pavia, Via A. Bassi 6, 27100 Pavia, Italy E-mail: Homero.Martinez@pv.infn.it

Guido Montagna

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia and INFN, Sezione di Pavia, Via A. Bassi 6, 27100 Pavia, Italy E-mail: Guido.Montagna@pv.infn.it

Paolo Nason

INFN, Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Piazza della Scienza 3, 20126 Milan, Italy E-mail: Paolo.Nason@mib.infn.it

Oreste Nicrosini

INFN, Sezione di Pavia, Via A. Bassi 6, 27100 Pavia, Italy E-mail: Oreste.Nicrosini@pv.infn.it

Fulvio Piccinini

INFN, Sezione di Pavia, Via A. Bassi 6, 27100 Pavia, Italy E-mail: Fulvio.Piccinini@pv.infn.it

Alessandro Vicini

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano and INFN, Sezione di Milano, Via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy E-mail: Alessandro.Vicini@mi.infn.it

ABSTRACT: This note documents the use of the package POWHEG-BOX-V2 for Z/γ^* production processes including QCD and ElectroWeak NLO corrections. Results can be easily interfaced to shower Monte Carlo programs, in such a way that both NLO and shower accuracy are maintained.

KEYWORDS: POWHEG, Shower Monte Carlo, NLO, Electroweak.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Generation of events	1
3.	Process specific input parameters 3.1 Flags used by the shower interfaces	3

1. Introduction

The POWHEG BOX program is a framework for implementing NLO calculations in Shower Monte Carlo programs according to the POWHEG method. An explanation of the method and a discussion of how the code is organized can be found in Refs. [1, 2, 3]. The code is distributed according to the "MCNET GUIDELINES for Event Generator Authors and Users" and can be found at the web page

http://powhegbox.mib.infn.it.

This program is an implementation of the Drell-Yan NLO cross sections $pp \to Z/\gamma^* \to \ell^+\ell^-$ including QCD and ElectroWeak (EW) radiative corrections. A detailed description of the implementation can be found in Ref. [4]. Major improvements w.r.t. svn version 3616 in Ref. [6]. In order to run the POWHEG BOX program, we recommend the reader to start from the POWHEG BOX user manual, which contains all the information and settings that are common between all subprocesses. In this note we focus on the settings and parameters specific to the Z/γ^* implementation.

2. Generation of events

Build the executable

\$ cd POWHEG-BOX-V2/Z_ew-BMNNPV

\$ make pwhg_main 1

Then do (for example)

¹Version 3812 or newer is working with POWHEG-BOX-V2 version 3812 or newer.

- \$ cd runtest-lhc-8TeV
- \$../pwhg_main

At the end of the run, the file pwgevents.lhe will contain 1000 events for $Z/\gamma^* \to e^+e^-$ in the Les Houches format (.lhe file).

We provide four executables, called "interfaces", which process the events in the file pwgevents.lhe and give them as inputs to parton shower programs, performing the required vetoes and setting the required flags in a manner consistent with the physical accuracy of the input events. PYTHIA is used to perform the QCD shower. The QED shower can be done using PYTHIA or the independent program PHOTOS [7]. The four interfaces are:

• main-PYTHIA81-lhef: In this interface, PYTHIA8 (versions 8.1xx) is used to perform the QCD shower, while the QED shower can be done using PHOTOS++ (C++ version of PHOTOS), or PYTHIA8. It is designed to work with versions of PYTHIA8 up to 8.186. It has been tested with PYTHIA version 8.185 and PHOTOS++ version 3.56. The output of the shower can be analyzed looking at the histograms in the output .top file. Optionally, the events after the shower can be saved in another .1he file (see section 3).

Even if you do not use PHOTOS++, it has to be linked. The minimal procedure is to use the version 3.56 included in the Z_ew-BMNNPV package, doing:

```
$ cd PHOTOS
$./configure --without-hepmc
$ make
```

The flag PHOTOSCC_LOCATION must be set in the Makefile to the path of installation of PHOTOS++. This path can be the folder Z_ew-BMNNPV/PHOTOS if the user wants to use the included version of PHOTOS++, otherwise it should be set to the folder of an external installation.

PYTHIA8 has to be downloaded and compiled by the user. The script pythia8-config should configure automatically the path to the PYTHIA8 installation in the Makefile, if this is not the case, the user must set manually the PYTHIA8LOCATION flag.

Once PHOTOS++ and PYTHIA8 are compiled and the flags are set properly in the Makefile, the interface can be compiled, doing:

```
$ cd POWHEG-BOX-V2/Z_ew-BMNNPV
$ make main-PYTHIA81-lhef
```

Before running, the path to PHOTOS++ libraries needs to be added to the list of dynamically linked libraries, and a variable pointing to the path of PYTHIA8 particle data (.xml) files) needs to be set. In order to do this, the script setlibrarypaths.sh must be edited to point to the correct paths, and then executed in the current shell,

doing:

\$ source setlibrarypaths.sh

To run the interface, do:

```
$ cd runtest-lhc-14TeV-wp
$ ../main-PYTHIA81-lhef
```

- main-PYTHIA82-lhef: Similar interface to main-PYTHIA81-lhef, but designed to work with newer versions of PYTHIA (versions 8.2xx). It has been tested with version 8.223. The compilation / linking procedure is similar to the one described in the previous item.
- main-PYTHIA6-lhef: Interface to PYTHIA6 and PHOTOS (both codes written in Fortran). The source codes of PYTHIA6 and PHOTOS are included in the POWHEG BOX and in the Z_ew-BMNNPV folder, respectively, so no flag or linking to external libraries need to be done. To compile and run, simply do:

```
$ cd POWHEG-BOX-V2/Z_ew-BMNNPV
$ make main-PYTHIA6-lhef
$ cd runtest-lhc-14TeV
$ ../main-PYTHIA6-lhef
```

• main-PHOTOS-1hef: Interface to PHOTOS++, it processes the POWHEG generated events, calls the QED final state shower implemented by PHOTOS++ and generates a new event file in LHE format, that can be then interfaced to a QCD shower program, where QED radiation must be switched off to avoid double counting. The compilation and linking requires the PHOTOS related steps described in item (1).

The customization of the histograms and cuts provided in the output .top file (for interfaces main-PYTHIA81-lhef, main-PYTHIA82-lhef and main-PYTHIA6-lhef), can be done modifying the code in pwhg_analysis.f (analysis subroutine). In addition, C++ based analysis can be done accessing the events from the files pythia81F77.cc, pythia82F77.cc (function pythia_next_) or in the file photosCCF.cc (function photos_process_), for the interfaces main-PYTHIA81-lhef, main-PYTHIA82-lhef and main-PHOTOS-lhef, respectively.

3. Process specific input parameters

All the parameters and flags are set in the input card file powheg.input. The mandatory parameters are those needed to select the final state leptonic species coming from the

vector-boson:

```
vdecaymode 11 ! code for selected Z decay
! (11(-11): electronic; 13(-13): muonic; 15(-15): tauonic)
```

The decay $Z \to \nu \bar{\nu}$ is not handled in the present version.

In addition to the mandatory parameters, the POWHEG BOX input allows for an easy setting of EW and run parameters, by explicitly adding the relevant lines to the input card. If one of the following entries is not present in the input card the reported default value is assumed. In any case, these parameters are printed in the output of the program, so their values can be easily tracked down.

```
80.398
Wmass
                           W mass in GeV
                           W width in GeV
        2.141
Wwidth
        91.1876
                           Z mass in GeV
Zmass
Zwidth 2.4952
                           Z width in GeV
alphaem 0.00729735254
                           em coupling alpha(0)
        1.16637d-5
                           Fermi constant in GeV^-2
gmu
                           Higgs mass in GeV
Hmass
        120.
        172.9
                           Top mass in GeV
Tmass
Bmass
          4.6
                           B quark mass in GeV
Cmass
          1.2
                           C quark mass in GeV
Smass
         0.15
                           S quark mass in GeV
Umass
         0.06983
                           U quark mass in GeV
Dmass
         0.06984
                           D quark mass in GeV
Elmass
         0.005109989
                           Electron mass in GeV
Mumass
         0.105658369
                           Mu mass in GeV
Taumass
         1.77699
                           Tau mass in GeV
```

The following parameters limits from below the virtuality of the ${\cal Z}$ boson:

If absent, it is set to 30 GeV. In order to avoid edge effects, the lower limit mass_low should be more inclusive w.r.t. cuts applied at the analysis level. Notice that, if photons are generated, the Z virtuality is not necessarily the mass of the dilepton.

```
mass_high 1000 ! Z virtuality < mass_high in GeV
```

If absent, it is set to \sqrt{s} . Upper limit on the Z virtuality: as explained before, this option can be used only if EW corrections are turned off (no_ew option, see below).

```
runningscale 0 ! choice for ren and fac scales in Bbar integration 0: fixed scale M.Z
```

1: running scale $\ell^+\ell^-(\gamma)$ inv mass γ included with QED FSR

With running scale, a minimum cutoff of 5 GeV is imposed on $m(\ell^+\ell^-)$.

scheme 1! choice for EW NLO scheme calculation

0: Alpha(0)

1: Alpha(M_Z)

2: $G_{-}\mu$

3: Alpha (q^2)

The CKM mixing matrix is assumed diagonal in the EW NLO corrections.

The EW radiative corrections can be calculated according to four different schemes, with two different realizations:

the $\alpha(0)$ scheme, scheme 0, where the input parameters are $\alpha(0)$, M_W and M_Z ;

the $\alpha(M_Z^2)$ scheme, scheme 1, where the input parameters are $\alpha(M_Z^2)$, M_W and M_Z (with this scheme the value of the parameter alphaem_z should be specified);

the G_{μ} scheme, scheme 2, where the input parameters are G_{μ} , M_W and M_Z ;

a modified version of the $\alpha(M_Z^2)$ scheme, scheme 3, where α is computed at the scale $q^2 = (p_q + p_{\bar{q}})^2$ where p_q and $p_{\bar{q}}$ are the momenta of the incoming partons in the underlying Born kinematics (kn_pborn).

An additional option is to replace M_W with $\sin^2 \vartheta_{eff}^\ell$ as input parameter, by means of use-s2effin numerical value of $\sin^2 \vartheta_{eff}^\ell$.

Note that in scheme 1, 2, and 3 the the electroweak corrections are proportional to $\alpha^2 \alpha_0$ with $\alpha_0 = \text{alphaem}$.

The EW corrections can be switched off by setting

no_ew 1 ! default 0

and the strong corrections can be switched off by setting

no_strong 1 ! default 0

This last option is just to check EW corrections at the NLO level (i.e., the Les Houches events do not have much meaning).

emalpharunning 1 ! default 0

If emalpharunning is set to one, a running value is employed for α in the POWHEG Sudakov exponent for QED radiation. The running effectively accounts for the radiation of undetected e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$ pairs. If this option is used, no pair-radiation should be

allowed at the Parton Shower level.

complexmasses 1 ! default 0

If complexmasses is set to one, the calculation is performed in the complex mass scheme.

QED-only 1 ! default 0

If QED-only is set to one, only the QED part of the $O(\alpha)$ corrections in computed (the purely weak part of the virtual EW corrections is not included in the calculation).

weak-only 1 ! default 0

If weak-only is set to one, only the purely weak part of the $O(\alpha)$ corrections is computed. When the flags weak-only, no_strong and LOevents are set to 1 at the same time, POWHEG integrates the Born matrix element plus the purely weak NLO corrections.

ew_ho 1 ! default 0

If ew_ho is set to one, the leading part of the higher order (H.O.) purely weak corrections are computed and added to the NLO EW results. The corrections are written in terms of the quantities $\Delta \alpha$ and $\Delta \rho$. We implemented a modified version of the formulas in Ref. [5] that takes into account the running of α up to the virtuality of the Z boson (set the flag constantscale to 1-default 0- to use $\Delta \alpha(M_Z^2)$ instead of $\Delta \alpha(q^2)$). When using the G_μ scheme (scheme 2), constantscale 1 is automatically set for consistency at two loops.

ew_ho_only 1 ! default 0

If ew_ho_only is set to one, only the H.O. weak corrections are computed (i.e. they are not added to the NLO virtual EW ones). If the flags ew_ho_only, ew_ho, no_strong and LOevents are set to 1 at the same time, POWHEG integrates the Born matrix element plus the purely weak H.O. corrections. These setting should be used only for fixed order studies.

kt2minqed 0.000001 ! default 0.000001

Lower cutoff on the relative transverse momentum of the radiated photons at the LesHouches level. To ensure a proper matching with the parton shower, the parameters SI_kt2minqed and kt2minqed should have the same value.

da_had_from_fit 1 ! default 0

If da_had_from_fit is set to 0 the hadronic running of α is computed perturbatively

from the quark masses. It is possible to compute the hadronic running of alpha from the $e^+e^- \to \text{hadrons}$ data by setting the flag da_had_from_fit to 1 in combination with the flag fit (default 0). In particular, if fit is set to 1 the parametrization of Ref. [8] is used, while if fit is set to 2 the parametrization of Ref. [9, 10] is employed (if fit is set to 0, the running is still computed perturbatively: this option was introduced for testing purposes only).

3.1 Flags used by the shower interfaces

The flags starting with SI_ configure the behavior of the shower interfaces. The following flags are used by the interfaces main-PYTHIA81-lhef and main-PYTHIA82-lhef:

SI_inputfile: Configure the input file for the shower interfaces (default: ./pwgevents.lhe).

SI_maxshowerevents: Number of events to read from the input file (default: all events).

SI_pythiamatching, explained below.

SI_pytune: Set the PYTHIA tune used in the QCD shower.

SI_dopythiaqed: Turn ON / OFF PYTHIA QED shower (default OFF).

SI_use_photos: Turn ON / OFF the final state QED shower by PHOTOS (default OFF). If it is ON, it automatically sets off the final state QED radiation from PYTHIA8.

SI_kt2minged: Set value of photos low energy cut off (default is 10^{-6}).

SI_usepy8veto, explained below.

SI_nohad: Allows to switch OFF the hadronization (the hadronization is ON by default).

SI_savelhe: Turn ON / OFF the production of an output LHE file (default OFF).

SI_savehistos: Turn ON / OFF the production of histograms in .top file (default OFF).

SI_no_tworadevents, explained below.

According to the POWHEG method, the radiation by the shower has to be generated from a starting scale given by the hardest $p_{\rm T}$ tried at the matrix element level. Traditionally, this scale is written in the variable scalup in the tt LHE event file. However, for the DY process, when both QCD and EW NLO corrections are present (Ref. [4]), it is necessary to keep track in the POWHEG events of two scales, one for initial state radiation (scalup-isr) and one for final state radiation (scalup-fsr). These two scales are used as starting points for the IS and FS showers, respectively. PYTHIA8 and PHOTOS++ do not use scalup-fsr for the generation of QED final state radiation from the Z. Hence, in order to avoid double

counting of QED radiation, a veto algorithm is necessary. This veto is activated unless the flag no_ew is activated in powheg.input.

A general issue is the matching between the NLO calculation and the (QCD and QED) higher order corrections given by the parton shower: due to the different definitions of p_{\perp} in POWHEG and PYTHIA8, some double counting or dead zone can arise. By default (flag SI_pythiamatching equal to 2), the interface generates all QCD/QED shower emissions up to the kinematical limit and then veto emissions harder than the POWHEG scales (scalup-isr and scalup-fsr), computed according to the POWHEG p_{\perp} definition. The user can optionally choose an alternative scheme, where the shower starting scales are fixed and no veto's are performed. This choice can be activated by setting the flag SI_pythiamatching equal to 1.

When FS QED radiation is implement by PHOTOS++, a Fortran-based function is used to veto QED emissions and perform the correct matching (implemented in the file main-PYTHIA-8.f). If FS QED radiation is done by PYTHIA8, the matching can be done using Fortran-based algorithms coded in the files main-PYTHIA-8.f and scalupveto.f (flag SI_usepy8veto equal to 0), or alternatively, use the matching algorithms provided by PYTHIA8 (flag SI_usepy8veto equal to 1). Those algorithms use the UserHooks functions, and their behavior depend on the value of the flag SI_pythiamatching.

In the case the interface is used to read events where the two scales scalup-isr and scalup-fsr are not present, and scalup is to be used as starting scale for the showers, the flag SI_no_tworadevents should be set to 1.

The decay of hadronic resonances which can proceed radiatively has been suppressed. In order to let the resonances decay, the user should open the file pythia81F77.cc (or pythia82F77.cc) and comment the relevant lines in the function pythia_init.

The interface main-PYTHIA6-lhef can be customized using the following flags: SI_inputfile, SI_maxshowerevents, SI_pytune, SI_use_photos, SI_kt2minqed, SI_savehistos, SI_no_tworadevents, read also from the powheg.input file. The other flags have no effect. Notice that in this case, the QED radiation from PYTHIA is ON by default.

The interface main-PHOTOS-lhef uses only the relevant flags, namely: SI_inputfile, SI_maxshowerevents, SI_kt2minged.

For further customization of the settings used by the shower interfaces, beyond the flags available in powheg.input, the user can modify the following source code files:

- Interface main-PYTHIA81-lhef: Settings in file pythia81F77.cc.
- Interface main-PYTHIA82-lhef: Settings in file pythia82F77.cc.
- Interface main-PYTHIA6-lhef: Settings in file setup-PYTHIA6-lhef.f
- Interface main-PHOTOS-lhef: Settings in file photosCCF.cc.

References

[1] P. Nason, "A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms," JHEP **0411** (2004) 040 [arXiv:hep-ph/0409146].

- [2] S. Frixione, P. Nason and C. Oleari, "Matching NLO QCD computations with Parton Shower simulations: the POWHEG method," JHEP **0711** (2007) 070 [arXiv:0709.2092 [hep-ph]].
- [3] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari and E. Re, "A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX," [arXiv:1002.2581 [hep-ph]].
- [4] L. Barzè, G. Montagna, P. Nason, O. Nicrosini, F. Piccinini and A. Vicini, Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2474 [arXiv:1302.4606[hep-ph]].
- [5] S. Dittmaier and M. Huber, JHEP 1001, 060 (2010) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2010)060
 [arXiv:0911.2329 [hep-ph]].
- [6] C.M. Carloni Calame, M. Chiesa, H. Martinez, G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, F. Piccinini, and A. Vicini, [arXiv:1612.02841[hep-ph]].
- [7] P. Golonka and Z. Was, Eur. Phys. J. C 45 (2006) 97 [hep-ph/0506026].
- [8] S. Eidelman and F. Jegerlehner, Z. Phys. C 67, 585 (1995) doi:10.1007/BF01553984
 [hep-ph/9502298].
- [9] K. Hagiwara, R. Liao, A. D. Martin, D. Nomura and T. Teubner, J. Phys. G 38, 085003 (2011) doi:10.1088/0954-3899/38/8/085003 [arXiv:1105.3149 [hep-ph]].
- [10] A. Keshavarzi, D. Nomura and T. Teubner, Phys. Rev. D 97, no. 11, 114025 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.114025 [arXiv:1802.02995 [hep-ph]].