# Cont2SAS: A python package for calculating SAS parameters from continuum nanostructures

Arnab Majumdar [1,3], **Martin Müller**[1,2,3], **and Sebastian Busch** [1¶]

1 German Engineering Materials Science Centre (GEMS) at Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum (MLZ), Helmholtz-Zentrum Hereon GmbH, Lichtenbergstr. 1, 85748 Garching, Germany **2** Institute of Materials Physics, Helmholtz-Zentrum Hereon GmbH, Max-Planck-Str. 1, 21502 Geesthacht, Germany **3** Institut für Experimentelle und Angewandte Physik (IEAP), Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, Leibnizstr. 19, 24098 Kiel, Germany ¶ Corresponding author

Summary

Cont2SAS is a software tool built around the existing software solution Sassena – known for calculating scattering patterns from simulated atomic structures. The goal of Cont2SAS is to provide a software similar to Sassena, but for calculating Small Angle Scattering (SAS) data from simulated Continuum (Cont) nanostructures. Cont2SAS can calculate SAS patterns, i.e. SAS intensity (I) vs. scattering vector magnitude (Q) using a novel numerical method. Cont2SAS can also calculate effective cross-section (σ_{eff}), i.e. the count rate of scattered radiation per incident unit flux. σ_{eff} is calculated by integrating instrument-agnostic SAS patterns taking instrument geometry into account. Cont2SAS can be used for different purposes, such as validating simulations, tuning simulation parameters, and analyzing SAS data.

Statement of need

Small Angle Scattering (SAS) experiments with neutrons (SANS) and X-rays (SAXS) are useful techniques for probing material nanostructures (Chen et al., 2012). With the Time Resolved (TR) variant of SAS, the time evolution of nanostructures can also be probed (Hollamby, 2013). However, a direct retrieval of nanostructure from SAS data is not possible due to the so-called phase problem (Billinge & Levin, 2007). Therefore, it is fruitful to combine SAS data with simulations to study nanostructures (Majumdar et al., 2024). This approach is also useful for validating theories underlying simulations (Reich et al., 2022).

Simulated structures in real space can be generated using atomistic and continuum simulation. However, continuum simulation is the only option when the nanostructure evolution is influenced by bigger features or the SAS data is recorded for long time period, as atomistic simulation can not simulate large structures for long time (Ghavanloo et al., 2019). Despite this indispensability, there is no software available for calculating SAS data from continuum simulations. Cont2SAS aims to fill this void by providing a tool that offers fast and error-free comparison of continuum simulations and SAS data. The ultimate goal is to study nanomaterials and validating theories using SAS data, particularly when length-scales and time-scales, inaccessible to atomistic simulations, need to be simulated.

State of the field

From atomistic simulations, different software such as nMoldyn (Hinsen, 2023; Róg et al., 2003), MDANSE (Goret et al., 2017; Neutron & Facility, 2023), LiquidLib (Walter et al., 2018; Z-laboratory, 2023), and Sassena (Lindner, 2012, 2017; Lindner & Smith, 2012; Majumdar et

40   al., 2024; Majumdar & Lindner, 2023) can calculate SAS patterns but they can not calculate
41   the same from continuum simulations. An in-house code is available for conitnuum simulations
42   but it was built for a 2D membrane system lacking generality (Dorrell et al., 2020). Hence,
43   Cont2SAS is built using Sassena as a backend calculator. Sassena was chosen over other
44   software due to its notable computation speed and robustness (Majumdar et al., 2024).

Software features

Figure 1: Workflow of SAS pattern calculation: [left] SLD assignment for a spherical nanoparticle on generated mesh and [right] numerical calculation of SAS pattern. The numerical calculation is validated against known analytical formula for spherical nanoparticles (Guinier et al., 1955).

46   Cont2SAS calculates SAS patterns taking simulated nanostructures as an input. The simulated
47   structure must provide either Scattering Length Density (SLD) (β) values or a set of variables
48   from which SLD values can be calculated. The simulated input is processed to a data taylor-
49   made for Sassena. Sassena calculates the SAS intensity (I) as a function of scattering vector
50   magnitude (Q), i.e. SAS pattern, for different time steps (see Figure 1).

Figure 2: Workflow of effective cross-section (σ_{eff}) calculation: [left] SAS patterns at different timesteps ranging from 0 to 10 seconds and [right] σ_{eff} calculated from SAS patterns. With time, the SLD of a spherical nanoparticle increases linearly. The calculated σ_{eff} values are proportional to the square of SLD difference between the particle and its environment, shown as contrast.

51   Cont2SAS can also calculate the time evolution of effective cross-section (σ_{eff}), which is defined
52   as the count rate per incident unit flux. This feature was not available in the in-house code

(Dorrell et al., 2020) or Sassena (Majumdar & Lindner, 2023). The time evolution of count rate is useful when the chemical composition changes over time instead of the nanostructure, e.g. while storing hydrogen in ball-milled powder sample (Aslan et al., 2019). Figure 2 demonstrates the workflow of such calculation from a series of SAS patterns. The calculated σ_{eff} must be multiplied by an empirical factor before comparing with measured count rate.

Conclusion

Cont2SAS provides a software platform for calculating SAS pattern from continuum simulations of nanostructures. The addition of effective cross-section in the software package further enables the analysis of count rate. Cont2SAS can be used for analyzing SAS data and validating simulations to study nanomaterials.

Acknowledgements

Declaration

During the preparation of this work, the authors used Chat-GPT in order to improve the quality of code and article. After using this tool, the authors reviewed and edited the content as needed and take full responsibility for the content of the published code and article.

References

Aslan, N., Horstmann, C., Metz, O., Kotlyar, O., Dornheim, M., Pistidda, C., Busch, S., Lohstroh, W., Müller, M., & Pranzas, K. (2019). High-pressure cell for in situ neutron studies of hydrogen storage materials. *Journal of Neutron Research*, *21*(3-4), 125–135. https://doi.org/10.3233/JNR-190116

Billinge, S. J., & Levin, I. (2007). The problem with determining atomic structure at the nanoscale. *Science*, *316*(5824), 561–565. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1135080

Chen, Z. H., Kim, C., Zeng, X., Hwang, S. H., Jang, J., & Ungar, G. (2012). Characterizing size and porosity of hollow nanoparticles: SAXS, SANS, TEM, DLS, and adsorption isotherms compared. *Langmuir*, *28*(43), 15350–15361. https://doi.org/10.1021/la302236u

Dorrell, M. W., Beaven, A. H., & Sodt, A. J. (2020). A combined molecular/continuum-modeling approach to predict the small-angle neutron scattering of curved membranes. *Chemistry and Physics of Lipids*, *233*, 104983. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphyslip.2020.104983

Ghavanloo, E., Rafii-Tabar, H., & Fazelzadeh, S. A. (2019). *Computational continuum mechanics of nanoscopic structures*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11650-7

Goret, G., Aoun, B., & Pellegrini, E. (2017). MDANSE: An interactive analysis environment for molecular dynamics simulations. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, *57*(1), 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.6b00571

94 Guinier, A., Fournet, G., Walker, C. B., & Yudowitch, K. L. (1955). *Small-angle scattering of*
95   *x-rays.* Wiley New York.

96 Hinsen, K. (2023). *nMOLDYN3–version 3.0.12.* https://github.com/khinsen/nMOLDYN3/.

97 Hollamby, M. J. (2013). Practical applications of small-angle neutron scattering. *Phys. Chem.*
98   *Chem. Phys.*, *15*, 10566–10579. https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CP50293G

99 Lindner, B. (2012). *Towards a unification of supercomputing, molecular dynamics simulation*
100   *and experimental neutron and x-ray scattering techniques* [PhD thesis]. University of
101   Tennessee, United States.

102 Lindner, B. (2017). *Sassena.* https://github.com/camm/sassena.

103 Lindner, B., & Smith, J. C. (2012). Sassena—x-ray and neutron scattering calculated from
104   molecular dynamics trajectories using massively parallel computers. *Computer Physics*
105   *Communications*, *183*(7), 1491–1501. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2012.02.010

106 Majumdar, A., & Lindner, B. (2023). *Sassena.* https://codebase.helmholtz.cloud/
107   DAPHNE4NFDI/sassena. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10037485

108 Majumdar, A., Müller, M., & Busch, S. (2024). Computation of x-ray and neutron scattering
109   patterns to benchmark atomistic simulations against experiments. *International Journal of*
110   *Molecular Sciences*, *25*(3), 1547. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25031547

111 Neutron, U. S. I., & Facility, M. (2023). *MDANSE–version 1.5.2.* https://github.com/
112   ISISNeutronMuon/MDANSE/.

113 Reich, V., Majumdar, A., Müller, M., & Busch, S. (2022). Comparison of molecular dy-
114   namics simulations of water with neutron and x-ray scattering experiments. *EPJ Web of*
115   *Conferences*, *272*, 01015. https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/202227201015

116 Róg, T., Murzyn, K., Hinsen, K., & Kneller, G. R. (2003). nMoldyn: A program package
117   for a neutron scattering oriented analysis of molecular dynamics simulations. *Journal of*
118   *Computational Chemistry*, *24*(5), 657–667. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.10243

119 Walter, N. P., Jaiswal, A., Cai, Z., & Zhang, Y. (2018). LiquidLib: A comprehensive
120   toolbox for analyzing classical and ab initio molecular dynamics simulations of liquids and
121   liquid-like matter with applications to neutron scattering experiments. *Computer Physics*
122   *Communications*, *228*, 209–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2018.03.005

123 Z-laboratory. (2023). *Liquidlib–version 1.0.* https://github.com/Z-Laboratory/LiquidLib/tree/
124   master.