



Technische
Universität
Braunschweig



INSTITUT
FÜR AKUSTIK

A Statistical Approach for the Fusion of Data and Finite Element Analysis in Vibroacoustics

Masterarbeit

Lucas Hermann

Matr.-Nr.: 4990987

October 2021

Erstprüferin: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sabine C. Langer

Zweitprüfer: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Ulrich Römer

Declaration

Hiermit versichere ich, Lucas Hermann, durch meine Unterschrift, dass ich die vorliegende Masterarbeit mit dem Titel "<<Titel>>" selbständig und ohne Benutzung anderer als der angegebenen Hilfsmittel angefertigt habe. Alle Stellen, die wörtlich oder sinn- gemäß aus veröffentlichten oder unveröffentlichten Schriften entnommen sind, habe ich als solche kenntlich gemacht. Insbesondere sind auch solche Inhalte gekennzeichnet, die von betreuenden wissenschaftlichen Mitarbeiterinnen und Mitarbeitern des Instituts für Akustik eingebracht wurden.

Die Arbeit oder Auszüge daraus haben noch nicht in gleicher oder ähnlicher Form dieser oder einer anderen Prüfungsbehörde vorgelegen.

Mir ist bewusst, dass Verstöße gegen die Grundsätze der Selbstständigkeit als Täuschung betrachtet und entsprechend der Prüfungsordnung geahndet werden.

Braunschweig, May 6, 2021

Lucas Hermann

Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	Theoretical Background	6
2.1	The Classical Finite Element Method	6
2.2	Bayesian Inference	6
2.3	Gaussian Process Regression	6
2.3.1	Prior before observation	6
2.3.2	Posterior after observation	6
2.4	The Statistical Finite Element Method	6
2.4.1	Modeling parameters of the PDE	6
2.4.2	Probabilistic FEM Prior	6
2.4.3	Modeling Measurement Data	7
2.4.4	Estimation of Hyperparameters	8
2.4.5	Inference of the Posterior Density	8
3	1D Example and Application in Vibroacoustics	10
3.1	Simple 1D example	10
3.2	1D Vibroacoustics Example	11
4	Results and Discussion	12
4.1	Section 1	12

1 Introduction

Dies ist ein Text in `tubsSecondary`. Dies ist ein Text in `tubsViolet`. Dies ist ein Text in `tubsGreenDark`.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

- Aufzählungspunkt Eins
- Aufzählungspunkt Zwei
 - Unter-Aufzählungspunkt Eins
 - Unter-Aufzählungspunkt Zwei
- Aufzählungspunkt Drei

2 Theoretical Background

2.1 The Classical Finite Element Method

2.2 Bayesian Inference

$$\text{posterior} = \frac{\text{likelihood} \times \text{prior}}{\text{marginal likelihood}} \text{ (Rasmussen p.9)}$$

2.3 Gaussian Process Regression

Gaussian Processes are a class of Bayesian non-parametric models. Non-parametric doesn't mean that there are no parameters involved but rather that there is an infinite number of them. Every realization of a Gaussian process doesn't yield a scalar or vector but a function. One can think of a Gaussian Process as a collection of infinitely many normally distributed random variables, i.e. a generalization of a Gaussian distribution: A vector with infinitely many entries is basically a function. By picking out a finite set of those random variables when discretizing e.g. on an FEM mesh, one obtains a multivariate distribution which is determined by a mean and a covariance matrix. [Rasmussen p.2] Hence, the GP assigns a confidence band to a function where a usual parametric regression wouldn't.

- Regression in general -differences of a GP regression to e.g. a polynomial regression: every point is assigned an uncertainty

- Herleitung der Kovarianzmatrix, evtl. auch für das standard linear regression modell

2.3.1 Prior before observation

2.3.2 Posterior after observation

2.4 The Statistical Finite Element Method

The differential equation is treated from a Bayesian viewpoint: all parameters are random variables. For dependent parameters not a single distribution but a Gaussian Process is applied. Therefore prior to solving the FEM linear system, the parameter $GPf(x)$ is sampled. That sample is evaluated for each cell in the FEM mesh which makes it necessary to assemble the system matrix with that in mind.

2.4.1 Modeling parameters of the PDE

2.4.2 Probabilistic FEM Prior

The prior before observation is obtained by computing the FEM response u_h of the PDE given all parameters. The random parameters are modeled as GPs. Thereby result a mean \bar{u}_h and a covariance matrix C_u . For this being the prior, the GP hyperparameters are chosen deterministically. The covariance matrix is obtained by calculating $C_u = A^{-1}C_{par}A^{-T}$ with A the FEM system matrix and C_{par} the covariance matrix of the respective random parameter's GP. The mean can easily be determined by calculating the deterministic mean of the FEM by using only the GP's mean. The resulting response u_h is, since it is discretized and not continuous, a multivariate Gaussian distribution. To check convergence of the FEM model, it can be compared to the exact analytically determined

system response u . It is assumed that there is a difference between the exact and the calculated FEM response to the true system response. That difference ought to be reduced by updating the prior with observations.

2.4.3 Modeling Measurement Data

Measuring data always involves a measurement error: One can never measure any physical quantity without some measurement noise. Therefore, the so-called statistical generating model (Cirak) for a vector of n_y measurements $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y}$,

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{e} = \rho \mathbf{P} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e}, \quad (2.1)$$

consists of response of the true underlying process $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y}$ at the measurement points and the measurement noise $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y}$. The true process \mathbf{z} can further be expressed as a combination of the used model $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$, which tries to describe the true process, and a model discrepancy error \mathbf{d} which accounts for the model not being a completely accurate representation of the true physics. The model is scaled using the scaling parameter $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$. It can be evaluated at n_u points which are determined by the FEM mesh but only its evaluations at the measurement points are taken into account by using the projection matrix $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y \times n_u}$. \mathbf{e} is modeled as a multivariate Gaussian

$$\mathbf{e} \sim p(\mathbf{e}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}_e) \quad (2.2)$$

with zero mean and the covariance matrix $\mathbf{C}_e = \sigma_e^2 \mathbf{I}$ which adds the measurement noise to each entry of \mathbf{z} . The model discrepancy error is, in order to account for a possibly complex behavior, modeled as a GP

$$\mathbf{d} \sim p(\mathbf{d} | \sigma_d, l_d) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}_d) \quad (2.3)$$

which σ_d, l_d the unknown parameters of a squared exponential kernel function. Evaluating it at the measurement positions, the GP is represented by a multivariate Gaussian with a covariance matrix $\mathbf{C}_d \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y \times n_y}$.

The projection matrix \mathbf{P} is constructed by evaluating all FEM ansatz functions of the mesh at the n_y measurement positions. The result is a sparse matrix with most entries zero and only those entries non zero that correspond to the ansatz functions $\Phi_i(\mathbf{x})$ associated with the finite element in which the measurement positions lie. This computation for linear ansatz functions can easily be implemented in Python using Fenics:

```
def getP(self, y_points):
    ny = len(y_points)
    P = np.zeros((ny, self.ne+1), dtype = float) #with ne the number of elements
    for j, point in enumerate(y_points):
        x = np.array([point])
        x_point = Point(x)
        bbt = self.mesh.bounding_box_tree()
        cell_id = bbt.compute_first_entity_collision(x_point)
        cell = Cell(self.mesh, cell_id)
        coordinate_dofs = cell.get_vertex_coordinates()
        values = np.ones(1, dtype=float)
        for i in range(self.el.space_dimension()):
            phi_x = self.el.evaluate_basis(np.array(i), x
                                           , np.array(coordinate_dofs), cell.orientation())
            P[j, cell_id+i] = phi_x
    self.Pu = np.dot(P, self.U_mean)
    return P
```

2.4.4 Estimation of Hyperparameters

Below it was already stated that the hyperparameters for computing the model discrepancy covariance matrix C_d are not known. The scaling factor ρ is not known, as well. The measurement data can be used to estimate these parameters, collected in the hyperparameter vector w , with Bayes' rule

$$p(w|y) = \frac{P(y|w)p(w)}{\int P(y|w)p(w)dw} \quad (2.4)$$

where the denominator, which only serves as a normalization constant, can be dropped if only a point estimate of the hyperparameters is needed. This approach is indeed non-bayesian because no probability density of the hyperparameters is computed. For multiple measurements y_i with a total number of n_o there holds

$$P(Y|w) = \prod_{i=1}^{n_o} p(y_i) \quad (2.5)$$

which replaces $P(y|w)$. If no prior knowledge of the parameters is available, it is possible to use an uninformative prior $p(w) = 1$. The equation then basically states that $p(w|Y) \propto P(Y|w)$ which means that maximizing $P(Y|w)$, i.e. the likelihood to measure Y given a set of hyperparameters, yields the optimal vector of hyperparameters for the given data. The marginal likelihood $P(y|w)$, marginal because Y is not known, can be expressed as

$$p(y|w) = \mathcal{N}(\rho P\bar{u}, C_d + C_e + \rho^2 P C_u P^T) \quad (2.6)$$

because, as stated above, all components of the statistical generating model are Gaussian. The equation for the corresponding PDF reads

$$p(y|w) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} |K|^{1/2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (y - \rho P\bar{u})^T K^{-1} (y - \rho P\bar{u}) \right) \quad (2.7)$$

with

$$K = C_d + C_e + \rho^2 P C_u P^T. \quad (2.8)$$

To make further calculations easier the exponential function can be removed by taking the negative log of the function (Rasmussen):

$$-\log p(y|w) = \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \log |K| + \frac{1}{2} (\rho P\bar{u} - y)^T K^{-1} (\rho P\bar{u} - y) \quad (2.9)$$

Because of taking the negative log, (2.9) now needs to be minimized instead of maximized.

2.4.5 Inference of the Posterior Density

To infer the posterior density, observed data is necessary. These can be obtained by either actually measuring a physical process or by generating synthetic data which allows developing and improving the statFEM model already before having to set up an experiment and take real measurements beforehand. Sampling from a synthetic source or from the real physical response yields the observation vector

$$y = z + e \quad (2.10)$$

with z the real response and e the measurement error which is also a part of y for the synthetic observations. It can be seen that the model mismatch error d is, as opposed to eq. (1), not part of the equation because it is only part of the FEM modeling error. The data is observed on multiple measurement points n_y throughout the domain. It can be chosen if the data is assumed to be deterministic or to be random. For the deterministic case only one value is

measured for each measurement point. In the random case many measurements are taken per point what leads to a probability distribution for each point. Now, Bayes' rule can be applied to update the prior with the observations. The result of this operation is

$$p(u|y) = \mathcal{N}(\bar{u}_{|y}, C_{u|y}) \quad (2.11)$$

with

$$\bar{u}_{|y} = C_{u|y} \left(\rho P^T (C_d + C_e)^{-1} y + C_u^{-1} \bar{u} \right) \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$C_{u|y} = \left(\rho^2 P^T (C_d + C_e)^{-1} P + C_u^{-1} \right)^{-1} \quad (2.13)$$

3 1D Example and Application in Vibroacoustics

3.1 Simple 1D example

Choice of PDE The Poisson equation

$$-\nabla \cdot \mu(x) \nabla u(x) = f(x) \quad (3.1)$$

is chosen as the governing equation. It is an elliptic partial differential equation (PDE). In this work it is used as a simple 1D example to illustrate how the statistical FEM and especially the Gaussian Process Regression works. The most standard form of it does not, contrary to this example, include $\mu(x) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ which is the diffusion coefficient dependent on the spatial variable x . The right-hand side consists of the source term $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$. Both $\mu(x)$ and $f(x)$ are the free parameters in this case. The equation is solved for the unknown $u(x)$ in the domain $\Omega = (0, 1)$ with the boundary condition $u(x) = 0$ on $x = 0$ and $x = 1$. For a first example there holds $\mu(x) = 1$. $f(x)$ is modeled as a Gaussian Process [Cirak]

$$f(x) \sim \mathcal{GP}(\bar{f}(x), c_f(x, x')) . \quad (3.2)$$

Construction of the GP The mean function of the GP is set to $\bar{f}(x) = 1.0$. For the covariance function at first a squared exponential kernel

$$c_f(x, x') = \sigma_f^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - x'\|^2}{2l_f^2}\right) \quad (3.3)$$

is used with the standard deviation $\sigma_f = 0.1$ and the length scale $l_f = 0.4$. In Python the kernel is directly implemented as a function which takes two lists and the parameters as input variables [Murphy]:

```
def squared_exponential(xa, xb, lf, sigf):
    sq_norm = -0.5 * scipy.spatial.distance.cdist(xa, xb, 'sqeuclidean') \
        * (1/lf**2)
    return sigf**2 * np.exp(sq_norm) .
```

Here, the parameters are fixed but in a later example a method on how to infer the optimum position for these will be studied.

Having prepared the mean function and the kernel, which can be considered the prior in a GP regression setting, a sample of the GP can be drawn. For this points have to be chosen on which the kernel is evaluated. These are the test points and, according to [Cirak], correspond to the center of the FEM cells. This implies that there are as many test points as FEM cells and therefore the coordinates of the FEM mesh can directly be used to compute the covariance matrix. For that (3.3) is evaluated at $x = x'$ with x the vector of test points.

A GP has the marginalization property: if you sample it at a finite number of points it yields a multivariate Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(\bar{f}, C_f)$ with \bar{f} the mean vector and C_f the covariance matrix while still describing the underlying continuous sample. According to [Rasmussen] sampling from a multivariate Gaussian distribution works as follows: To obtain n samples from the prior, at first n samples of a standard normal distribution, also called Gaussian white noise, $e \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ have to be drawn. Computing the Cholesky decomposition of the covariance matrix $C_f = LL^T$, which can also be thought of as taking the square root of a matrix, yields the lower triangular

matrix L . Samples can now easily be drawn from $f = \tilde{f} + Le$ which is a multivariate Gaussian distribution with a mean \tilde{f} and a covariance C_f .

Sample: Normal distribution times std dev. GP with n points is basically a multivariate gaussian with n dimensions. therefore we need the std dev. for a univariate gaussian thats .

For the observations made in a later step it is assumed that there is some measurement noise. This is modeled as an added variance σ_n^2 on the diagonal of the prior covariance matrix. An additional effect of this added noise is the improved numerical stability of the covariance matrix which is important for computing the Cholesky decomposition.

3.2 1D Vibroacoustics Example

Helmholtz Equation. Looks similar to the Poisson equation but the right side differs. It's the eigenvalue problem for the laplace operator.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

Quisque ullamcorper placerat ipsum. Cras nibh. Morbi vel justo vitae lacus tincidunt ultrices. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Integer tempus convallis augue. Etiam facilisis. Nunc elementum fermentum wisi. Aenean placerat. Ut imperdiet, enim sed gravida sollicitudin, felis odio placerat quam, ac pulvinar elit purus eget enim. Nunc vitae tortor. Proin tempus nibh sit amet nisl. Vivamus quis tortor vitae risus porta vehicula.

Fusce mauris. Vestibulum luctus nibh at lectus. Sed bibendum, nulla a faucibus semper, leo velit ultricies tellus, ac venenatis arcu wisi vel nisl. Vestibulum diam. Aliquam pellentesque, augue quis sagittis posuere, turpis lacus congue quam, in hendrerit risus eros eget felis. Maecenas eget erat in sapien mattis porttitor. Vestibulum porttitor. Nulla facilisi. Sed a turpis eu lacus commodo facilisis. Morbi fringilla, wisi in dignissim interdum, justo lectus sagittis dui, et vehicula libero dui cursus dui. Mauris tempor ligula sed lacus. Duis cursus enim ut augue. Cras ac magna. Cras nulla. Nulla egestas. Curabitur a leo. Quisque egestas wisi eget nunc. Nam feugiat lacus vel est. Curabitur consectetur.

4 Results and Discussion

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

4.1 Section 1

Quisque ullamcorper placerat ipsum. Cras nibh. Morbi vel justo vitae lacus tincidunt ultrices. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Integer tempus convallis augue. Etiam facilisis. Nunc elementum fermentum wisi. Aenean placerat. Ut imperdiet, enim sed gravida sollicitudin, felis odio placerat quam, ac pulvinar elit purus eget enim. Nunc vitae tortor. Proin tempus nibh sit amet nisl. Vivamus quis tortor vitae risus porta vehicula.

Fusce mauris. Vestibulum luctus nibh at lectus. Sed bibendum, nulla a faucibus semper, leo velit ultricies tellus, ac venenatis arcu wisi vel nisl. Vestibulum diam. Aliquam pellentesque, augue quis sagittis posuere, turpis lacus congue quam, in hendrerit risus eros eget felis. Maecenas eget erat in sapien mattis porttitor. Vestibulum porttitor. Nulla facilisi. Sed a turpis eu lacus commodo facilisis. Morbi fringilla, wisi in dignissim interdum, justo lectus sagittis dui, et vehicula libero dui cursus dui. Mauris tempor ligula sed lacus. Duis cursus enim ut augue. Cras ac magna. Cras nulla. Nulla egestas. Curabitur a leo. Quisque egestas wisi eget nunc. Nam feugiat lacus vel est. Curabitur consectetur.

Suspendisse vel felis. Ut lorem lorem, interdum eu, tincidunt sit amet, laoreet vitae, arcu. Aenean faucibus pede eu ante. Praesent enim elit, rutrum at, molestie non, nonummy vel, nisl. Ut lectus eros, malesuada sit amet, fermentum eu, sodales cursus, magna. Donec eu purus. Quisque vehicula, urna sed ultricies auctor, pede lorem egestas dui, et convallis elit erat sed nulla. Donec luctus. Curabitur et nunc. Aliquam dolor odio, commodo pretium, ultricies non, pharetra in, velit. Integer arcu est, nonummy in, fermentum faucibus, egestas vel, odio.

Sed commodo posuere pede. Mauris ut est. Ut quis purus. Sed ac odio. Sed vehicula hendrerit sem. Duis non odio. Morbi ut dui. Sed accumsan risus eget odio. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Pellentesque non elit. Fusce sed justo eu urna porta tincidunt. Mauris felis odio, sollicitudin sed, volutpat a, ornare ac, erat. Morbi quis dolor. Donec pellentesque, erat ac sagittis semper, nunc dui lobortis purus, quis congue purus metus ultricies tellus. Proin et quam. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos. Praesent sapien turpis, fermentum vel, eleifend faucibus, vehicula eu, lacus.

Bibliography

- [1] Campolina, B.: Vibroacoustic modelling of aircraft double-walls with structural links using Statistical Energy Analysis (SEA). Acoustics [physics.class-ph]. Université de Sherbrooke; Université Pierre et Marie Curie - Paris VI (2012)
- [2] Langer, S.C., Blech, C.: Cabin noise prediction using wave-resolving aircraft models. Proc. Appl. Math. Mech., Vol. 12 (1) (2019): e201900388. doi:10.1002/pamm.201900388
- [3] Yaghoubi, V., Marelli, S., Sudret, B., Abrahamsson, T.: Sparse polynomial chaos expansions of frequency response functions using stochastic frequency transformation, Probabilistic Engineering Mechanics, volume 48, pages 39-58 (2017)