From Functor Composition to Monad Transformers

© 2018 Hermann Hueck

https://github.com/hermannhueck/monad-transformers

Agenda

- 1. Nested Monads in for comprehensions
- 2. Functors compose.
- 3. Aside: Creating List[Int => Int] and List[Option[Int => Int]]
- 4. Applicatives compose.
- 5. Do Monads compose?
- 6. Monad Transformers Example OptionT
- 7. Generic Monad Processing
- 8. Stacking Monads and Transformers
- 9. Best Practices
- 10. OptionT implementation
- 11. Resources

1. Nested Monads in for comprehensions

Imports for Cats

```
import cats._, cats.data._, cats.implicits._
// import mycats._, transform._, Functor.syntax._, Monad.syntax._
```

Imports for my own implementation of Functor, Applicative, Monad and OptionT

```
// import cats._, cats.data._, cats.implicits._
import mycats._, transform._, Functor.syntax._, Monad.syntax._
```

The same client code works with Cats and with my implementation. Only the imports need to be changed.

For comprehension for List [Option[Int]]

```
val loi1: List[Option[Int]] = List(Some(1), None, Some(2), Some(3))
val loi2: List[Option[Int]] = List(Some(1), None, Some(2), Some(3))

val result1: List[Option[Int]] =
    for {
        oi1: Option[Int] <- loi1
        if oi1.isDefined
        oi2: Option[Int] <- loi2
        if oi2.isDefined
    } yield Option(oi1.get * oi2.get)

// result1: List[Option[Int]] = List(Some(1), Some(2),
        // Some(3), Some(2), Some(4), Some(6), Some(6), Some(9))</pre>
```

Compiler translates for comprehension to flatMap, map and withFilter

```
val result2 =
loi1
    .filter(_.isDefined)
    .flatMap { oi1 =>
        loi2
            .filter(_.isDefined)
            .map { oi2 =>
                Option(oi1.get * oi2.get)
            }
    }

// result2: List[Option[Int]] = List(Some(1), Some(2),
    // Some(3), Some(2), Some(4), Some(6), Some(6), Some(9))
```

A nested for comprehension

```
val result3: List[Option[Int]] =
for {
   oi1: Option[Int] <- loi1
   oi2: Option[Int] <- loi2
} yield for {
   i1: Int <- oi1
   i2: Int <- oi2
} yield i1 * i2

// result3: List[Option[Int]] = List(Some(1), None,
// Some(2), Some(3), None, None, None, Some(2),
// None, Some(4), Some(6), Some(3), None, Some(6), Some(9))</pre>
```

Wanted:

a for comprehension to process Ints nested in 2 contexts ... something like this one:

```
// This code does not compile!
val result4: List[Option[Int]] =
for {
  i1: Int <- loi1
  i2: Int <- loi2
} yield i1 * i2</pre>
```

2. Functors compose.

Mapping a List[Int] with a Functor[List]

```
val li = List(1, 2, 3)

val lSquared1 = li.map(x => x * x) // invokes List.map
// lSquared1: List[Int] = List(1, 4, 9)

val lSquared2 = li.fmap(x => x * x) // invokes Functor[List].fmap
// lSquared2: List[Int] = List(1, 4, 9)

val lSquared3 = Functor[List].map(li)(x => x * x) // invokes Functor[List].map
// lSquared3: List[Int] = List(1, 4, 9)
```

Mapping a List [Option [Int]] with Functor [List] and Functor [Option]

```
val loi = List(Some(1), None, Some(2), Some(3))

val loSquared1 = loi.map(oi => oi.map(x => x * x))
// loSquared1: List[Option[Int]] = List(Some(1), None, Some(4), Some(9))

val loSquared2 = Functor[List].map(loi)(oi => Functor[Option].map(oi)(x => x * x))
// loSquared2: List[Option[Int]] = List(Some(1), None, Some(4), Some(9))

val loSquared3 = (Functor[List] compose Functor[Option]).map(loi)(x => x * x)
// loSquared3: List[Option[Int]] = List(Some(1), None, Some(4), Some(9))

val cf = Functor[List] compose Functor[Option]
val loSquared4 = cf.map(loi)(x => x * x)
// loSquared4: List[Option[Int]] = List(Some(1), None, Some(4), Some(9))
```

Pimping List [Option [A]]

```
implicit class PimpedListOptionA[A](list: List[Option[A]]) {
   // functor type: Functor[Lambda[X => List[Option[X]]]]
   val functor = Functor[List] compose Functor[Option]
   def map[B](f: A => B): List[Option[B]] = functor.map(list)(f)
   def fmap[B](f: A => B): List[Option[B]] = functor.map(list)(f)
}

val loSquared5 = loi.fmap(x => x * x)
// loSquared5: List[Option[Int]] = List(Some(1), None, Some(4), Some(9))
```

3. Aside: Creating List[Int => Int] and List[Option[Int => Int]]

Creating a List[Int => Int]

```
val lf1_1 = List((x:Int) => x * 1, (x:Int) => x * 2, (x:Int) => x * 3)
// lf1_1: List[Int => Int] = List($$Lambda$..., $$Lambda$..., $$Lambda$...)

val lf1_2 = List((_:Int) * 1, (_:Int) * 2, (_:Int) * 3)
// lf1_2: List[Int => Int] = List($$Lambda$..., $$Lambda$..., $$Lambda$...)
```

Creating a List[Int => Int] from List[Int]

```
val lf1_3 = List(1, 2, 3).map(x => (y:Int) => y * x)
// lf1_3: List[Int => Int] = List($$Lambda$..., $$Lambda$..., $$Lambda$...)

val lf1_4 = List(1, 2, 3).map(x => (_:Int) * x)
// lf1_4: List[Int => Int] = List($$Lambda$..., $$Lambda$..., $$Lambda$...)
```

Creating a List[Option[Int => Int]]

```
val lf1 = lf1_4
// lf1: List[Int => Int] = List($$Lambda$..., $$Lambda$..., $$Lambda$...)

val lof1 = lf1 map Option.apply
// lof1: List[Option[Int => Int]] = List(Some($$Lambda$...), Some($$Lambda$...), S
```

4. Applicatives compose.

Applying a List[Int => Int] to a List[Int] with Applicative

```
val liResult = Applicative[List].ap(lf1)(li)
// liResult: List[Int] = List(1, 2, 3, 2, 4, 6, 3, 6, 9)
```

Applying a List[Option[Int => Int]] to a List[Option[Int]] with Applicative

```
val loiResult = (Applicative[List] compose Applicative[Option]).ap(lof1)(loi)
// loiResult: List[Option[Int]] = List(Some(1), None, Some(2), Some(3),
// Some(2), None, Some(4), Some(6), Some(3), None, Some(6), Some(9))
```

5. Do Monads compose?

Do Monads compose?

```
val ca = Applicative[List] compose Applicative[Option]
// ca: cats.Applicative[[a]List[Option[a]]] = cats.Alternative$$anon$1@69102316
val cm = Monad[List] compose Monad[Option]
// cm: cats.Applicative[[a]List[Option[a]]] = cats.Alternative$$anon$1@48b5e9dd
```

Trying to compose 2 generic Monads

We cannot implement flatMap without knowing the higher kinded type of the inner monad.

```
// Hypothetical composition
def composeFWithF2Impossible[F[_]: Monad, F2[_]: Monad] = {
   type Composed[A] = F[F2[A]]
  new Monad[Composed] {
    def pure[A](a: A): Composed[A] = Monad[F].pure(Monad[F2].pure(a))
    def flatMap[A, B](fa: Composed[A])(f: A => Composed[B]): Composed[B] = ???
    // !!! Problem! How do we write flatMap? Impossible to implement!!!
}
```

Trying to compose 1 generic and 1 concrete Monad

Knowing the higher kinded type of the inner monad (Option) we can implement flatMap.

```
def composeFWithOption[F[_]: Monad] = {
   type Composed[A] = F[Option[A]]
   new Monad[Composed] {
     def pure[A](a: A): Composed[A] = Monad[F].pure(Monad[Option].pure(a))
     def flatMap[A, B](fOptA: Composed[A])(f: A => Composed[B]): Composed[B] =
        Monad[F].flatMap(fOptA) {
        case None => Monad[F].pure(Option.empty[B])
        case Some(a) => f(a)
     }
}
```

Do Monads compose?

- Functors compose.
- Applicatives compose.
- Monads do <u>NOT</u> compose!

The solution: Monad Transformers

6. Monad Transformers - Example OptionT

What is OptionT?

- OptionT is a generic case class which encapsulates an F[Option[A]].
- F is the generic type constructor of another monad like List, Future, Either, Id.
- A Monad instance for OptionT (implementing pure and flatMap) must be provided in implicit scope in order to allow flatMapping over OptionT.

Creating an OptionT[List, Int] to encapsulate a List[Option[Int]]

```
val loi = List(Some(1), None, Some(2), Some(3))
// loi: List[Option[Int]] = List(Some(1), None, Some(2), Some(3))

val otli = OptionT[List, Int](loi)
// otli: cats.data.OptionT[List,Int] = OptionT(List(Some(1), None, Some(2), Some(3))

otli.value // same as: loi
// res6: List[Option[Int]] = List(Some(1), None, Some(2), Some(3))
```

FlatMapping an OptionT [List, Int]

flatMap takes a function of type: A => OptionT[F, B]
In our case the type is: Int => OptionT[List, String]

```
def fillListWith(x: Int): List[Option[String]] = List.fill(x)(Option(x.toString))

val otliFlatMapped = otli.flatMap(x => OptionT[List, String](fillListWith(x)))
// otliFlatMapped: cats.data.OptionT[List,String] =
// OptionT(List(Some(1), None, Some(2), Some(2), Some(3), Some(3), Some(3)))

otliFlatMapped.value
// res7: List[Option[String]] =
// List(Some(1), None, Some(2), Some(2), Some(3), Some(3), Some(3))
```

Convenience function flatMapF

flatMapF takes a function of type: A => F[Option[B]]
In our case the type is: Int => List[Option[String]]

```
val otliFlatMappedF = otli.flatMapF(x => fillListWith(x))
// otliFlatMappedF: cats.data.OptionT[List,String] =
// OptionT(List(Some(1), None, Some(2), Some(2), Some(3), Some(3)))
otliFlatMappedF.value
// res8: List[Option[String]] =
// List(Some(1), None, Some(2), Some(2), Some(3), Some(3))
```

Mapping an OptionT[List, Int]

```
val otliMapped = Monad[OptionT[List, ?]].map(otli) { _.toString + "!" }
// otliMapped: cats.data.OptionT[[+A]List[A],String] =
// OptionT(List(Some(1!), None, Some(2!), Some(3!)))
otliMapped.value
// res9: List[Option[String]] = List(Some(1!), None, Some(2!), Some(3!))
```

OptionT.{isDefined isEmpty getOrElse}

```
otli.isDefined
// res10: List[Boolean] = List(true, false, true, true)
otli.isEmpty
// res11: List[Boolean] = List(false, true, false, false)
otli.getOrElse(42)
// res12: List[Int] = List(1, 42, 2, 3)
```

For comprehension with OptionT[List, Int] encapsulating List[Option[Int]]

```
val result4: OptionT[List, Int] = for {
    x <- otli
    y <- otli
} yield x * y
// result4: cats.data.OptionT[List,Int] =
// OptionT(List(Some(1), None, Some(2), Some(3), None, Some(2),
// None, Some(4), Some(6), Some(3), None, Some(6), Some(9)))

result4.value
// res13: List[Option[Int]] =
// List(Some(1), None, Some(2), Some(3), None, Some(2),
// None, Some(4), Some(6), Some(3), None, Some(6), Some(9))</pre>
```

7. Generic Monad Processing

Using processIntMonads with OptionT

OptionT encapsulates 2 monads, but it is itself also a monad.

Hence it can be passed to a function accepting any Monad F[Int].

Using processIntMonads with other Monads

- List
- Vector
- Option
- Future

```
processIntMonads(List(1, 2, 3), List(10, 20, 30))
// res16: List[Int] = List(10, 20, 30, 20, 40, 60, 30, 60, 90)

processIntMonads(Vector(1, 2, 3), Vector(10, 20, 30))
// res17: scala.collection.immutable.Vector[Int] = Vector(10, 20, 30, 20, 40, 60,

processIntMonads(Option(5), Option(5))
// res18: Option[Int] = Some(25)

val fi = processIntMonads(Future(5), Future(5))
// fi: scala.concurrent.Future[Int] = Future(<not completed>)
Await.ready(fi, 1.second)
fi
// res20: scala.concurrent.Future[Int] = Future(Success(25))
```

Using processIntMonads with other OptionT-Monads

- OptionT[Vector, Int]
- OptionT[Option, Int]
- OptionT[Future, Int]

```
val otvi = OptionT[Vector, Int](Vector(Option(3), Option(5)))
processIntMonads(otvi, otvi).value
// res21: Vector[Option[Int]] = Vector(Some(9), Some(15), Some(15), Some(25))

val otoi = OptionT[Option, Int](Option(Option(5)))
processIntMonads(otoi, otoi).value
// res22: Option[Option[Int]] = Some(Some(25))

val otfi = processIntMonads(OptionT(Future(Option(5))), OptionT(Future(Option(5))))
Await.ready(otfi.value, 1.second)
otfi.value
// res23: scala.concurrent.Future[Option[Int]] = Future(Success(Some(25)))
```

8. Stacking Monads and Transformers

Stacking 3 monads with 2 transformers

A database query should be async -> use a Future[???] Accessing the DB may give you an error -> Future[Either[String, ???]] The entity searched may or may not be there -> Future[Either[String, Option[???]]] With EitherT and OptionT we can stack the 3 monads together.

```
def compute[A]: A => Future[Either[String, Option[A]]] =
   input => Future(Right(Some(input)))

def stackMonads[A](input: A): OptionT[EitherT[Future, String, ?], A] =
   OptionT(EitherT(compute(input)))

val wrappedTwice: OptionT[EitherT[Future, String, ?], Int] =
   for {
        a <- stackMonads(10)
        b <- stackMonads(32)
   } yield a + b

val future: Future[Either[String, Option[Int]]] = wrappedTwice.value.value

val result: Either[String, Option[Int]] = Await.result(future, 3.seconds)

println(result.right.get) // 42</pre>
```

9. Best Practices

Don't stack too many transformers!

- A monad transformer is just another monad (wrapping 2 monads).
- You can wrap 3 monads with 2 transformers.
- You can wrap 4 monads with 3 transformers, etc.
- Too many stacked transformers do not make your code more understandable.
- Too many stacked transformers can degrade performance.

Don't expose monad transformers to your API!

- This would make your API harder to understand.
- The user of your API may not know what a monad transformer is.
- Just call transformer.value before you expose it.
- Thus you expose List[Option[A]] instead of OptionT[List, A].

10. OptionT - implementation

```
import mycats.{Functor, Monad}
final case class OptionT[F[ ], A](value: F[Option[A]]) {
  def map[B](f: A => B)(implicit F: Functor[F]): OptionT[F, B] =
    OptionT(F.map(value)( map f))
  def flatMap[B](f: A => OptionT[F, B])(implicit F: Monad[F]): OptionT[F, B] =
    OptionT(
      F.flatMap(value)(optA =>
        optA.map(a => f(a).value)
          .getOrElse(F.pure(Option.empty[B]))
  def flatMapF[B](f: A => F[Option[B]])(implicit F: Monad[F]): OptionT[F, B] =
    flatMap(f andThen OptionT.apply)
  def isDefined(implicit F: Functor[F]): F[Boolean] = F.map(value)( .isDefined)
  def isEmpty(implicit F: Functor[F]): F[Boolean] = F.map(value)( .isEmpty)
  def getOrElse(default: => A)(implicit F: Functor[F]): F[A] = F.map(value)( .get0
object OptionT {
  implicit def functor[F[ ] : Functor]: Functor[OptionT[F, ?]] = new Functor[OptionT[F]]
    override def map[A, B](fa: OptionT[F, A])(f: A => B): OptionT[F, B] = fa map f
  implicit def monad[F[ ]](implicit F: Monad[F]): Monad[OptionT[F, ?]] = new Monad
    override def pure[A](a: A): OptionT[F, A] = OptionT(F.pure(Option(a)))
    override def flatMap[A, B](fa: OptionT[F, A])(f: A => OptionT[F, B]): OptionT[
```

11. Resources

- Code and Slides of this Talk: https://github.com/hermannhueck/monad-transformers
- "Scala with Cats" Book by Noel Welsh and Dave Gurnell https://underscore.io/books/scala-with-cats/
- "Monad transformers down to earth" Talk by Gabriele Petronella at Scala Days Copenhagen 2017 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jd5e71nFEZM
- "FSiS Part 7 OptionT transformer" Live coding video tutorial by Michael Pilquist, 2015 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZNUTMabdgzo

Thanks for Listening

Q&A