TDT4165 Programming Languages Scala Project Delivery 1

Random Group 4 Sondre T Bungum, Håvard R. Krogstie, Hermann Mørkrid

November 1, 2021

Scala Introduction

All the code for this task can be found in task1/Main.scala.

- (a) The createIntegerArray() function declares a new array of 50 items, and then assigns the integer values from 1 to 50 to those items.
- (b) The sumIntegerArray(array) function declares a variable sum, then loops over the provided array of integers to sum them.
- (c) The recursiveSumIntegerArray(array) function first checks for the exit condition of the array being empty. If not, it sums the first element of the array with a recursive call to the function with the remainder of the array.
- (d) The function fibonacci(n: Int) gives the n'th fibonacci number, with 0 being the zeroth, and 1 being the first. The rest of the sequence is implemented using the recursive definition of fibonacci.

The function return type is BigInt because the fibonacci sequence quickly exceeds $2^{31}-1$, which is the maximum value representable as an Int. A BigInt however does not have a pre-determined range of possible integer values. It will allocate enough

space to fit the value as it grows.

2 Concurrency in Scala

All the code for tasks **a**, **b** and **c** can be found in task2abc/Main.scala. The code for the last subtask **d** is in task2d/Main.scala.

- (a) The initializeThread() function takes a function as its argument, and returns an initialized, non-started thread with the run() method overridden by the provided function. When calling start() on the thread later, a call will be made to this run() function.
- (b) The increaseCounter() function and printCounter() both use the variable counter. They perform updates and reads respectivly. When we spawn 3 threads, we don't know what order the scheduler is going to run our threads in. Even though we have the code

```
val thread1 = initializeThread(increaseCounter)
val thread2 = initializeThread(increaseCounter)
val thread3 = initializeThread(printCounter)
thread1.start()
thread2.start()
thread3.start()
```

we sometimes get 1 printed to the console. This is because printCounter() can be called before the increaseCounter() calls have done their job.

Another option is that the two calls to increaseCounter() happen on top of each other, in such a way that the two invocations of counter += 1 don't see the effects of each other. They will both read the same old value of counter, and thus counter is only increased by one.

The possibilities of this occurring means the code is non-deterministic. This kind of problem is called a race condition, which is what happens when two threads are attempting to use the same memory address, at least one of the threads is writing to the address, and there is no **happens before**-relation between the two operations.

This can be very problematic in a banking system, if several deposits are made, and multiple deposits work with the old balance, the resulting balance will not see the effects of all the deposits.

We can avoid this by using syncronization atomics that create **happens before** relations across threads, or use locks to avoid multiple threads ever working on the same memory at once.

(c) increaseCounter() and printCounter() are made thread-safe through the implementation of safeIncreaseCounter() and safePrintCounter(), which each wrap the given functions in the this.synchronized() function. this.synchronized() uses the this object as a lock, preventing multiple methods from modifying internal state at once, or one call from modifying while another is reading. The this part of the function call binds it to the context of the surrounding object, in this case the singleton Task2.

Note that this locking only prevents race conditions, it is still possible for the scheduler to call safePrintCounter() before safeIncreaseCounter(). If we wanted to avoid this, we would have to join() width the increasing threads before starting the printing thread, but at that point there is no point in threads.

(d) A deadlock occurs when two threads are waiting for a response from one another. This can be avoided by changing the order of execution, so that we are always sure that an action is finished or can be finished before or when it is required.

In our implementation, a deadlock is caused by initializing a lazy value by the main thread, and in doing so a new thread is started that prints out the value of the same lazy value. Because the double-checked locking idiom prevents multiple threads from initializesing the same lazy variable, we get a deadlock, as the main thread is already trying to initialize it.