

- `non_maximum_suppression_interpol()` interpolates neighbouring pixel values to find the local maxima as described in lecture. If a given pixel's intensity is larger than both interpolated neighbours, keep as an edge pixel; otherwise, discard.
- `non_maximum_suppression()` skips interpolation and simply uses the nearest available neighbouring pixel values, with the choice in neighbouring pixel depending on the orientation G_θ (see Fig. 2 on right).




Figure 2. Non-maximum suppression without interpolation partitions the orientation into ranges. For example, $22.5^\circ \leq G_\theta < 67.5^\circ$ uses pixels *a* as the neighbouring pixels for comparison, $-22.5^\circ \leq G_\theta < 22.5^\circ$ uses pixels *b* as neighbours, etc.

4. `double_thresholding()` thresholds the single-pixel wide gradients G_1 with a high threshold τ_H and a low threshold τ_L to generate the strong edges $E_S = G_1 > \tau_H$ and weak edges $E_W = \tau_H > G_1 > \tau_L$. Note that E_S and E_W are now binary images (0 for background, 1 for edge). The thresholds τ_H and τ_L should be set adaptively based on the gradient magnitudes present in G_1 , i.e. $\tau_H = \min G_1 + f_H \cdot (\max G_1 - \min G_1)$, and $\tau_L = \min G_1 + f_L \cdot (\max G_1 - \min G_1)$ where f_H and f_L are given as inputs.
5. `edge_linking()` performs a naïve version of edge linking by checking all edge pixels of E_W to see if they have a strong edge in the 8-pixel neighbourhood. Make multiple passes, where in each pass, linked weak pixels are removed from the weak pixel set and added to the strong pixel set. Stop when no more weak pixels get linked or when the maximum number of passes is reached. The resulting strong pixel set will be a complete (or approximate) edge image. For efficiency, you are strongly recommended to vectorise your implementation.

Part 2: Line Detection with Hough Transform (20%)

Use the detected edges from Part 1 to detect straight lines. Recall the normal form of a line representation with parameters ρ and θ , the normal line length and angle.

1. Quantize the parameter space for ρ and θ based on the min and max value for that parameter and an interval size. The min and max of ρ spans from $-d$ to d , where d is the length of the image diagonal, while θ spans from 0 to π . The interval will be user-defined and determines the quantization or bin coarseness in the accumulator array. Index the quantized spaces for ρ and θ with r and t respectively. When mapping from (ρ, θ) to (r, t) treat the lower bound of the interval range as inclusive and upper bound as exclusive. When mapping from (r, t) to (ρ, θ) , apply the interval lower limit of the interval. For example, if the interval for θ is $\frac{\pi}{4}$, the quantization is split from $\left[0, \frac{\pi}{4}\right), \left[\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right), \left[\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{4}\right), \left[\frac{3\pi}{4}, \pi\right]$ and θ_t associated with $t = 1$ is $\frac{\pi}{4}$. As default, use an interval of 1 pixel and $\frac{\pi}{180}$ for ρ and θ respectively.
2. Create a 2D accumulator array A of dimension $(R \times T)$ and initialize all entries to 0, where R and T are total number of bins for ρ and θ based on the chosen quantization for step 1.
3. `hough_vote_lines()` iterates through each pixel in the edge image and casts votes for possible lines passing through that pixel. For a pixel i located at (x_i, y_i) , solve $\rho_r = x_i \cos \theta_t + y_i \sin \theta_t$ for every quantized value θ_t that θ can take based on your quantization in step 1 by mapping the t values back. You may optionally include $T+1$ to cover the upper bound of the last bin. Find the corresponding index (r, t) in the accumulator array and increment $A[r, t]$.

4. `find_peak_params()` performs non-maximum suppression similar to Lab 1 and finds the local maxima in A to return the associated r, t . This function is provided for you. It has as inputs a threshold on accumulator values and a window size when searching for local maxima.

Part 3: Circle Detection with Hough Transform (20%)

Use the detected edges from Part 1 to detect circles. A circle is parameterized by center coordinates (a, b) and radius r_c . The steps are analogous to Part 2, with a few changes to improve efficiency.

1. Quantize the parameter space in the same way as Part 2. The limits of a and b span from 0 to H and 0 to W respectively, with (H, W) being the dimension of the image (we are only interested in circles whose centres lie within the image frame). The limits of r_c range from r_{min} to r_{max} which are either user-defined or set to defaults of 3 and the image diagonal length respectively. Use an interval of 1 pixel for all 3 parameters.
2. Create a 3D accumulator array A of size $(R \times H \times W)$ based on the quantization of step 1.
3. `hough_vote_circles()` iterates through each pixel on the edge image. For every candidate radius value r , an edge pixel will cast a vote for circles of radius r , centred at itself.
 - A naïve way to cast votes on a perimeter around pixel i located at (x_i, y_i) , would be to iterate through each bin of r and each value θ in the range from 0 to 2π and solve for $a = x_i + r \times \cos \theta$ and $b = y_i + r \times \sin \theta$.
 - The naïve formulation is redundant because it is always the same circle of votes cast relative to (x_i, y_i) for some fixed radius r . The relative votes can therefore be solved for in advance, e.g. using `skimage.draw.circle_perimeter` and added directly to the accumulator array A . This process is illustrated in Fig. 3 below.
4. Use the provided `find_peak_params()` to detect the local maxima.




Figure 3. (a) Given an edge image and an accumulator array, we can generate a pre-defined (quantized) circle of votes. (b) – (d) This vote circle can be added to relative to each edge pixel in the image. The final detected circle is based on the local maximum in the accumulator array. Note the padding in the accumulator array denoted by the grey shading to accommodate out-of-range votes.

Part 4: Exploratory Questions (30%)

- **Weighted Voting (5%):** Apply your `hough_vote_circles()` to the image `coins.png`. Are you able to find all the circles? What adjustments can you make to the votes cast to ensure that circles of all sizes are detected? Hint: Run `find_peak_params()` and visualize the bin counts. Each bin is associated with the number of edge pixels that cast a vote. How is this affected by the circle size?
- **Leveraging gradients (5%):** Implement `hough_vote_circles_grad()` that leverages the gradient orientation information to reduce the number of votes cast, as described in lecture. In this case, each edge pixel does not cast a circle of votes, but two votes along the gradient orientation, i.e. for an edge pixel (x_i, y_i) with gradient orientation θ_i , the associated votes are $a_1 = x_i + r \cos \theta_i$, $b_1 = y_i + r \sin \theta_i$ and $a_2 = x_i - r \cos \theta_i$, $b_2 = y_i - r \sin \theta_i$. Weight your votes accordingly similar to the previous question.
- **Interval / Bin Size (10%):**
 - Test your implementation of `hough_vote_circles_grad()` on the image `penny_farthing.png`. Comment on your results. Are you able to find any circles? To make this part more intuitive, you can also set the weight of each vote to 1 so that you can determine the number of edge pixels which cast a vote in that bin.
 - The default interval setting of r , a , and b is 1. Repeat the previous step with interval sizes of 3 and 5 for all three parameters. Again, comment on your results and explain the difference in result when the interval was set to 1. What do you think will happen if the interval size is set to a large value like 10 or 20?
- **Gaussian Sigma (10%):** Consider the images `sunflower.png` and `cells.png`. Apply your edge detection algorithm from Part 1 with varying input values of `sigma` (1, 3, and 5) for `make_gaussian_kernel`. Perform circle detection for each `sigma` and comment on the quality of the edge and circle detection. What impact does changing `sigma` have on detecting the circles and why? Note that you may need to tune the parameters of `double_thresholding()` and `find_peak_params()`, but your answer should explain the impact of the various `sigma`.