

Numerical Analysis Ex2

王惠恒 3200300395

16-10-2022

I:(a) Given that $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ and its second derivative is $f''(x) = \frac{2}{x^3}$. Use Lagrange Formula, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(f; x) &= 1 \cdot \frac{x-2}{1-2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{x-1}{2-1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{3}{2} \end{aligned}$$

then substitute into the linear interpolation, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} L.H.S &= \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2}x - \frac{3}{2} \\ &= \frac{(x-1)(x-2)}{2x} \\ R.H.S &= f''(\xi(x)) \frac{(x-1)(x-2)}{2} \\ &= \frac{2}{(\xi(x))^3} \frac{(x-1)(x-2)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

By comparing, we can determine $\xi(x)$ explicitly as $\xi(x) = \sqrt[3]{2x}$

(b) For $x \in [1, 2]$, as $\xi(x)$ is a monotonically increasing function, $\max \xi(x) = \sqrt[3]{4}$, $\min \xi(x) = \sqrt[3]{2}$ and $\min f''(\xi(x)) = 1$.

II: Let $h(x) \in P_m^+$, it's particularly in the form of $\sqrt{f(x)}$, as we want to make sure $h(x) \geq 0$. Given that $p(x) \in P_{2n}^+$ such that $p(x_i) = f_i$, thus we can directly let $p(x_i) = q^2(x_i) = f_i$. By Lagrange Formula, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_i) &= \sum_{i=0}^n \sqrt{f_i} \prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^n \frac{x - x_j}{x_i - x_j} \\ p(x_i) &= q^2(x_i) \\ p(x_i) &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \sqrt{f_i} \prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^n \frac{x - x_j}{x_i - x_j} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

III:(a) For the first term,

$$f[t, t+1] = \frac{f(t+1) - f(t)}{t+1-t} = e^{t+1} - e^t = \frac{(e-1)^1}{1!} e^t$$

hence, it is true for the case of first term. Now assume that it is true for the $(n-1)$ th term.

$$f[t, t+1, t+2, \dots, t+n-1] = \frac{(e-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^t$$

For the case of n th term ,

$$\begin{aligned} f[t, t+1, t+2, \dots, t+n] &= \frac{f[t+1, t+2, \dots, t+n] - f[t, t+1, t+2, \dots, t+n-1]}{t+n-t} \\ &= \frac{\frac{(e-1)^n}{(n-1)!}e^{t+1} - \frac{(e-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}e^t}{n} \\ &= \frac{(e-1)^{n-1}e^t(e-1)}{n!} = \frac{(e-1)^n}{n!}e^t \end{aligned}$$

it is true for the case of n th term. In conclusion, it is universally true for $n \in N$.

(b) $\exists \xi \in (0, n)$, $f[0, 1, 2, \dots, n] = \frac{1}{n!}f^{(n)}(\xi)$, and after calculate we have $f^{(n)}(x) = e^x$. By the induction in (a), take $t = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(e-1)^n}{n!} &= \frac{e^\xi}{n!} \\ (e-1)^n &= e^\xi \\ \xi &= n \ln(e-1) > \frac{n}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, ξ is located to the right of the midpoint.

IV:(a) Use the given results $f(0) = 5, f(1) = 3, f(3) = 5, f(4) = 12$ to construct a table From the

$x_0 = 0$	5	-	-	-
$x_1 = 1$	3	-2	-	-
$x_2 = 3$	5	1	1	-
$x_3 = 4$	12	7	2	$\frac{1}{4}$

table above, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} p_3(f; x) &= 5 - 2x + x(x-1) + \frac{1}{4}x(x-1)(x-3) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}x^3 - \frac{9}{4}x + 5 \end{aligned}$$

(b) Simply denote $p_3(f; x) := p_3(x)$. $x \in (1, 3)$

$$\begin{aligned} p'_3(x) &= \frac{3}{4}x^2 - \frac{9}{4} = 0 \\ x &= \pm\sqrt{3} \\ p''_3(x) &= \frac{3}{2}x \\ p''_3(\sqrt{3}) &= \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} > 0(\min) \end{aligned}$$

Take $x = \sqrt{3}$. The approximate value of the minimum is $p(\sqrt{3}) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{9}{4} \cdot 3^{\frac{1}{2}} + 5 \simeq 2.4019$

V:(a) Given that $f(x) = x^7$, to compute $f[0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2]$, first we should know $f[x_0, x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0] = \frac{1}{n!}f^{(n)}(x_0)$, where x_0 is repeated $n+1$ times, then we may construct a table. For your reference: $f'(x) = 7x^6, f''(x) = 42x^5$

From the table above, we know that

$$p(x) = x + 6x(x-1) + 15x(x-1)^2 + 42x(x-1)^3 + 30x(x-1)^3(x-2)$$

$x_0 = 0$	0	-	-	-	-	-
$x_1 = 1$	1	1	-	-	-	-
$x_2 = 1$	1	7	6	-	-	-
$x_3 = 1$	1	7	21	15	-	-
$x_4 = 2$	128	127	120	99	42	-
$x_5 = 2$	128	448	321	201	102	30

ANS: $f[0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2] = 30$

(b) The fifth derivative of f is $f^{(5)} = 2520x^2$. We can solve ξ by

$$f^{(5)}(\xi) = 2520\xi^2 = 30$$

$$\xi^2 = \frac{1}{84}$$

$$\xi = \pm \frac{\sqrt{21}}{42}$$

As $\xi \in (0, 2)$, hence the true value of $\xi = \frac{\sqrt{21}}{42}$

VI: Use the given data $f(0) = 1, f(1) = 2, f'(1) = -1, f(3) = f'(3) = 0$ to construct a table.

$x_0 = 0$	1	-	-	-	-
$x_1 = 1$	2	1	-	-	-
$x_2 = 1$	2	-1	-2	-	-
$x_3 = 3$	0	-1	0	$\frac{2}{3}$	-
$x_4 = 3$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$-\frac{5}{36}$

From the table we know that

$$p(x) = 1 + x - 2x(x-1) + \frac{2}{3}x(x-1)^2 - \frac{5}{36}x(x-1)^2(x-3)$$

ANS: $f(2) \simeq p(2) = \frac{11}{18}$

(b) Maximum possible error is the expression of $f(x) - p(x) = \frac{f^{(5)}(\xi)}{5!}x(x-1)^2(x-3)^2$. Now we estimate the upper bound.

$$|f(2) - p(2)| = \left| \frac{f^{(5)}(\xi)}{5!} (2)(2-1)^2(2-3)^2 \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{f^{(5)}(\xi)}{60} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{M}{60}$$

VII: Use Lagrange Formula, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] &= \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{f(x_i)}{\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n (x_i - x_j)} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-i} f(x + ih)}{h^n i! (n-i)!} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} C_n^i f(x + ih) \\
&= \frac{\Delta^n f(x)}{h^n n!} \\
h^n n! f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] &= \Delta^n f(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we prove $\nabla^k f(x) = k! h^k f[x_0, x_{-1}, \dots, x_{-k}]$ by mathematical induction, the other one is similarly could be proven. For the first case,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla f(x) &= f(x) - f(x - h) \\
&= f(x_0) - f(x_{-1}) \\
&= (x_0 - x_{-1}) f([x_0, x_{-1}]) \\
&= 1! h^1 f[x_0, x_{-1}]
\end{aligned}$$

it is true for the case of $n = 1$. Now assume that it is true for the $(n - 1)$ th term.

$$\nabla^{n-1} f(x) = (n - 1)! h^{n-1} f[x_0, x_{-1}, \dots, x_{-(n-1)}]$$

For the case of n th term

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^n f(x) &= \nabla^{n-1} f(x) - \nabla^{n-1} f(x - h) \\
&= (n - 1)! h^{n-1} f[x_0, x_{-1}, \dots, x_{-(n-1)}] - (n - 1)! h^{n-1} f[x_{-1}, \dots, x_{-n}] \\
&= (n - 1)! h^{n-1} (x_0 - x_{-n}) f[x_0, x_{-1}, \dots, x_{-n}] \\
&= (n - 1)! h^{n-1} (x - (x - nh)) f[x_0, x_{-1}, \dots, x_{-n}] \\
&= n! h^n f[x_0, x_{-1}, \dots, x_{-n}]
\end{aligned}$$

it is true of the n th term. In conclusion, it is universally true for $k = n \in N$.

VIII: For your reference: $f[x_0] = f(x_0)$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f[x_0] = f'(x_0) = f[x_0, x_0]$. Prove by induction:

For the first term:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f[x_0, x_1] &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \left(\frac{f[x_1] - f[x_0]}{x_1 - x_0} \right) \\
&= \frac{(x_1 - x_0) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} (-f[x_0]) \right) - (f[x_1] - f[x_0]) (-1)}{(x_1 - x_0)^2} \\
&= -\frac{(x_1 - x_0) f[x_0, x_0]}{(x_1 - x_0)^2} + \frac{f[x_0, x_1]}{x_1 - x_0} \\
&= \frac{f[x_0, x_1] - f[x_0, x_0]}{x_1 - x_0} \\
&= f[x_0, x_0, x_1]
\end{aligned}$$

it is true for the case of $n = 1$. Now assume that the $(n - 1)$ th term is true.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}] = f[x_0, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$$

For the case of n th term:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \left(\frac{f[x_1, \dots, x_n] - f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]}{x_n - x_0} \right) \\ &= \frac{(x_n - x_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} (-f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]) - (f[x_1, \dots, x_n] - f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}])(-1)}{(x_n - x_0)^2} \\ &= -\frac{(x_n - x_0)f[x_0, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]}{(x_n - x_0)^2} + \frac{f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]}{x_n - x_0} \\ &= \frac{f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] - f[x_0, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]}{x_n - x_0} \\ &= f[x_0, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] \end{aligned}$$

it is true for the n th term. In conclusion, it is universally true for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

IX: Let

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= a_0 x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + a_n \\ \frac{1}{a_0} p(x) &= x^n + \frac{a_1}{a_0} x^{n-1} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{a_0} \quad (a_0 \neq 0) \\ \frac{1}{a_0} p(x) &:= x^n + b_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + b_n \end{aligned}$$

then we simply denote $q(x) = \frac{1}{a_0} p(x)$. For your reference, $T_n(x) = \cos(\arccos x)$. By Chebyshev, we can get

$$\max_{x \in [-1, 1]} \left| \frac{T_n(x)}{2^{n-1}} \right| \leq \max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |q(x)| < \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$$

hence, by Corollary 2.45, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |q(x)| &\geq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \\ \frac{1}{a_0} \max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |p(x)| &\geq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \\ \max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |p(x)| &\geq \frac{a_0}{2^{n-1}} \\ \min_{x \in [-1, 1]} \max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |p(x)| &= \frac{a_0}{2^{n-1}} \end{aligned}$$

The statement above has assumed that $[a, b] = [-1, 1]$. If there is an interval which is not general as $[-1, 1]$, we may use a contraction mapping to standardize it. As well as the mapping is a bijection, we know that isomorphism takes the same answer.

X: By the definition of $\|f\|_\infty = \max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |f(x)|$, and $T_n(x) = \cos(\arccos x)$, we can observe that $\|\hat{p}_n\|_\infty = \frac{1}{|T_n(a)|}$. The equal sign holds when $x = a$. Now prove $\|\hat{p}_n(x)\|_\infty < \|p(x)\|_\infty$ when $x \neq a$. When $x = \cos \frac{k\pi}{n}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\hat{p}_n(\cos \frac{k\pi}{n}) = \frac{(-1)^k}{T_n(a)}$. Let $h(x_k) = p(x_k) - p_n(x_k)$. If $\|p\|_\infty < \frac{1}{|T_n(a)|}$, then $h(x_0)h(x_1) < 0$, $h(x_1)h(x_2) < 0$, ..., $h(x_{n-1})h(x_n) < 0$, thus there exist n of roots. But $x = a$ is a root too, it contradicts with the definition of P_n^a . Thus, the statement is true.

(Discussed with Ngoo Ling Hui 3200300299)

XI:First proof: $\forall k = 0, 1, \dots, n, \forall t \in (0, 1), b_{n,k} > 0$

From the definition of $b_{n,k}(t) = C_n^k t^k (1-t)^{n-k}$

$$\begin{aligned} C_n^k &= \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} > 0 \\ t^k &> 0 \\ (1-t) &> 0 \\ (1-t)^{n-k} &> 0 \\ b_{n,k}(t) &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

Second proof: $\sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k}(t) = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= t + 1 - t \\ &= [(t+1) - t]^n \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k t^k (1-t)^{n-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k}(t) \end{aligned}$$

Third proof: $\sum_{k=0}^n k b_{n,k}(t) = nt$

$$(x+y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k x^k y^{n-k}$$

Differentiate with respect to x , and multiply through both sides with x , we can get

$$nx(x+y)^{n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k k x^k y^{n-k}$$

Let $x = t, y = 1 - t$, then we proved.

$$nt = \sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k k t^k (1-t)^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^n k b_{n,k}(t)$$

Fourth proof: $\sum_{k=0}^n (k - nt)^2 b_{n,k}(t) = nt(1-t)$

From the third proof,

$$nx(x+y)^{n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k k x^k y^{n-k}$$

Differentiate with respect to x and multiply through both sides with x , we can get

$$nx(x+y)^{n-1} + n(n-1)x^2(x+y)^{n-2} = \sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k k^2 x^k y^{n-k}$$

Let $x = t, y = 1 - t$, then we get

$$nt + n(n-1)t^2 = \sum_{k=0}^n k^2 b_{n,k}(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n (k - nt)^2 b_{n,k}(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^n k^2 b_{n,k}(t) - 2nt \sum_{k=0}^n k b_{n,k}(t) + \sum_{k=0}^n (nt)^2 b_{n,k}(t) \\ &= nt + n(n-1)t^2 - 2(nt)^2 + (nt)^2 \\ &= nt(1-t) \end{aligned}$$