# Automated Techniques for Finding and Maintaining Traces

Group 1

28th November 2016

#### Overview

- Preliminary Remarks
- 2 Methodology
- Studies
- 4 Discussion

#### The Need for Automatisation

#### Traditional requirements tracing is

- slow
- expensive
- prone to non-completeness (missing links)

 $\Rightarrow$  Indicators for automation potential

#### How to Find Links?

- Relevancy likelihood estimation is a basic task in computational linguistics.
- Basically a search engine where everything's a query and everything's a document.
- One possible technique: term vectors.

#### Term Vectors

How relevant is a specific term to an artifact?

#### Term Relevancy Vector structure

$$\vec{r}_{doc} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{turnstile} \\ r_{card} \\ r_{the} \\ \vdots \\ r_{operator} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.22 \\ 0.68 \\ 0.02 \\ \vdots \\ 0.00 \end{pmatrix}$$

How relevant are two artifacts to each other?

#### Cosine Similarity

$$\mathit{rel}_{\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2} = \cos(\vec{r}_1 \angle \vec{r}_2) = \frac{\vec{r}_1 \cdot \vec{r}_2}{|\vec{r}_1| \cdot |\vec{r}_2|}$$

#### What to do with this information?

- We have an automatically generated relevancy likelihood extimation for each pair of trace artifacts.
- Two options:
  - **①** Register all traces with a similarity  $> \theta$  and be done with it.
  - 2 Present higher-probability links to human analyst for validation.
- Option 2. Definitely option 2.
- Therefore recall must be optimised over precision.

#### Learning

- Automatic relevancy vectors are unreliable.
- Let's steal some stuff from computational linguists again!
  - Weight matrices are useful!

#### Adding a weight vector

$$\vec{r}_{\text{doc}} \cdot \vec{w} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{\text{turnstile}} \\ r_{\text{card}} \\ r_{\text{the}} \\ \vdots \\ r_{\text{operator}} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} w_{\text{turnstile}} \\ w_{\text{card}} \\ w_{\text{the}} \\ \vdots \\ w_{\text{operator}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.22 \\ 0.68 \\ 0.02 \\ \vdots \\ 0.00 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0.75 \\ 2.00 \\ 0.25 \\ \vdots \\ 1.00 \end{pmatrix}$$

 Weights can be uniformly initalised and later modified according to feedback from human analysts.

### Hayes et al. 2007

- RETRO system
  - Facilitates tracing for human analysts
  - Intended for vertical tracing ('high-level' to 'low-level' artifacts)

	Recall	Precision	Time [min]
Manual group	0.33	0.24	120.67
RETRO group	0.70	0.13	41.88
T test (p value)	0.001	0.01	0.0004

# Huang et al. 2007

- Poirot System
  - Smarter parsing
  - (Programming) stopword removal
  - Normalisation of conventionallyStyledNames();
- Achieved 90% recall and about 30% precision
- Deduced nine 'best practices'

## Huang's Best Practices

- Trace for a purpose
- Define a suitable trace granularity
- Support in-place traceability
- Use a well-defined project glossary
- Write quality requirements
- Construct a meaningful hierarchy
- O Bridge the intradomain semantic gap
- Create rich content
- Use a process improvement plan

# Discussion