# Heuristic Analysis

## Heuristics

Three different custom-evaluating functions have been used for this analysis. These functions are:

* Defensive: this heuristic tries to move away from the opponent and prioritizes having multiple options to move around, limiting the opponent’s moves.

Later in the game, when there are few movements left, the heuristic changes its behaviour: distance is no longer a primary guideline; having several options to move becomes paramount.

* Aggressive: the opposite version of the Defensive heuristic, this one tries to get closer to the opponent and values limiting the opponent’s moves.

In the same way, later in the game, the heuristic focuses on limiting the opponent’s options to move and distance is no longer taken into consideration.

* Improved Weighted: a weighted version of the improved heuristic, where the number of your movements is three times more important than the number of the opponent’s movements.

## Results

The table below shows the results after 100 matches per opponent. The number of matches has been raised from the original five after observing important deviations in the results of successive runnings.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | AB Improved | | Defensive | | Aggressive | | Improved Weighted | |
| Won | Lost | Won | Lost | Won | Lost | Won | Lost |
| Random | 177 | 23 | 189 | 11 | 177 | 23 | 176 | 24 |
| MM Open | 115 | 85 | 137 | 63 | 131 | 69 | 126 | 74 |
| MM Center | 154 | 46 | 172 | 28 | 169 | 31 | 159 | 41 |
| MM Improved | 109 | 91 | 113 | 87 | 115 | 85 | 112 | 88 |
| AB Open | 99 | 101 | 109 | 91 | 114 | 86 | 105 | 95 |
| AB Center | 89 | 111 | 119 | 81 | 106 | 94 | 100 | 100 |
| AB Improved | 105 | 95 | 115 | 85 | 109 | 91 | 107 | 93 |
|  | 60.6 % | | 68.1 % | | 65.8 % | | 63.2 % | |

Defensive heuristic seems to be the better strategy, with almost 70% of total victories, closely followed by the Aggressive heuristic.

However, Random and Minimax players do not perform very well against these and other heuristics that have been tested. Besides, as our heuristics use AlphaBeta, we think it makes more sense to test them just against other AlphaBeta opponents. In this case, the number of victories are not so good but above average.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | AB Improved | | Defensive | | Aggressive | | Improved Weighted | |
| Won | Lost | Won | Lost | Won | Lost | Won | Lost |
| AB Open | 99 | 101 | 109 | 91 | 114 | 86 | 105 | 95 |
| AB Center | 89 | 111 | 119 | 81 | 106 | 94 | 100 | 100 |
| AB Improved | 105 | 95 | 115 | 85 | 109 | 91 | 107 | 93 |
|  | 48.83 % | | 57.16 % | | 54.83 % | | 52 % | |

We do some more test. In this case, we do five rounds of five matches of our heuristics against others AlphaBeta opponents. The results are in table below:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Round 1 | Round 2 | Round 3 | Round 4 | Round 5 | Mean | STDEV |
| Defensive | 56.67% | 60% | 56.67% | 53.34% | 60% | 57.23% | 2.78 |
| Aggressive | 46.67% | 53.34% | 63.34% | 53.34% | 53.34% | 53.49% | 5.96 |
| Im. Weighted | 50% | 56.67% | 40% | 46.37% | 60% | 49.65% | 7.95 |

Defensive heuristic has the higher mean and the lower standard deviation. Besides, in no round it goes below 50% of victories.

According to the data, Defensive heuristic is the best between the three but it still has a long way to go to improve.