## M368KHomework #2

Burden and Faires.

Section 7.4 (#2a,  $9^1$ ). Section 7.5 (#1d, 2c,  $8^2$ ). Section 7.6 (#6a).

Programming mini-project.

Consider a symmetric, positive-definite, tri-diagonal system Ax = b of size n = 100 where for i, j = 1, ..., n we have

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } j = i - 1 \\ 2 + (i/10) & \text{if } j = i \\ -1 & \text{if } j = i + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \qquad b_i = 1 + (i/20).$$

- (a) Download the C++ program file program2.cpp and function file conjgrad.cpp from the course webpage. These files implement the Conjugate Gradient method; read the program file for instructions on how to compile and run. The files from the previous homework will also be needed.
- (b) Use the Conjugate Gradient method with pre-conditioner  $C^{-1} = D^{-1/2}$  to solve Ax = b to within a tolerance of  $||r^{(k)}||_{\infty} < 10^{-5}$ , beginning from an initial guess  $x^{(0)} = 0$ . (You should build A and b with a loop.) Report the approximate solution components  $x_1^{(k)}, \ldots, x_4^{(k)}$  and number of steps k required, and also the residual norm  $||r^{(k)}||_{\infty}$ .
- (c) Repeat part (b) using the Jacobi, Gauss-Seidel and SOR ( $\omega=1.2$ ) methods and briefly compare results. Begin each method from a zero initial guess and use the same tolerance on the residual. (You should set maxIter to a sufficiently large value.) Try SOR with different  $\omega$  values, say  $\omega=1.6$  and  $\omega=2.3$ . Does the value of  $\omega$  have a significant impact on convergence? Try Conjugate Gradient without pre-conditioning ( $C^{-1}=I$ ). Does pre-conditioning make a noticeable difference?

Turn in: responses to (b) and (c), a copy of sor.cpp, and a copy of the loop for building A and b.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Explain the proof on p.826 by indicating which of the following properties of determinants for  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is used at each step: (i) det  $A = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$ , (ii) det $(AB) = \det A \det B$ , (iii) det  $A = \prod_{i=1}^n A_{ii}$  if A is triangular, (iv) det $(A^{-1}) = 1/\det A$  if A is invertible. Also, show why det $(cA) = c^n \det A$  for any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . For simplicity, assume all eigenvalues of  $T_\omega$  are real.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Compute the relative error in x using  $||\cdot||_{\infty}$  and verify the inequality in Equation (7.25).