EE445M/EE360L.6
Embedded and Real-Time Systems/ Real-Time Operating Systems

Lecture 4:
Semaphores, Deadlocks, Priority Scheduling

Graduate Projects Ideas

1. Extend the OS with more features (do this if two students in group)
   - Efficient with 20 to 50 threads
   - Multiple cores (real-time scheduling algorithms & implementation)
   - Multiple Mailboxes, FIFOs
   - Multiple periodic/edge-triggered interrupts
   - Path expressions
   - Semaphores with timeout, priority inheritance/ceiling (algorithms & implementation)
   - Kill foreground threads that finish

   Due end of Feb
2. Make your Lab3 OS portable and port to another platform
   - First implement Lab3 on another architecture (each students does their own)
   - Rewrite OS into two parts, OS.c and CPU.c
   - Common OS.c (maximize this part)
   - Separate CPU.c for each architecture (minimize this part)
3. Design and test a DMA-based eDisk driver for the LaunchPad board (one-person project)
   - Compare and contrast your Lab5 to FAT
4. Write your own memory management
   - Heap, malloc and free (one-person project)
   - Virtual memory, paging (two or more students)
5. Design, manufacture, and test a PCB for your or other robot (e.g. Freescale platform)
6. Design and test a DMA-based camera driver for the LaunchPad board
   - See LM3S811 example http://www.ece.utexas.edu/~valvano/arm/Camera_811.zip (one person project)
   - Implement object detection & recognition (self-driving car) (two or more students)
7. Networking, Internet-of-Things (IoT)
   - Port a TCP/IP stack onto board (e.g. using external WiFi module via UART)
   - Have robots communication with each other or base station (vehicle-to-vehicle / vehicle-to-)

Level of complexity depends on size of group

Semaphores

Edsger Dijkstra,
UT Austin CS 1984-2000

- *P*() or *wait*()
  - Dutch word *proberen*, to test
  - *probeer te verlagen*, try to decrease
  - `OS_Wait` `OSSemPend`
- *V*() or *signal*()
  - Dutch word *verhogen*, to increase
  - `OS_Signal` `OSSemPost`

Reference Book, Chapter 4

Semaphore Meaning

- Counting semaphore
  - Number of elements stored in FIFO
  - Space left in the FIFO
  - Number of printers available
- Binary semaphore (= mutex = flag)
  - Free (1), busy (0)
  - Event occurred (1), not occurred (0)

Spin-Lock Binary Semaphore





Mutual exclusion

bWait(&s);

// access LCD

bSignal(&s);

How do we use this to solve critical sections?

Why is this a good solution for critical sections?

What does the semaphore mean?

What would be a better name for *s*?

What about atomic?

Mailbox

MailBox_Send(…)
- **bWait(&BoxFree)**
- **Put data into Mailbox**
- **bSignal(&DataValid)**

MailBox_Recv(…)
- **bWait(&DataValid)**
- **Retrieve data from Mailbox**
- **bSignal(&BoxFree)**



What do the semaphores mean? What are the initial values?

What if we remove **bWait(&BoxFree)** and **bSignal(&BoxFree)**?

Spin-Lock Counting Semaphore



What does the semaphore mean?

What about atomic?

Spin-Lock Semaphores

```
OS_Wait ;R0 points to counter
   LDREX   R1, [R0] ; counter
   SUBS    R1, #1   ; counter -1,
   ITT     PL       ; ok if >= 0
   STREXPL R2,R1,[R0]  ; try update
   CMPPL   R2, #0   ; succeed?
   BNE     OS_Wait  ; no, try again
   BX      LR

OS_Signal ; R0 points to counter
   LDREX   R1, [R0]  ; counter
   ADD     R1, #1    ; counter + 1
   STREX   R2,R1,[R0]  ; try update
   CMP     R2, #0    ; succeed?
   BNE     OS_Signal ;no, try again
   BX      LR
```

```
void OS_Wait(long *s) {
  DisableInterrupts();
  while((*s) <= 0){
    EnableInterrupts();
    DisableInterrupts();
  }
  (*s) = (*s) - 1;
  EnableInterrupts();
}

void OS_Signal(long *s) {
  long status;
  status = StartCritical();
  (*s) = (*s) + 1;
  EndCritical(status);
}
```

Program 4.11

LDREX
STREX

Cortex-M3/M4F Instruction Set, pg. 50

Cooperative Spin-Lock

Cooperative spin-lock



Could be implemented with a catch and throw

Regular spin-lock



Why would you want a timeout error?
How would you implement timeout?

```
if(OS_Wait(&free,T100ms)){
  // use it
  OS_Signal(&free);
} else {
  // error
}
```


Cooperative Semaphores

```
void OS_Wait(long *s){
  DisableInterrupts();
  while((*s) <= 0){
    EnableInterrupts();
    OS_Suspend();
    DisableInterrupts();
  }
  (*s) = (*s) - 1;
  EnableInterrupts();
}

void OS_Signal(long *s){
  long status;
  status = StartCritical();
  (*s) = (*s) + 1;
  EndCritical(status);
}
```

Let other thread run

Do an experiment of Lab 2 with and without cooperation

FIFO, Queue, or Pipe

FIFO_Put
- Wait(&DataRoomLeft)
- Disable Interrupts
- Enter data into Fifo
- Enable Interrupts
- Signal(&DataAvailable)

FIFO_Get
- Wait(&DataAvailable)
- Disable Interrupts
- Remove data from Fifo
- Enable Interrupts
- Signal(&DataRoomLeft)

FIFO_Put
- Wait(&DataRoomLeft)
- bWait(&Mutex)
- Enter data into Fifo
- bSignal(&Mutex)
- Signal(&DataAvailable)

FIFO_Get
- Wait(&DataAvailable)
- bWait(&Mutex)
- Remove data from Fifo
- bSignal(&Mutex)
- Signal(&DataRoomLeft)

What do the semaphores mean?
What if the FIFO never fills?

No Background Wait

- Redo Mailbox if `Send` in background
- Redo Fifo if `Put` in background (RX)
- Redo Fifo if `Get` in background (TX)



Blocking Semaphore (Lab 3)

- Recapture time lost in the spin-lock
  - No spin operation, wakeup only on signal
  - Eliminate wasted time running threads that are not doing work (e.g., waiting)
- Implement **bounded waiting**
  - Once thread calls **Wait** and is not serviced,
  - There are a finite number of threads that will go ahead

Blocking Semaphore



What does the semaphore mean?

What about atomic?

Blocking Semaphore (V1)

OS_Wait(Sema4Type *semaPt)

1) Save the I bit and disable interrupts
2) Decrement the semaphore counter, S=S-1

```
(semaPt->Value)--;
```

3) If the `Value < 0` then this thread will be blocked
   set the status of this thread to blocked,
   specify this thread blocked on this semaphore,
   suspend thread
4) Restore the I bit

Blocking Semaphore (V1)

OS_Signal (Sema4Type *semaPt)

1) Save I bit, then disable interrupts
2) Increment the semaphore counter, S=S+1

```
(semaPt->Value)++;
```

3) If the `Value ≤ 0` then
   Wake up one thread from the TCB linked list
   - Bounded waiting -> the one waiting the longest
   - Priority -> the one with highest priority

   Move TCB of the "wakeup" thread
   from the blocked list to the active list
   What to do with the thread that called OS_Signal?
   - Round robin -> do not suspend
   - Priority -> suspend if wakeup thread is higher priority

4) Restore I bit

Blocking Semaphore (V1)

- Each semaphore has a blocked TCB linked list
  - contains the threads that are blocked
  - empty if semaphore **Value ≥ 0**
    - e.g., if **Value == -2**, then two threads are blocked
  - order on blocked list determine sequence of blocking
  - sequence of blocking determine which to wake up

How is the scheduler different?





Blocking Semaphore (V2)

- All threads exist on circular TCB list (active and blocked)
  - Each semaphore simply has a **Value**
  - No blocked threads if semaphore **Value ≥ 0**
    - e.g., if **Value** is -2, then two threads are blocked
  - No information about which thread has waited longest
  - Add to TCB, a **BlockPt**, of type **Sema4Type**
    - initially, this pointer is **null**
    - **null** means this thread is active and ready to run
    - If blocked, this pointer contains the semaphore address
- New Scheduler
  - Find the next active thread from the TCB list
  - Only run threads with **BlockPt** equal to **null**

Blocking Semaphore (V2)

OS_Wait(Sema4Type *semaPt)

1) Disable interrupts, I=1
2) Decrement the semaphore counter, S=S-1

```
(semaPt->Value)--;
```

3) If the Value<0 then this thread will be blocked
   specify this thread is blocked to this semaphore

```
RunPt->BlockPt = semaPt;
```

   suspend thread;
4) Enable interrupts, I=0

Blocking Semaphore (V2)

OS_Signal(Sema4Type *semaPt)

1) Save I bit, then disable interrupts
2) Increment the semaphore Value, S=S+1

```
(semaPt->Value)++;
```

3) If `Value ≤ 0` then
   wake up one thread from the TCB linked list
   (no bounded waiting)
   do not suspend the thread that called `OS_Signal`
   search TCBs for thread with `BlockPt == semaPt`
   set the `BlockPt` of this TCB to null
4) Restore I bit

Semaphore Applications

- Sequential execution
  - **Run-A** then **Run-B** then **Run-C**
- Rendezvous
- Event trigger
  - **Event-A** and **Event-B**
  - **Event-A** or **Event-B**
- Fork and join
- Readers-Writers Problem

Look at old exams

Readers-Writers Problem

Reader Threads

1) Execute **ROpen(file)**
2) Read information from **file**
3) Execute **RClose(file)**

Writer Threads

1) Execute **WOpen(file)**
2) Read information from **file**
3) Write information to **file**
4) Execute **WClose(file)**

ReadCount=0, number
mutex=1, semaphore
wrt=1, semaphore

Readers-Writers Problem

ReadCount: number of Readers that are open
mutex: semaphore controlling access to **ReadCount**
wrt: semaphore is true if a writer is allowed access

ROpen
```
wait(&mutex);
ReadCount++;
if(ReadCount==1) wait(&wrt)
signal(&mutex);
```

WOpen
```
wait(&wrt);
```

RClose
```
wait(&mutex);
ReadCount--;
if(ReadCount==0) signal(&wrt)
signal(&mutex);
```

WClose
```
signal(&wrt);
```


Advanced Topics (Grad Students)

- Bounded waiting
- Time-out
- Deadlock detection
  - Wait-for-graph
  - Resource allocation graph

Two names for the same thing

Works for single instance resources

- Two types of boxes
  Threads, resources
- Two types of arrows
  Assignment, request



Deadlock

- Conditions
  - Mutual exclusion
  - Hold and wait
  - No preemption of resources
  - Circular waiting



Where is the deadlock?

Deadlock Prevention

- No mutual exclusion
- No hold and wait
  - Ask for all at same time
  - Release all, then ask again for all
- No circular waiting
  - Number all resources
  - Ask for resources in a specific order

Deadlock Avoidance

- Is there a safe sequence?
- Tell OS current and future needs
  - Request a resource
  - Specify future requests while holding
  - Yes, if there is one safe sequence
- OS can say no, even if available
  - Google search on Banker's Algorithm

Deadlock Detection

- Add timeouts to semaphore waits
- Detect cycles in resource allocation graph
- Kill threads and recover resources
  - Abort them all, and restart
  - Abort them one at a time until it runs

Resource Allocation Graph

Thread A	Thread B	Thread C

wait(&bOLED); //1
wait(&bSDC); //4
use OLED and SDC
signal(&bSDC);
signal(&bOLED);
	wait(&bSDC); //2
	wait(&bCAN); //5
	use CAN and SDC
	signal(&bCAN);
	signal(&bSDC);
		wait(&bCAN); //3
		wait(&bOLED); //6
		use CAN and OLED
		signal(&bOLED);
		signal(&bCAN);



Prevention

- No hold and wait

Thread A	Thread B	Thread C

wait(&bOLED,&bSDC);
use OLED and SDC
signal(&bOLED,&bSDC);
	wait(&bSDC,&bCAN);
	use CAN and SDC
	signal(&bSDC,&bCAN);
		wait(&bCAN,&bOLED);
		use CAN and OLED
		signal(&bCAN,&bOLED);

- No circular wait

Thread A	Thread B	Thread C

wait(&bOLED);
wait(&bSDC);
use OLED and SDC
signal(&bSDC);
signal(&bOLED);
	wait(&bSDC);
	wait(&bCAN);
	use CAN and SDC
	signal(&bCAN);
	signal(&bSDC);
		wait(&bOLED);
		wait(&bCAN);
		use CAN and OLED
		signal(&bOLED);
		signal(&bCAN);

Semaphore Drawbacks

- Shared global variables
  - Can be accessed from anywhere
- No connection between the semaphore and the data being controlled by the semaphore
  - Used both for critical sections (mutual exclusion) and coordination (scheduling)
- No control or guarantee of proper usage

Monitors

- Proper use is enforced
- Synchronization attached to the data
- Removes hold and wait
- Threads enter
  - One active at a time



http://lass.cs.umass.edu/~shenoy/courses/fall08/lectures/Lec10.pdf

Monitors

- Lock
  - Only one thread active at a time
  - Must have lock to access condition variables
- One or more condition variables
  - If cannot complete, leave data consistent
  - Threads can sleep inside by releasing lock
  - Wait (acquire or sleep)
  - Signal (if any waiting, wakeup else NOP)
  - Broadcast

FIFO Monitor

```
Put(item):
1) lock->Acquire();
2) put item on queue;
3) conditionVar->Signal();
4) lock->Release();
```

```
Get():
1) lock->Acquire();
2) while queue is empty
     conditionVar->Wait(lock);
3) remove item from queue;
4) lock->Release();
5) return item;
```

http://lass.cs.umass.edu/~shenoy/courses/fall08/lectures/Lec10.pdf

Hoare vs. Mesa Monitor

- Signal() switches immediately vs. later

Hoare wait:	Mesa wait:
if(FIFO empty) wait(condition)	while(FIFO empty) wait(condition)

Real-Time Scheduling

- Tasks have deadlines
  - Some tasks are more important than others
  - In order to do something first, something else must be second
  - Priority scheduler
- Reactivity
  - When to run the scheduler?
    - Periodically, systick and sleep
    - On `OS_Wait`
    - On `OS_Signal`
    - On `OS_Sleep, OS_Kill`

Reference Book, Chapter 5

Priority Scheduling

- Execute highest priority first
  - Two tasks at same priority?
- Assign a dollar cost for delays
  - Minimize cost
  - Minimize latency on real-time tasks
  - Minimize maximum lateness (relative to deadline)

Scheduling Algorithms

- Rate monotonic scheduling (RMS), static
  - Assign priority based on how frequent task is run
  - Lower *period* (more frequent) are higher priority
- Earliest deadline first (EDF), dynamic
  - Assign priority based on closest deadline
- Least slack-time first (LST), dynamic
  - Slack = (time to deadline)-(work left to do)
- …

Scheduling Analysis

- Rate monotonic scheduling theorem
  - All n tasks are periodic
    - Priority based on period T_i
    - Maximum execution time E_i
  - No synchronization between tasks (independent)
  - Execute highest priority task first
  - Guarantee deadlines if processor utilization:

$$\sum \frac{E_i}{T_i} \leq n\left(2^{1/n} - 1\right) \leq \ln(2) \approx 69\%$$

Priority Scheduler

- Assigns each thread a priority number
  - Reduce latency (response time) by giving high priority
  - Static (creation) or dynamic (runtime)
  - Performance measures (utilization, latency/lateness)
- Blocking semaphores and not spinlock semaphores
- Strictly run the ready task with highest priority at all times
  - Priority 2 is run only if no priority 1 are ready
  - Priority 3 only if no priority 1 or priority 2 are ready
  - If all have the same priority, use a round-robin system
- On a busy system, low priority threads may never be run
  - Problem: Starvation
  - Solution: Aging

How to find Highest Priority

- Search all for highest priority ready thread
  - Skip if blocked
  - Skip if sleeping
  - Linear search speed (number of threads)
- Sorted list by priority
  - Chain/unchain as ready/blocked
- Priority bit table (uCOS-II and uCOS-III)
  - See **`OSUnMapTbl`** in os_core.c
  - See **`OS_Sched`** (line 1606) Software\uCOS-II\Source
  - See **`CPU_CntLeadZeros`** in cpu_a.asm Software\uC-CPU\Cortex-M3\RealView

Adaptive Priority- Aging

- Solution to starvation
- Real and temporary priorities in TCB
- Priority scheduler uses temporary priority
- Increase temporary priority periodically
  - If a thread is not running
- Reset temporary back to real when runs

Exponential Queue

- Exponential comes from doubling/halving
  1. Round robin with variable timeslices
     - Time slices 8,4,2,1 ms
  2. Priority with variable priority/timeslices
     - Time slices 8,4,2,1 ms
     - Priorities 0,1,2,3

Final exam 2006, Q5

I/O Centric Scheduler

- Automatically adjusts priority
  – Exponential queue
- High priority to I/O bound threads
  – I/O needs low latency
  – Every time it issues an input or output,
    - Increase priority by one, shorten time slice
- Low priority to CPU bound threads
  – Every time it runs to completion
    - Decrease priority by one, lengthen time slice

Path Expressions (1)

- Specify and enforce correct calling order
  - A group of related functions (e.g., I/O)
  - Initialize before use



Book Section 4.6.2

Path Expressions (2)

Each arrow is a '1' in matrix

```
int State=3;  // start in the Closed state
int const Path[4][4]={ /* Init  InChar  OutChar  Close */
/*          column    0        1        2        3   */
/* Init    row 0*/ {   0   ,    1   ,    1   ,    1  },
/* InChar  row 1*/ {   0   ,    1   ,    1   ,    1  },
/* OutChar row 2*/ {   0   ,    1   ,    1   ,    1  },
/* Close   row 3*/ {   1   ,    0   ,    0   ,    0  }};
void UART_Init(void){
  if(Path[State][0]==0) OS_Kill();  // kill if illegal
  State = 0;                        // perform valid Init
  // xxxx regular stuff xxxx
}
char UART_InChar(void){
  if(Path[State][1]==0) OS_Kill();  // kill if illegal
  State = 1;                        // perform valid InChar
  // xxxx regular stuff xxxx
}
```

Final exam 2004, Q9

Testing (1)

- How long do you test?
  - *n* = number of times T1 interrupts T2
  - *m* = total number of assembly instructions in T2
  - Run test until *n* greatly exceeds *m*
- Think of this corresponding probability question
  - *m* different cards in a deck
  - Select one card at random, with replacement
  - What is the probability after *n* selections (with replacement) that a particular card was never selected?
  - Similarly, what is the probability that all cards were selected at least once?

Testing (2)

```
Rx_Fifo_Get
 0 424846 0x000009B4 4601   MOV   r1,r0         ;int RxFifo_Get(rxDataType *datapt){
 1 374028 0x000009B6 481D   LDR   r0,[pc,#116]  ;  if(RxPutPt == RxGetPt ){
 2 457111 0x000009B8 6800   LDR   r0,[r0,#0x00]
 3 402642 0x000009BA 4A1B   LDR   r2,[pc,#108]
 4 204390 0x000009BC 6812   LDR   r2,[r2,#0x00]
 5 156684 0x000009BE 4290   CMP   r0,r2
 6 211597 0x000009C0 D101   BNE   0x000009C6
 7 242024 0x000009C2 2000   MOVS  r0,#0x00      ;    return(RXFIFOFAIL);
 8   3916 0x000009C4 4770   BX    lr           ;  }
 9    417 0x000009C6 4818   LDR   r0,[pc,#96]   ;  *datapt = *(RxGetPt++);
10    828 0x000009C8 6800   LDR   r0,[r0,#0x00]
11   1237 0x000009CA 7800   LDRB  r0,[r0,#0x00]
12   3099 0x000009CC 7008   STRB  r0,[r1,#0x00]
13   1859 0x000009CE 4816   LDR   r0,[pc,#88]
14      0 0x000009D0 6800   LDR   r0,[r0,#0x00]
15   2266 0x000009D2 1C40   ADDS  r0,r0,#1
16    831 0x000009D4 4A14   LDR   r2,[pc,#80]
17      0 0x000009D6 6010   STR   r0,[r2,#0x00]
18   1870 0x000009D8 4610   MOV   r0,r2
19   3090 0x000009DA 6802   LDR   r2,[r0,#0x00]
20      5 0x000009DC 4811   LDR   r0,[pc,#68]
21   1238 0x000009DE 3020   ADDS  r0,r0,#0x20
22      3 0x000009E0 4282   CMP   r2,r0          ;  if(RxGetPt==&RxFifo[RXFIFOSIZE]){
23      0 0x000009E2 D102   BNE   0x000009EA
24      0 0x000009E4 3820   SUBS  r0,r0,#0x20   ;    RxGetPt = &RxFifo[0];
25    206 0x000009E6 4A10   LDR   r2,[pc,#64]   ;  }
26   2471 0x000009E8 6010   STR   r0,[r2,#0x00]
27   1651 0x000009EA 2001   MOVS  r0,#0x01
28      0 0x000009EC E7EA   B     0x000009C4    ;  return(RXFIFOSUCCESS);}
```

Performance Measures

- Maximum time running with I=1
- Percentage of time it runs with I=1
- Time jitter δt on periodic tasks

$$T_i - \delta t < t_n - t_{n-1} < T_i + \delta t \quad \text{for all } n$$

- CPU utilization
  - Percentage time running idle task
- Context switch overhead
  - Time to switch tasks

Context Switch Time

- Just like the Lab 1 measurement



Running with I = 1

```
#define  OSCRITICAL_ENTER() { sr = SRSave(); }
#define  OSCRITICAL_EXIT()  { SRRestore(sr); }
```

- Record time *t1* when I=1

```
#define  OSCRITICAL_ENTER() { t1=OS_Time(); sr = SRSave(); }
```

- Record time *t2* when I=0 again
- Measure difference

```
#define  OSCRITICAL_EXIT()  { SRRestore(sr);
                              dt=OS_TimeDifference(OS_Time(),t1); }
```

- Record maximum and total

Time Jitter



Summary

- Use the logic analyzer
  - Visualize what is running
- Learn how to use the debugger
  - Breakpoint inside ISR
    - Does not seem to single step into ISR
- What to do after a thread calls Kill?