

## Chapter 2

### Exercise 1

Prove that the empty set is a subset of every set.

Let  $A$  be any set,  $\emptyset$  be the empty set and assume the opposite. Then there exists at least one element  $x \in \emptyset$  such that  $x \notin A$ . But the empty set does not contain any elements and no such  $x$  can therefore be found. This is a contradiction and hence  $\emptyset \subset A$  must be true. ■

### Exercise 2

Prove that the set of all algebraic numbers is countable.

Let  $\alpha = (a_0, \dots, a_n) \in B_{n+1}$  be an  $n+1$ -tuple of integers. Example 2.5 shows that the set of all integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  is countable. Using Theorem 2.13 with  $A = \mathbb{Z}$  shows that the set of  $n+1$ -tuples  $B_{n+1}$  is countable.

For particular choice of integers  $a_0, \dots, a_n$  we may construct an equation of the form

$$a_n z^n + \dots + a_1 z + a_0 = 0.$$

This polynomial has at most  $n$  solutions. Let  $E_\alpha$  be the set of all complex numbers  $z$  that is a solution to that polynomial. Hence  $E_\alpha$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{A}$  with at most  $n$  elements. If  $S_n$  is a set such that

$$S_n = \bigcup_{\alpha \in B_{n+1}} E_\alpha$$

then it is the union of a countable collection of finite sets. It follows from Theorem 2.12 that  $S_n$  is at most countable. Since the union of a countable collection of at most countable sets is at most countable (follows from Theorem 2.12 again); the union

$$S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n,$$

is at most countable.

We shall show that  $\mathcal{A} \subset S$ . For any  $z \in \mathcal{A}$  there exist integers  $a_0, \dots, a_k$  such that  $a_k z^k + \dots + a_1 z + a_0 = 0$ . For these integers there is a  $k+1$ -tuple  $\alpha = (a_0, \dots, a_k)$  which is associated with exactly one set  $E_\alpha$ . Hence there exists at least one  $\alpha$  for which  $z \in E_\alpha$  and therefore it

follows that  $\mathcal{A} \subset S$ . This means that  $\mathcal{A}$  is at most countable since it is a subset of  $S$ .

Lastly we need to show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is infinite. The set of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable by the corollary to Theorem 2.13. For any  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  there are integers  $m, n$  such that  $q = \frac{n}{m}$ . Now choose  $a_1 = m$  and  $a_0 = -n$ , then

$$a_1 q + a_0 = m \frac{n}{m} - n = 0,$$

which means that  $q$  is algebraic. Since  $q$  is arbitrary it follows that  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathcal{A}$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{A}$  has an infinite subset and must therefore itself be infinite.  $\mathcal{A}$  is an infinite set that is at most countable, and therefore it is countable as desired. ■

### Exercise 3

Prove that there are real numbers which are not algebraic.

Suppose not. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of all algebraic numbers. Since we assume the opposite any real number is algebraic and it follows that  $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{A}$ .

From Exercise 2.2 we know that  $\mathcal{A}$  is countable. By Theorem 2.8 this would entail that  $\mathbb{R}$  is countable, since it is an infinite subset of a countable set. But this is a contradiction since Theorem 2.43 shows that  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable. Hence our assumption is false and there exists real numbers  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x \notin \mathcal{A}$ . ■

### Exercise 4

Is the set of all irrational real numbers countable?

Denote the set of irrational numbers by  $\mathbb{I}$ . According to Theorem 2.13  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable. Now suppose  $\mathbb{I}$  is countable. We already know that

$$\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Q} \cup \mathbb{I},$$

which means that  $\mathbb{R}$  is countable by Theorem 2.12. But this is a contradiction since by Theorem 2.43 the set of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable. If  $\mathbb{I}$  is assumed to be finite, then that still would make  $\mathbb{R}$  countable. Hence,  $\mathbb{I}$  must be uncountable. ■

### Exercise 5

Construct a bounded set of real numbers with exactly three limit points.

Let  $k$  be a natural number and consider the set  $E_k$  of numbers  $k + \frac{1}{n}$  where  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Clearly  $E_k \subset (k, k + 1]$  and is therefore bounded.

We need to show that  $E_k$  does not contain any limit points. For any natural numbers  $n, m$  we have that

$$d\left(k + \frac{1}{n}, k + \frac{1}{m}\right) = \left|k + \frac{1}{n} - \left(k + \frac{1}{m}\right)\right| = \left|\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m}\right| = d\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{m}\right).$$

For a fixed  $n$  we want to show that

$$d\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{m}\right) \geq d\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n+1}\right),$$

holds with any natural number  $m \neq n$ .

If  $m \geq n + 1$ , then

$$d\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{m}\right) = \left|\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m}\right| \geq \left|\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1}\right| = d\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n+1}\right).$$

If  $m \leq n - 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{m}\right) &= \left|\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m}\right| = \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n} \geq \frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n(n-1)} > \frac{1}{n(n+1)} = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \\ &= \left|\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1}\right| = d\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n+1}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which gives us the result. Hence any neighborhood  $N_r\left(k + \frac{1}{n}\right)$  with radius  $0 < r < \left|\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1}\right| = \frac{1}{n(n+1)}$  will contain no other points of  $E_k$  except  $k + \frac{1}{n}$ . This means that  $k + \frac{1}{n}$  cannot be a limit point of  $E_k$ .

We now show that  $k \notin E_k$  is a limit point of  $E_k$ . For any radius  $r > 0$  we can find a natural number  $N$  such that  $\frac{1}{N} < r$  by using the Archimedean property of  $\mathbb{R}$  (Thm. 1.20)

$$d\left(k, k + \frac{1}{N}\right) = \left|k - \left(k + \frac{1}{N}\right)\right| = \frac{1}{N} < r,$$

which means that  $k + \frac{1}{N} \in N_r(k)$ .

The desire is to construct a set that is bounded with exactly three limit points. We accomplish this by choosing the set  $E$  such that

$$E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3.$$

Specifically, the limit points to  $E$  are  $1, 2, 3$  and  $E_1, E_2, E_3$  are all bounded which makes  $E$  bounded as well. ■

### Exercise 6

Prove that  $E'$  is closed. Prove that  $E$  and  $\overline{E}$  have the same limit points. Do  $E$  and  $E'$  always have the same limit points?

We first prove that  $E'$  is closed. If  $x$  is a limit point of  $E'$  then for any neighborhood  $N_r(x)$  with radius  $r > 0$  there exists a  $y \in E'$  such that  $y \in N_r(x)$ . Since  $y \in E'$  we know that  $y$  is a limit point of  $E$ . Hence, we can find a  $z \in E$  such that  $z \in N_{r-h}(y)$  with  $0 < h < r$ . We have that

$$d(z, x) \leq d(z, y) + d(y, x) < r - h + h = r,$$

which means that  $z \in N_r(x)$  so that  $x \in E'$ .

Next we show that  $\overline{E}$  and  $E$  have the same limit points. If  $x$  is a limit point of  $\overline{E}$ , then since  $\overline{E} = E' \cup E$ , it must be the case that  $x$  is a limit point of  $E'$  or  $E$ . Assuming  $x$  is a limit point of  $E$  leaves us with nothing to prove. So we suppose that  $x$  is a limit point of  $E'$  alone. We already have established that  $E'$  is closed, and therefore  $x \in E'$ . This in turn directly implies that  $x$  is a limit point of  $E$  because the members of  $E'$  are all limit points of  $E$ .

Conversely, if  $y$  is a limit point of  $E$  then we know that  $y \in E'$ . Since  $\overline{E} = E' \cup E$  it follows that  $y \in \overline{E}$  and is a limit point of  $\overline{E}$ .

Lastly, we show that  $E$  and  $E'$  do not always have the same limit points. Let  $E = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots\}$  and note 0 is the only limit point of  $E$ . This means that  $E' = \{0\}$ . We know by Corollary to Thm. 2.20 that  $E'$  has no limit points. This shows the result by example. ■

### Exercise 7

Let  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots$  be subsets of a metric space.

- (a) If  $B_n = \cup_{i=1}^n A_i$ , prove that  $\overline{B_n} = \cup_{i=1}^n \overline{A_i}$ .
- (b) If  $B = \cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i$ , prove that  $\overline{B} \supset \cup_{i=1}^\infty \overline{A_i}$ .

(a) We first need to show that for any sets  $A$  and  $B$  it is true that  $(A \cup B)' = A' \cup B'$ . If  $x \in (A \cup B)'$ , then for every neighborhood  $N_r(x)$  with a radius  $r > 0$  there exists at least one point  $p \in N_r(x)$  such that

$p \in A \cup B$ . Thus,  $p \in A$  or  $p \in B$  which implies that  $x \in A'$  or  $x \in B'$  so that  $x \in A' \cup B'$ .

Suppose now that  $x \in A' \cup B'$ , then for any neighborhood  $N_r(x)$  with a radius  $r > 0$  there is a point  $p \in N_r(x)$  such that  $p \in A$  or  $p \in B$ . This means that  $p \in A \cup B$  and it follows that  $x \in (A \cup B)'$ .

We now turn to the question at hand. This will be a proof by induction. The base case for  $n = 1$  is clearly true. Assume the statement holds for  $n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{B}_{n+1} &= \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i} = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \cup A_{n+1}} = \overline{B_n \cup A_{n+1}} \\ &= B_n \cup A_{n+1} \cup (B_n \cup A_{n+1})' \\ &= B_n \cup B'_n \cup A_{n+1} \cup A'_{n+1} \\ &= \overline{B_n} \cup \overline{A_{n+1}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{A_i} \cup \overline{A_{n+1}} \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \overline{A_i},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the induction hypothesis in the penultimate equality.

(b) If  $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{A_i}$ , then there is an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in \overline{A_n}$ . We have that

$$\overline{B} = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i} \supset \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{A_i} \supset \overline{A_n},$$

where we used the result in (a) to get the second equality. This implies that  $x \in \overline{B}$ . Since  $x$  is arbitrary it follows that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{A_i} \subset \overline{B}$  as desired.

We shall conclude by showing that this subset can indeed be proper. Let  $A_i = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{i}\}$  and note that there exists no  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $0 \in A_k$ . Thus  $0 \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ . Furthermore, each set  $A_i$  has no limit points which is why  $\overline{A_i} = A_i$ , and therefore  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{A_i} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ . It follows then that  $0 \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{A_i}$ . Now let's consider  $\overline{B}$

$$\overline{B} = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i} = \overline{\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\right\}} = \left\{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\right\},$$

which implies that  $0 \in \overline{B}$ . Since  $0 \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{A_i}$  we've shown that the inclusion can be proper. ■

### Exercise 8

Is every point of every open set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  a limit point of  $E$ ? Answer the same question for closed sets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

We first show that every point in every open set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a limit point of  $E$ . Suppose not. Then there exists an open set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with a point  $x \in E$  that is not a limit point of  $E$ . It follows that there exists an  $r > 0$  such that the neighborhood  $N_r(x)$  contains no point of  $E$  except  $x$ .

Since  $E$  is an open set,  $x$  must be an interior point. Hence, there is a neighborhood with radius  $s > 0$  such that  $N_s(x) \subset E$ . Neighborhoods in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are non-empty interiors of circles, which means that  $N_r$  is circle centered at  $x$ .

Consider the cases for  $r$  and  $x$ :

1. If  $r > s$  then  $N_s$  is a circle inscribed in a larger circle  $N_r$ . This implies  $N_s \subset N_r$ . Consequently, there exists points  $y \in N_s \subset N_r \subset E$  where  $y \neq x$ . However, this contradicts our assumption that  $N_r(x)$  contains no points of  $E$  other than  $x$ .
2. If  $r \leq s$ , then  $N_r$  is inscribed in  $N_s$ . Given that  $N_s$  is non-empty circle such that  $N_s \subset E$ , it follows that  $N_s$  contains points of  $E$  arbitrarily close to its center point  $x$ . In particular, for any distance  $0 < d < r$ , there are points  $y \in E$  where  $y \neq x$  such that  $y \in N_s$ . Since  $N_r \subset N_s$ , these  $y$  must be a point of  $N_r$  because  $d < r$ . This contradicts our assumption that  $x$  is not a limit point of  $E$ .

Since none of the relations  $r < s$ ,  $r = s$  and  $r > s$  can be true, such an  $r$  cannot exist. We've reached a contradiction and the assumption is wrong. Hence, each point of  $E$  is a limit point of  $E$ .

Now we show that the case does not hold true in general for closed sets. Consider the set of all natural numbers  $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . This set is closed, yet every point in this set is not a limit point of it.

■

### Exercise 9

Let  $E^\circ$  be the set of all interior points of  $E$ .

- (a) Prove that  $E^\circ$  is always open.
- (b) Prove that  $E$  is open if and only if  $E^\circ = E$ .
- (c) If  $G \subset E$  and  $G$  is open, prove that  $G \subset E^\circ$ .
- (d) Prove that the complement of  $E^\circ$  is the closure of the complement of  $E$ .
- (e) Do  $E$  and  $\overline{E}$  always have the same interiors?

(f) Do  $E$  and  $E^\circ$  always have the same closures?

(a) If  $p \in E^\circ$  then  $p$  is an interior point of  $E$ . This means that there exists some neighborhood  $N(p)$  with  $r > 0$  such that  $N(p) \subset E$ . By Theorem 2.19  $N(p)$  is an open set, implying that every point in  $N(p)$  is an interior point. Hence,  $N(p) \subset E^\circ$  and it follows  $E^\circ$  is an open set.

(b) If  $E$  is open then for every point  $x \in E$  we can find a neighborhood  $N(x)$  with  $r > 0$  such that  $N \subset E$ . This implies that every point  $x \in E$  is an interior point of  $E$  and it is therefore true that  $x \in E^\circ$ . This shows that  $E \subset E^\circ$ . Since by construction  $E^\circ \subset E$  we have that  $E^\circ = E$ .

Conversely, if  $E^\circ = E$  then all points in  $E$  are interior points of  $E$ . This is true since  $E^\circ$  is the set of all interior points of  $E$ . Thus, by definition 2.18 (f),  $E$  is an open set.

(c) If  $G$  is open, then for every point  $p \in G$  we can find a neighborhood  $N(p)$  with  $r > 0$  such that  $N(p) \subset G$ . Since  $G \subset E$ , we have that  $N(p) \subset E$ , which shows that  $p$  is an interior point of  $E$ . Hence,  $p \in E^\circ$ . Therefore,  $G \subset E^\circ$  since  $p$  was arbitrary chosen from  $G$ .

(d) Let  $x$  be any point in  $(E^\circ)^c$ . First we show that  $(E^\circ)^c \subset \overline{E^c}$ . Suppose that  $x \notin E$ . Then

$$x \in E^c \subset E^c \cup E^{c'} = \overline{E^c}.$$

Now let  $x \in E$ . Since  $x$  is in the complement of  $E^\circ$ , we know that  $x$  is not an interior point of  $E$ . Therefore, for every neighborhood  $N(x)$  with radius  $r > 0$ , we have that  $N(x) \not\subset E$ . This means that  $N(x)$  always has points in  $E^c$ , which makes  $x$  a limit point of  $E^c$ . Thus,  $x \in E^{c'} \subset \overline{E^c}$ . This shows that  $(E^\circ)^c \subset \overline{E^c}$ .

Conversely, let  $p \in \overline{E^c} = E^c \cup E^{c'}$ . Then it is clear that either  $p \in E^c$  or  $p \in E^{c'}$ . Assume  $p \in E^c$ . Then we know that  $p$  is not an interior point to  $E$ , so  $p \notin E^\circ$ , which implies that  $p \in (E^\circ)^c$ . If  $p \in E^{c'}$ , then it is a limit point of  $E^c$ , and therefore every neighborhood  $N(p)$  with radius  $r > 0$  have points (other than  $p$ ) from  $E^c$ . Hence, there is no neighborhood such that  $N(p) \subset E$  which means that  $p$  cannot be an interior point of  $E$ . Therefore,  $p \in (E^\circ)^c$ , and we have now shown that  $\overline{E^c} \subset (E^\circ)^c$ .

(e) Let  $E = (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$  be a set consisting of line segments in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $E$  is open we have that  $E^\circ = E$  by (b). The set of limit points of  $E$  are  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ , so the closure  $\overline{E}$  is the closed interval  $[0, 2]$ . From here we can see that  $(\overline{E})^\circ = (0, 2)$ , which shows that  $E^\circ \neq (\overline{E})^\circ$ . Therefore,

$E$  and  $\overline{E}$  do not always have the same interiors.

(f) Consider the set  $E = \{\frac{1}{n} \mid n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  as subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since 0 is the only limit point of  $E$ , we have that its closure is  $\overline{E} = E \cup \{0\}$ . However, none of the points in  $E$  are interior points, and therefore  $E^\circ = \emptyset$ . This means that  $\overline{E^\circ} = \emptyset$ . We have shown that  $\overline{E} \neq \overline{E^\circ}$  as desired. ■

### Exercise 10

Let  $X$  be an infinite set. For  $p \in X$  and  $q \in X$ , define

$$d(p, q) = \begin{cases} 1 & (\text{if } p \neq q) \\ 0 & (\text{if } p = q). \end{cases}$$

Prove that this is a metric. Which subsets of the resulting metric space are open? Which are closed? Which are compact?

We begin by showing that  $d$  is a metric. Conditions 2.15 (a) and (b) are clearly satisfied. We show (c) also is true. Suppose  $p \neq q$  so that  $d(p, q) = 1$ . For any  $r \in X$  we have two cases, either  $r$  equals one of  $p, q$  or neither.

Suppose WLOG that  $r = p$ . Then we have that  $d(p, r) = 0$  and  $d(r, q) = 1$ . It follows that

$$\underbrace{d(p, q)}_{=1} \leq \underbrace{d(p, r)}_{=0} + \underbrace{d(r, q)}_{=1} = 1.$$

Now let  $r \neq p \neq q$  be true. Then  $d(p, r) = d(r, q) = 1$ , and we can show that

$$\underbrace{d(p, q)}_{=1} < \underbrace{d(p, r)}_{=1} + \underbrace{d(r, q)}_{=1} = 2.$$

Lastly, if  $p = q$  then  $d(p, q) = 0$  and any point  $r \in X$  will satisfy (c) since the distance function is non-negative. This shows that  $X$  is a metric with distance function  $d$ .

We shall now show that any subset  $E \subset X$  is open. Let  $p$  be any point in  $E$  and consider the neighborhood  $N_r(p)$  with radius  $r = 1$ . Given the metric, this neighborhood contains only  $p$  itself so that  $N_r(p) \subset E$ . Hence, every point in  $E$  is an interior point and  $E$  is open.



We now show that every subset in  $X$  is closed. Let  $E$  be any subset of  $X$ . Since every subset of  $X$  is open, the complement  $E^c$  is also open. By Thm. 2.33  $E$  is closed.

Lastly, we show that only finite sets of  $X$  are compact (by Definition 2.32). Suppose not, then we have a compact set  $K \subset X$  that is infinite. For every  $p \in K$  let  $G_p$  be the open neighborhood around  $p$  with radius  $r = 1$ . Since every  $p \in K$  is associated with an open set  $G_p$ , the collection  $\{G_p\}$  is an open cover of  $K$ . Because  $K$  is compact, there exists a finite number of indices such that

$$K \subset G_{p_1} \cup \cdots \cup G_{p_m}.$$

Every subset  $G_{p_n}$  is an open neighborhood around  $p_n$  with radius 1. From before we know that these sets only contain a single point, namely  $p_n$ . But that is absurd, since it would make  $K$  finite. ■

### Exercise 11

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(x, y) &= (x - y)^2, \\ d_2(x, y) &= \sqrt{|x - y|}, \\ d_3(x, y) &= |x^2 - y^2|, \\ d_4(x, y) &= (x - 2y), \\ d_5(x, y) &= \frac{|x - y|}{1 + |x - y|}. \end{aligned}$$

Determine, for each of these, whether it is a metric or not.

$d_1$  Condition 2.15 (c) is not satisfied, which therefore is not a metric. We give an example,

$$d_1(10, 0) > d_1(10, 4) + d_1(4, 0).$$

$d_2$  Both 2.15 (a) and (b) are clearly true. We show that (c) is also satisfied. Assume not, then there exists points  $x, y$  and  $r$  such that

$$d_2(x, y) > d_2(x, r) + d_2(r, y),$$

which in this particular case is

$$\sqrt{|x-y|} > \sqrt{|x-r|} + \sqrt{|r-y|}.$$

If  $0 < q < p$  then  $q^2 < p^2$  for any  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} |x-y| &= \sqrt{|x-y|}^2 > \left( \sqrt{|x-r|} + \sqrt{|r-y|} \right)^2 \\ &= |x-r| + 2\sqrt{|x-r||r-y|} + |r-y| \\ &\geq |x-r| + |r-y|, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from the fact that  $\sqrt{|x-r||r-y|} \geq 0$ . The above shows that  $d(p, q) = |p - q|$  cannot be a metric. But that is a contradiction, since Theorem 1.37 shows that  $|p - q|$  satisfies 2.15 (c). Hence,  $d_2$  is a metric.

$d_3$  Condition 2.15 (a) is not satisfied. We show an example

$$d_3(2, -2) = |2^2 - (-2)^2| = 4 - 4 = 0.$$

We have found  $x \neq y$  such that  $d_3(x, y) = 0$ . This shows that  $d_3(x, y)$  is not a metric.

$d_4$  We show that  $d_4$  is not a metric since condition (a) of Definition 2.15 is not satisfied. If  $x \neq 0$  then

$$d_4(x, x) = |x - 2x| = |x| > 0.$$

$d_5$ ) Conditions in (a), (b) are clearly satisfied so we focus on (c). Throughout this exercise WLOG assume  $x \leq y$ . Suppose  $x \leq r \leq y$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d_5(x, y) &= \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|} = \frac{|x-r+r-y|}{1+|x-y|} \\ &\leq \frac{|x-r|}{1+|x-y|} + \frac{|r-y|}{1+|x-y|} \\ &\leq \frac{|x-r|}{1+|x-r|} + \frac{|r-y|}{1+|r-y|} \\ &\leq d_5(x, r) + d_5(r, y), \end{aligned}$$

where we used Theorem 1.37 in the first inequality. Since  $x \leq r \leq y$  we know that  $|x-r| \leq |x-y|$  and  $|r-y| \leq |x-y|$ , both of which we used to get the second inequality.

Now assume  $x \leq y < r$ . First we show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\frac{|w|}{1+|w|} < \frac{|w|+\varepsilon}{1+|w|+\varepsilon}, \quad (1)$$

holds. The statement is clearly true for  $w = 0$ . If  $|w| > 0$ , then

$$\frac{|w|}{1+|w|} = \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{|w|}} < \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{|w|+\varepsilon}} = \frac{|w|+\varepsilon}{1+|w|+\varepsilon},$$

which shows that (1) is true.

Since  $x \leq y < r$ , we have that  $|x-y| < |x-r|$ . This means we can use (1) to get the first inequality below,

$$\begin{aligned} d_5(x, y) &= \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|} < \frac{|x-r|}{1+|x-r|} \\ &\leq \frac{|x-r|}{1+|x-r|} + \frac{|r-y|}{1+|r-y|} \\ &= d_5(x, r) + d_5(r, y). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is due to the last term being non-negative. Similar argument can be made for  $r < x \leq y$  because  $|x-y| < |r-y|$  which allows us to use (1) again. This shows that  $d_5(x, y)$  is a metric. ■

## Exercise 12

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  consist of 0 and the numbers  $1/n$ , for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Prove that  $K$  is compact directly from the definition (without using the Heine-Borel theorem).

Let  $\{G_\alpha\}$  be any open cover of  $K$ . Then we know that there is an index  $\alpha_0$  such that  $0 \in G_{\alpha_0}$ . Since  $G_{\alpha_0}$  is an open set, we know that there exists a neighborhood  $N_r(0)$  with radius  $r > 0$  where  $N_r \subset G_{\alpha_0}$ .

If  $r \geq 1$ , then  $G_{\alpha_0}$  covers  $K$  and there is nothing to prove. Assume therefore that  $r < 1$ . By Archimedean property of  $\mathbb{R}$  we can find positive integers  $p$  such that  $r \geq 1/p$ . Let  $m$  be the smallest integer such that  $r > 1/m$ . It follows that if  $q$  is an integer where  $q > m$ , then  $r > 1/q$  so that  $1/q \in N_r(0)$ . This shows that there are at most  $m-1$  points in  $E$  that are not in  $N_r$ ,

$$\frac{1}{m-1}, \frac{1}{m-2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, 1.$$

Let  $G_{\alpha_k}$  denote an open set in the collection  $\{G_\alpha\}$  such that  $1/k \in G_{\alpha_k}$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ). Because  $\{G_\alpha\}$  is an open cover of  $K$ , each of the above  $m - 1$  points can be associated this way to at least one index in the collection. Therefore

$$K \subset G_{\alpha_0} \cup G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_{\alpha_{m-1}}.$$

We have shown that any open cover of  $K$  has a finite sub-cover which implies that  $K$  is compact as desired. ■

### Exercise 13

Construct a compact set of real numbers whose limit points form a countable set.

Let  $n$  be a natural number and construct a sequence  $\{x_k\}$  as follows. Define  $x_1$  as the midpoint between  $\frac{1}{n+1}$  and  $\frac{1}{n}$

$$x_1 = \frac{\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n}}{2}.$$

Having chosen  $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}$  (for  $k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ ), define  $x_k$  as the midpoint between  $x_{k-1}$  and  $\frac{1}{n}$

$$x_k = \frac{x_{k-1} + \frac{1}{n}}{2}.$$

Let  $E_n$  be the set of all numbers generated by the sequence  $\{x_k\}$ , along with the point  $\frac{1}{n}$ . For example, if  $n = 1$  we have

$$E_1 = \left\{1, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{7}{8}, \frac{15}{16}, \frac{31}{32}, \dots\right\}.$$

Since the sequence  $\{x_k\}$  is constructed by repeatedly taking midpoints between the previous term and  $\frac{1}{n}$ , the sequence will approach the value  $\frac{1}{n}$ , making it a limit point of  $E_n$ .

We can show that any other point  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y \neq \frac{1}{n}$  cannot be a limit point of  $E_n$ . Since  $E_n$  is a countable set of values that approach  $\frac{1}{n}$ , there is a number  $x_k \neq y$  in  $E_n$  that minimises  $d(y, x_k)$ . Then the neighborhood with  $0 < r < d(y, x_k)$  will have no point of  $E_n$  (other than possibly  $y$ ). Hence,  $\frac{1}{n}$  is the only limit point so that  $E'_n = \left\{\frac{1}{n}\right\}$ .

The collection of sets  $\{E_n\}$  is disjoint since  $E_n \subset \left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}\right]$ . Let  $S$  be the union of this collection along with the point 0,

$$S = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \subset [0, 1].$$

Since  $S$  is a union of disjoint sets, the limit points of  $S$  is given by

$$S' = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E' = \left\{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\right\},$$

which is countable. Furthermore, because  $E' \subset E$  and  $0 \in S$  we see that  $S' \subset S$  so that  $S$  is closed. Since  $S$  is a subset of the compact interval  $[0, 1]$ , by Theorem 2.35  $S$  is compact.

As desired, we have constructed a compact set  $S$  of real numbers whose limit points  $S'$  form a countable set. ■

#### Exercise 14

Give an example of an open cover of the segment  $(0, 1)$  which has no finite sub-cover.

Let  $G_n$  be the open set on the form  $(1/n, 1)$  for any natural number  $n$ . The collection  $\{G_n\}$  is an open cover of  $(0, 1)$

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/n, 1) = (0, 1).$$

Choose finitely many indices  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m$  and let  $p$  be the largest index among them. If  $x$  is the midpoint between 0 and  $\frac{1}{p}$ , then  $x \notin G_p$ . Since  $G_{n_k} \subset G_p$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) we see that

$$x \notin G_{n_1} \cup G_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup G_{n_m},$$

yet clearly  $x \in (0, 1)$ . This shows that the union constructed with indices  $n_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) cannot be a sub-cover of  $(0, 1)$ . The choice of indices were arbitrary, therefore there does not exist a finite sub-cover of  $(0, 1)$  using the open cover  $\{G_n\}$ . ■

#### Exercise 15

Show that Theorem 2.36 and its Corollary become false (in  $\mathbb{R}$ , for example) if the word “compact” is replaced by “closed” or by “bounded.”

We first show that “compact” cannot be replaced by closed. Consider the set of natural numbers starting from the positive integer  $n$  and beyond

$$E_n = \{n, n+1, n+2, \dots\}.$$

Each set in the collection  $\{E_n\}$  is closed relative to  $\mathbb{R}$  and is unbounded. Since we have that  $E_n \supset E_{n+1}$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) any finite sub-collection of  $\{E_n\}$  is non-empty. However, the countable intersection

$$E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n,$$

is empty. To see this, note that for any natural number  $m$  we have that  $m \notin E_n$  whenever  $m < n$ . Hence, there exists no natural number  $m$  which belongs to all sets in the collection  $\{E_n\}$  and it follows that the intersection is empty.

Now we show that the word “compact” cannot be replaced by “bounded.” Let  $A_n$  be the set that consists of numbers

$$A_n = \left\{ \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n+2}, \dots \right\}.$$

This set is bounded by 1 and is not closed since it does not contain its limit point 0. We also have that  $A_n \supset A_{n+1}$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and hence any finite sub-collection will be non-empty. The countable intersection

$$A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n,$$

is, however, empty. This can be proven with similar argument as for why the intersection  $E$  is empty. We have shown that the word “compact” cannot be replaced by “bounded.”

■

## Exercise 16

Regard  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the set of all rational numbers, as a metric space, with  $d(p, q) = |p - q|$ . Let  $E$  be the set of all  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $2 < p^2 < 3$ . Show that  $E$  is closed and bounded in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , but that  $E$  is not compact. Is  $E$  open in  $\mathbb{Q}$ ?

If  $2 < p^2 < 3$ , then  $1 < |p| < 2$  and we see that  $E$  is bounded.

To show that  $E$  is closed, consider any  $q \notin E$ . If  $q^2 < 2$  then for any  $p \in E$

$$\begin{aligned}
|q^2 - 2| &< |p^2 - q^2| = |(p + q)(p - q)| \\
&\leq |p + q||p - q| \leq (|p| + |q|)|p - q| \\
&< 4|p - q|,
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $0 < \frac{|q^2 - 2|}{4} < |p - q|$ . Hence, any neighborhood with radius  $\frac{|q^2 - 2|}{4}$  would contain no point of  $E$ . If  $q^2 > 3$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
|q^2 - 3| &< |q^2 - p^2| = |(q + p)(q - p)| \\
&\leq (|p| + |q|)|p - q| \\
&< 2|q||p - q|.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it follows that any neighborhood around  $q$  with radius  $\frac{|q^2 - 3|}{2|q|}$  contains no point of  $E$ . This shows that any  $q \notin E$  cannot be a limit point of  $E$  and hence  $E$  must be closed.

To prove that  $E$  is not compact in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , it suffices by Theorem 2.33 to show that  $E$  is not compact in  $\mathbb{R}$ . First, note that  $E$  is not closed in  $\mathbb{R}$  because it does not contain all its limit points. For example,  $\sqrt{2}$  is an irrational number that is a limit point of  $E$  but not a member of the set. Therefore, by Heine-Borel's theorem (Theorem 2.41)  $E$  cannot be compact in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Lastly, we answer the question if  $E$  is open in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Consider the open intervals  $A = (-\sqrt{3}, -\sqrt{2})$  and  $B = (\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Put  $G = A \cup B$  and note that by Theorem 2.24  $G$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $E = \mathbb{Q} \cap G$ , it follows by Theorem 2.30 that  $E$  is open in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .