# Chapter 2

## Exercise 1

Prove that the empty set is a subset of every set.

Let A be any set,  $\emptyset$  be the empty set and assume the opposite. Then there exists at least one element  $x \in \emptyset$  such that  $x \notin A$ . But the empty set does not contain any elements and no such x can therefore be found. This is a contradiction and hence  $\emptyset \subset A$  must be true.

### Exercise 2

Prove that the set of all algebraic numbers is countable.

Let  $\alpha=(a_0,...,a_n)\in B_{n+1}$  be an n+1-tuple of integers. Example 2.5 shows that the set of all integers  $\mathbb Z$  is countable. Using Theorem 2.13 with  $A=\mathbb Z$  shows that the set of n+1-tuples  $B_{n+1}$  is countable.

For particular choice of integers  $a_0, ..., a_n$  we may construct an equation of the form

$$a_n z^n + \dots + a_1 z + a_0 = 0.$$

This polynomial has at most n solutions. Let  $E_{\alpha}$  be the set of all complex numbers z that is a solution to that polynomial. Hence  $E_{\alpha}$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal A$  with at most n elements. If  $S_n$  is a set such that

$$S_n = \bigcup_{\alpha \in B_{n+1}} E_\alpha$$

then it is the union of a countable collection of finite sets. It follows from Theorem 2.12 that  $S_n$  is at most countable. Since the union of a countable collection of at most countable sets is at most countable (follows from Theorem 2.12 again); the union

$$S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n,$$

is at most countable.

We shall show that  $\mathcal{A} \subset S$ . For any  $z \in \mathcal{A}$  there exist integers  $a_0, ..., a_k$  such that  $a_k z^k + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0 = 0$ . For these integers there is a k+1-tuple  $\alpha = (a_0, ..., a_k)$  which is associated with exactly one set  $E_{\alpha}$ . Hence there exists at least one  $\alpha$  for which  $z \in E_{\alpha}$  and therefore it

follows that  $\mathcal{A} \subset S$ . This means that  $\mathcal{A}$  is at most countable since it is a subset of S.

Lastly we need to show that  $\mathcal A$  is infinite. The set of rational numbers  $\mathbb Q$  is countable by the corollary to Theorem 2.13. For any  $q\in\mathbb Q$  there are integers m,n such that  $q=\frac{n}{m}$ . Now choose  $a_1=m$  and  $a_0=-n$ , then

$$a_1q+a_0=m\frac{n}{m}-n=0,$$

which means that q is algebraic. Since q is arbitrary it follows that  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathcal{A}$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{A}$  has an infinite subset and must therefore itself be infinite.  $\mathcal{A}$  is an infinite set that is at most countable, and therefore it is countable as desired.

Exercise 3

Prove that there are real numbers which are not algebraic.

Suppose not. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of all algebraic numbers. Since we assume the opposite any real number is algebraic and it follows that  $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{A}$ .

From Exercise 2.2 we know that  $\mathcal{A}$  is countable. By Theorem 2.8 this would entail that  $\mathbb{R}$  is countable, since it is an infinite subset of a countable set. But this is a contradiction since Theorem 2.43 shows that  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable. Hence our assumption is false and there exists real numbers  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x \notin \mathcal{A}$ .

Exercise 4

Is the set of all irrational real numbers countable?

Denote the set of irrational numbers by  $\mathbb{I}$ . According to Theorem 2.13  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable. Now suppose  $\mathbb{I}$  is countable. We already know that

$$\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Q} \cup \mathbb{I}$$

which means that  $\mathbb{R}$  is countable by Theorem 2.12. But this is a contradiction since by Theorem 2.43 the set of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable. If  $\mathbb{I}$  is assumed to be finite, then that still would make  $\mathbb{R}$  countable. Hence,  $\mathbb{I}$  must be uncountable.

Exercise 5

Construct a bounded set of real numbers with exactly three limit points.

Let k be a natural number and consider the set  $E_k$  of numbers  $k+\frac{1}{n}$  where  $n=1,2,3,\ldots$ . Clearly  $E_k\subset (k,k+1]$  and is therefore bounded.

We need to show that  $E_k$  does not contain any limit points. For any natural numbers n,m we have that

$$d\left(k+\frac{1}{n},k+\frac{1}{m}\right) = \left|k+\frac{1}{n}-\left(k+\frac{1}{m}\right)\right| = \left|\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{m}\right| = d\left(\frac{1}{n},\frac{1}{m}\right).$$

For a fixed n we want to show that

$$d\left(\frac{1}{n},\frac{1}{m}\right) \ge d\left(\frac{1}{n},\frac{1}{n+1}\right),$$

holds with any natural number  $m \neq n$ .

If  $m \ge n + 1$ , then

$$d\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{m}\right) = \left|\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m}\right| \ge \left|\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1}\right| = d\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n+1}\right).$$

If  $m \leq n-1$ , then

$$d\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{m}\right) = \left|\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m}\right| = \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n} \ge \frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n}$$
$$= \frac{1}{n(n-1)} > \frac{1}{n(n+1)} = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1}$$
$$= \left|\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1}\right| = d\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n+1}\right),$$

which gives us the result. Hence any neighborhood  $N_r \left(k+\frac{1}{n}\right)$  with radius  $0 < r < \left|\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1}\right| = \frac{1}{n(n+1)}$  will contain no other points of  $E_k$  except  $k+\frac{1}{n}$ . This means that  $k+\frac{1}{n}$  cannot be a limit point of  $E_k$ . We now show that  $k \notin E_k$  is a limit point of  $E_k$ . For any radius

We now show that  $k \notin E_k$  is a limit point of  $E_k$ . For any radius r > 0 we can find a natural number N such that  $\frac{1}{N} < r$  by using the Archemdian property of  $\mathbb{R}$  (Thm. 1.20)

$$d\left(k, k + \frac{1}{N}\right) = \left|k - \left(k + \frac{1}{N}\right)\right| = \frac{1}{N} < r,$$

which means that  $k + \frac{1}{N} \in N_r(k)$ .

The desire is to construct a set that is bounded with exactly three limit points. We accomplish this by choosing the set E such that

$$E=E_1\cup E_2\cup E_3.$$

Specifically, the limit points to E are 1,2,3 and  $E_1,E_2,E_3$  are all bounded which makes E bounded as well.

### Exercise 6

Prove that E' is closed. Prove that E and  $\overline{E}$  have the same limit points. Do E and E' always have the same limit points?

We first prove that E' is closed. Suppose not, then there exists a limit point x of E' such that  $x \notin E'$ . For any neighborhood  $N_r(x)$  with radius r>0 there is a  $y\in E'$  such that  $y\in N_r(x)$ . Since  $y\in E'$  we know that y is a limit point of E. Hence, we can find a  $z \in E$  such that  $z \in N_{r-h}(y)$ with 0 < h < r. We have that

$$d(z, x) \le d(z, y) + d(y, x) < r - h + h = r,$$

which means that  $z \in N_r(x)$ . Since r > 0 is arbitrary it follows that x is a limit point to E. But this is a contradiction since it would imply  $x \in$ E'. Therefore our assumption is wrong and E' must be closed.

Next we show that  $\overline{E}$  and E have the same limit points. If x is a limit point of  $\overline{E}$ , then since  $\overline{E} = E' \cup E$ , it must be the case that x is a limit point of E' or E. Assuming x is a limit point of E leaves us with nothing to prove. So we suppose that x is a limit point of E' alone. We already have established that E' is closed, and therefore  $x \in E'$ . This in turn directly implies that x is a limit point of E because the members of E' are all limit points of E.

Conversely, if y is a limit point of E then we know that  $y \in E'$ . Since  $\overline{E} = E' \cup E$  it follows that  $y \in \overline{E}$  and is a limit point of  $\overline{E}$ .

Lastly, we show that E and E' do not always have the same limit points. Let  $E = \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \ldots\right\}$  and note 0 is the only limit point of E. This means that  $E' = \{0\}$ . We know by Corollary to Thm. 2.20 that E' has no limit points. This shows the result by example.

# Exercise 7

Let  $A_1, A_2, A_3, ...$  be subsets of a metric space.

- (a) If  $B_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ , prove that  $\overline{B}_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{A}_i$ . (b) If  $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i$ , prove that  $\overline{B} \supset \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \overline{A}_i$ .

(a) We first need to show that for any sets A and B it is true that  $(A \cup B)' = A' \cup B'$ . If  $x \in (A \cup B)'$ , then for every neighborhood  $N_r(x)$  with a radius r > 0 there exists at least one point  $p \in N_r(x)$  such that  $p \in A \cup B$ . Thus,  $p \in A$  or  $p \in B$  which implies that  $x \in A'$  or  $x \in B'$  so that  $x \in A' \cup B'$ .

Suppose now that  $x \in A' \cup B'$ , then for any neighborhood  $N_r(x)$  with a radius r > 0 there is a point  $p \in N_r(x)$  such that  $p \in A$  or  $p \in B$ . This means that  $p \in A \cup B$  and it follows that  $x \in (A \cup B)'$ .

We now turn to the question at hand. This will be a proof by induction. The base case for n=1 is clearly true. Assume the statement holds for n, then

$$\begin{split} \overline{B}_{n+1} &= \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i} = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \cup A_{n+1}} = \overline{B_n \cup A_{n+1}} \\ &= B_n \cup A_{n+1} \cup \left(B_n \cup A_{n+1}\right)' \\ &= B_n \cup B'_n \cup A_{n+1} \cup A'_{n+1} \\ &= \overline{B}_n \cup \overline{A}_{n+1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{A}_i \cup \overline{A}_{n+1} \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \overline{A}_i, \end{split}$$

where we have used the induction hypothesis in the penultimate equality.

(b) If  $x\in\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty\overline{A}_i$ , then there is an  $n\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $x\in\overline{A}_n$ . We have that

$$\overline{B} = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i} \supset \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{A}_i \supset \overline{A}_n,$$

where we used the result in (a) to get the second equality. This implies that  $x \in \overline{B}$ . Since x is arbitrary it follows that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{A}_i \subset \overline{B}$  as desired.

We shall conclude by showing that this subset can indeed be proper. Let  $A_i = \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, ..., \frac{1}{i}\right\}$  and note that there exists no  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $0 \in A_k$ . Thus  $0 \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ . Furthermore, each set  $A_i$  has no limit points which is why  $\overline{A_i} = A_i$ , and therefore  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{A_i} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ . It follows then that  $0 \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{A_i}$ . Now let's consider  $\overline{B}$ 

$$\overline{B} = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i} = \overline{\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \ldots\right\}} = \left\{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \ldots\right\},$$

which implies that  $0 \in \overline{B}$ . Since  $0 \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{A_i}$  we've shown that the inclusion can be proper.

Exercise 8

Is every point of every open set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  a limit point of E? Answer the same question for closed sets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

We first show that every point in every open set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a limit point of E. Suppose not. Then there exists an open set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with a point  $x \in E$  that is not a limit point of E. It follows that there exists an r > 0 such that the neighborhood  $N_r(x)$  contains no point of E except x.

Since E is an open set, x must be an interior point. Hence, there is a neighborhood with radius s>0 such that  $N_s(x)\subset E$ . Neighborhoods in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are non-empty interiors of circles, which means that  $N_r$  is circle centered at x.

Consider the cases for r and x:

- 1. If r > s then  $N_s$  is a circle inscribed in a larger circle  $N_r$ . This imples  $N_s \subset N_r$ . Consequently, there exists points  $y \in N_s \subset N_r \subset E$  where  $y \neq x$ . However, this contradicts our assumption that  $N_r(x)$  contains no points of E other than x.
- 2. If  $r \leq s$ , then  $N_r$  is inscribed in  $N_s$ . Given that  $N_s$  is non-empty circle such that  $N_s \subset E$ , it follows that  $N_s$  contains points of E arbitrarily close to its center point x. In particular, for any distance 0 < d < r, there are points  $y \in E$  where  $y \neq x$  such that  $y \in N_s$ . Since  $N_r \subset N_r$ , these y must be a point of  $N_r$  because d < r. This contradicts our assumption that x is not a limit point of E.

Since none of the relations r < s, r = s and r > s can be true, such an r cannot exists. We've reached a contradiction and the assumption is wrong. Hence, each point of E is a limit point of E.

Now we show that the case does not hold true in general for closed sets. Consider the set of all natural numbers  $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . This set is closed, yet every point in this set is not a limit point of it.

Exercise 9

Let  $E^{\circ}$  be the set of all interior points of E.

- (a) Prove that  $E^{\circ}$  is always open.
- (b) Prove that E is open if and only if  $E^{\circ} = E$ .

- (c) If  $G \subset E$  and G is open, prove that  $G \subset E^{\circ}$ .
- (d) Prove that the complement of  $E^{\circ}$  is the closure of the complement of E.
- (e) Do E and  $\overline{E}$  always have the same interiors?
- (f) Do E and  $E^{\circ}$  always have the same closures?
- (a) If  $p \in E^{\circ}$  then p is an interior point of E. This means that there exists some neighborhood N(p) with r > 0 such that  $N(p) \subset E$ . By Theorem 2.19 N(p) is an open set, implying that every point in N(p) is an interior point. Hence,  $N(p) \subset E^{\circ}$  and it follows  $E^{\circ}$  is an open set.
- (b) If E is open then for every point  $x \in E$  we can find a neighborhood N(x) with r > 0 such that  $N \subset E$ . This implies that every point  $x \in E$  is an interior point of E and it is therefore true that  $x \in E^{\circ}$ . This shows that  $E \subset E^{\circ}$ . Since by construction  $E^{\circ} \subset E$  we have that  $E^{\circ} = E$ .

Conversely, if  $E^{\circ} = E$  then all points in E are interior points of E. This is true since  $E^{\circ}$  is the set of all interior points of E. Thus, by definition 2.18 (f), E is an open set.

- (c) If G is open, then for every point  $p \in G$  we can find a neighborhood N(p) with r > 0 such that  $N(p) \subset G$ . Since  $G \subset E$ , we have that  $N(p) \subset E$ , which shows that p is an interior point of E. Hence,  $p \in E^{\circ}$ . Therefore,  $G \subset E^{\circ}$  since p was arbitrary chosen from G.
- (d) Let x be any point in  $(E^{\circ})^c$ . First we show that  $(E^{\circ})^c \subset \overline{E^c}$ . Suppose that  $x \notin E$ . Then

$$x \in E^c \subset E^c \cup E^{c'} = \overline{E^c}$$
.

Now let  $x \in E$ . Since x is in the complement of  $E^{\circ}$ , we know that x is not an interior point of E. Therefore, for every neighborhood N(x) with radius r > 0, we have that  $N(x) \not\subset E$ . This means that N(x) always has points in  $E^c$ , which makes x a limit point of  $E^c$ . Thus,  $x \in E^{c'} \subset \overline{E^c}$ . This shows that  $(E^{\circ})^c \subset \overline{E^c}$ .

Conversely, let  $p \in \overline{E^c} = E^c \cup E^{c'}$ . Then it is clear that either  $p \in E^c$  or  $p \in E^{c'}$ . Assume  $p \in E^c$ . Then we know that p is not an interior point to E, so  $p \notin E^\circ$ , which implies that  $p \in (E^\circ)^c$ . If  $p \in E^{c'}$ , then it is a limit point of  $E^c$ , and therefore every neighborhood N(p) with radius r > 0 have points (other than p) from  $E^c$ . Hence, there is no neighborhood such that  $N(p) \subset E$  which means that p cannot be an interior point of E. Therefore,  $p \in (E^\circ)^c$ , and we have now shown that  $\overline{E^c} \subset (E^\circ)^c$ .

- (e) Let  $E=(0,1)\cup(1,2)$  be a set consisting of line segments in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since E is open we have that  $E^\circ=E$  by (b). The set of limit points of E are  $\{0,1,2\}$ , so the closure  $\overline{E}$  is the closed interval [0,2]. From here we can see that  $(\overline{E})^\circ=(0,2)$ , which shows that  $E^\circ\neq(\overline{E})^\circ$ . Therefore, E and  $\overline{E}$  do not always have the same interiors.
- (f) Consider the set  $E = \{\frac{1}{n} \mid n = 1, 2, 3, ...\}$  as subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since 0 is the only limit point of E, we have that its closure is  $\overline{E} = E \cup \{0\}$ . However, none of the points in E are interior points, and therefore  $E^{\circ} = \emptyset$ . This means that  $\overline{E}^{\circ} = \emptyset$ . We have shown that  $\overline{E} \neq \overline{E}^{\circ}$  as desired.

Exercise 10

Let X be an infinite set. For  $p \in X$  and  $q \in X$ , define

$$d(p,q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{ (if } p \neq q) \\ 0 & \text{ (if } p = q). \end{cases}$$

Prove that this is a metric. Which subsets of the resulting metric space are open? Which are closed? Which are compact?

We begin by showing that d is a metric. Conditions 2.15 (a) and (b) are clearly satisfied. We show (c) also is true. Suppose  $p \neq q$  so that d(p,q) = 1. For any  $r \in X$  we have two cases, either r equals one of p, q or neither.

Suppose WLOG that r=p. Then we have that d(p,r)=0 and d(r,q)=1. It follows that

$$\underbrace{d(p,q)}_{=1} \leq \underbrace{d(p,r)}_{=0} + \underbrace{d(r,q)}_{=1} = 1.$$

Now let  $r \neq p \neq q$  be true. Then d(p,r) = d(r,q) = 1, and we can show that

$$\underbrace{d(p,q)}_{=1} < \underbrace{d(p,r)}_{=1} + \underbrace{d(r,q)}_{=1} = 2.$$

Lastly, if p = q then d(p, q) = 0 and any point  $r \in X$  will satisfy (c) since the distance function is non-negative. This shows that X is a metric with distance function d.

We shall now show that any subset  $E \subset X$  is open. Let p be any point in E and consider the neighborhood  $N_r(p)$  with radius r = 1. Given

the metric, this neighborhood contains only p itself so that  $N_r(p) \subset E$ . Hence, every point in E is an interior point and E is open.

We now show that every subset in X is closed. Let E be any subset of X. Since every subset of X is open, the complement  $E^c$  is also open. By Thm. 2.33 E is closed.

Lastly, we show that only finite sets of X are compact (by Definition 2.32). Suppose not, then we have a compact set  $K \subset X$  that is infinite. For every  $p \in K$  let  $G_p$  be the open neighborhood around p with radius r=1. Since every  $p \in K$  is associated with an open set  $G_p$ , the collection  $\left\{G_p\right\}$  is an open cover of K. Because K is compact, there exists a finite number of indices such that

$$K\subset G_{p_1}\cup\cdots\cup G_{p_m}.$$

Every subset  $G_{p_n}$  is an open neighborhood around  $p_n$  with radius 1. From before we know that these sets only contain a single point, namely  $p_n$ . But that is absurd, since it would make K finite.

## Exercise 11

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , define

$$\begin{split} d_1(x,y) &= (x-y)^2,\\ d_2(x,y) &= \sqrt{|x-y|},\\ d_3(x,y) &= |x^2-y^2|,\\ d_4(x,y) &= (x-2y),\\ d_5(x,y) &= \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|}. \end{split}$$

Determine, for each of these, whether it is a metric or not.

 $d_1$  Condition 2.15 (c) is not satisfied, which therefore is not a metric. We give an example,

$$d_1(10,0) > d_1(10,4) + d_1(4,0).$$

 $d_2$  Both 2.15 (a) and (b) are clearly true. We show that (c) is also satisfied. Assume not, then there exists points x, y and r such that

$$d_2(x,y) > d_2(x,r) + d_2(r,y),$$

which in this particular case is

$$\sqrt{|x-y|} > \sqrt{|x-r|} + \sqrt{|r-y|}.$$

If 0 < q < p then  $q^2 < p^2$  for any  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$  so that

$$\begin{split} |x-y| &= \sqrt{|x-y|}^2 > \left(\sqrt{|x-r|} + \sqrt{|r-y|}\right)^2 \\ &= |x-r| + 2\sqrt{|x-r||r-y|} + |r-y| \\ &\geq |x-r| + |r-y|, \end{split}$$

where the last inequality comes from the fact that  $\sqrt{|x-r||r-y|} \ge 0$ . The above shows that d(p,q) = |p-q| cannot be a metric. But that is a contradiction, since Theorem 1.37 shows that |p-q| satisfies 2.15 (c). Hence,  $d_2$  is a metric.

 $d_3$  Condition 2.15 (a) is not satisfied. We show an example

$$d_3(2,-2) = |2^2 - (-2)^2| = 4 - 4 = 0.$$

We have found  $x \neq y$  such that  $d_3(x,y) = 0$ . This shows that  $d_3(x,y)$  is not a metric.

 $d_4$  We show that  $d_4$  is not a metric since condition (a) of Definition 2.15 is not satisfied. If  $x\neq 0$  then

$$d_A(x,x) = |x - 2x| = |x| > 0.$$

 $d_5$ ) Conditions in (a), (b) are clearly satisfied so we focus on (c). Throughout this exercise WLOG assume  $x \leq y$ . Suppose  $x \leq r \leq y$ , we have that

$$\begin{split} d_5(x,y) &= \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|} = \frac{|x-r+r-y|}{1+|x-y|} \\ &\leq \frac{|x-r|}{1+|x-y|} + \frac{|r-y|}{1+|x-y|} \\ &\leq \frac{|x-r|}{1+|x-r|} + \frac{|r-y|}{1+|r-y|} \\ &\leq d_5(x,r) + d_5(r,y), \end{split}$$

where we used Theorem 1.37 in the first inequality. Since  $x \le r \le y$  we know that  $|x-r| \le |x-y|$  and  $|r-y| \le |x-y|$ , both of which we used to get the second inequality.

Now assume  $x \leq y < r$ . First we show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

$$\frac{|w|}{1+|w|} < \frac{|w|+\varepsilon}{1+|w|+\varepsilon},\tag{1}$$

holds. The statement is clearly true for w = 0. If |w| > 0, then

$$\frac{|w|}{1+|w|} = \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{|w|}} < \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{|w|+\varepsilon}} = \frac{|w|+\varepsilon}{1+|w|+\varepsilon},$$

which shows that (1) is true.

Since  $x \le y < r$ , we have that |x - y| < |x - r|. This means we can use (1) to get the first inequality below,

$$\begin{split} d_5(x,y) &= \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|} < \frac{|x-r|}{1+|x-r|} \\ &\leq \frac{|x-r|}{1+|x-r|} + \frac{|r-y|}{1+|r-y|} \\ &= d_5(x,r) + d_5(r,y). \end{split}$$

The last inequality is due to the last term being non-negative. Similar argument can be made for  $r < x \le y$  because |x-y| < |r-y| which allows us to use (1) again. This shows that  $d_5(x,y)$  is a metric.

### Exercise 12

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  consist of 0 and the numbers 1/n, for n = 1, 2, 3, .... Prove that K is compact directly from the definition (without using the Heine-Borel theorem).

Let  $\{G_{\alpha}\}$  be any open cover of K. Then we know that there is an index  $\alpha_0$  such that  $0 \in G_{\alpha_0}$ . Since  $G_{\alpha_0}$  is an open set, we know that there exists a neighborhood  $N_r(0)$  with radius r>0 where  $N_r\subset G_{\alpha_0}$ .

If  $r \geq 1$ , then  $G_{\alpha_0}$  covers K and there is nothing to prove. Assume therefore that r < 1. By Archimedean property of  $\mathbb R$  we can find positive integers p such that  $r \geq 1/p$ . Let m be the smallest integer such that r > 1/m. It follows that if q is an integer where q > m, then r > 1/q so that  $1/q \in N_r(0)$ . This shows that there are at most m-1 points in E that are not in  $N_r$ ,

$$\frac{1}{m-1}, \frac{1}{m-2}, ..., \frac{1}{2}, 1.$$

Let  $G_{\alpha_k}$  denote an open set in the collection  $\{G_{\alpha}\}$  such that  $1/k \in$  $G_{\alpha_k}$  (k=1,2,3,...). Because  $\{G_{\alpha}\}$  is an open cover of K, each of the above m-1 points can be associated this way to at least one index in the collection. Therefore

$$K \subset G_{\alpha_0} \cup G_1 \cup \cdots \cup G_{\alpha_{m-1}}$$
.

We have shown that any open cover of K has a finite sub-cover which implies that K is compact as desired.

### Exercise 13

Construct a compact set of real numbers whose limit points form a countable set.

Let n be a natural number and construct a sequence  $\{x_k\}$  as follows. Define  $x_1$  as the midpoint between  $\frac{1}{n+1}$  and  $\frac{1}{n}$ 

$$x_1 = \frac{\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n}}{2}.$$

Having chosen  $x_1, ..., x_{k-1}$  (for k=2,3,4,...), define  $x_k$  as the midpoint between  $x_{k-1}$  and  $\frac{1}{n}$ 

$$x_k = \frac{x_{k-1} + \frac{1}{n}}{2}.$$

Let  $E_n$  be the set of all numbers generated by the sequence  $\{x_k\}$ , along with the point  $\frac{1}{n}$ . For example, if n=1 we have

$$E_1 = \left\{1, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{7}{8}, \frac{15}{16}, \frac{31}{32}, \dots \right\}.$$

Since the sequence  $\{x_k\}$  is constructed by repeatedly taking midpoints between the previous term and  $\frac{1}{n}$ , the sequence will approach the value  $\frac{1}{n}$ , making it a limit point of  $E_n$ .

We can show that any other point  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y \neq \frac{1}{n}$  cannot be a limit point of  $E_n$ . Since  $E_n$  is a countable set of values that approach  $\frac{1}{n}$ , there is a number  $x_k \neq y$  in  $E_n$  that minimises  $d(y, x_k)$ . Then the neighborhood with  $0 < r < d(y, x_k)$  will have no point of  $E_n$  (other than possibly y). Hence,  $\frac{1}{n}$  is the only limit point so that  $E_n' = \left\{\frac{1}{n}\right\}$ . The collection of sets  $\{E_n\}$  is disjoint since  $E_n \subset \left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}\right]$ . Let S

be the union of this collection along with the point 0,

$$S = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \subset [0,1].$$

Since S is a union of disjoint sets, the limit points of S is given by

$$S' = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E' = \left\{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \ldots\right\},$$

which is countable. Furthermore, because  $E' \subset E$  and  $0 \in S$  we see that  $S' \subset S$  so that S is closed. Since S is a subset of the compact interval [0,1], by Theorem 2.35 S is compact.

As desired, we have constructed a compact set S of real numbers whose limit points S' form a countable set.

### Exercise 14

Give an example of an open cover of the segment (0,1) which has no finite sub-cover.

Let  $G_n$  be the open set on the form (1/n, 1) for any natural number n > 0. The collection  $\{G_n\}$  is an open cover of (0, 1),

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}G_n=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n},1\right)=(0,1).$$

Choose finitely many indices  $n_1, n_2, ..., n_m$  and let p be the largest index among them. If x is the midpoint between 0 and  $\frac{1}{n}$ , then

$$x = \frac{0 + \frac{1}{p}}{2} < \frac{2 \cdot \frac{1}{p}}{2} = \frac{1}{p},$$

which means that  $x \notin G_p = (1/p,1).$  Since  $G_{n_k} \subset G_p$  (for k=1,2,...m), we see that

$$x \not\in G_{n_1} \cup G_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup G_{n_m}.$$

This shows that the union constructed with indices  $n_k$   $(k=1,2,...,n_m)$  cannot be a sub-cover of (0,1) since  $x\in(0,1)$ . The choice of indices  $n_k$  was arbitrary, therefore there does not exist a finite sub-cover of (0,1) using the open cover  $\{G_n\}$ .